#### SRI VENKATESVARA ORIENTAL SERIES No. 15

EDITOR:-Prof. P. V. RAMANUJASWAMI, M.A.

श्रीः

# क ठों प नि ष द्भा ष्य म्

श्रीरङ्गरामानुजमुनिविरचितम् SRI RANGARAMANUJA'S

# KATHOPANISHAD-BHASHYA

EDITED

WITH INTRODUCTION, TRANSLATION & NOTES

ΒY

DR. K. C. VARADACHARI, M.A., PH.D.

Professor and Head of the Department of Philosophy Sri Venkateswara College, Tirupati

AND

D. T. TATACHARYA Siromani, M.O.L.

Lecturer in Sanskrit, Sri Venkateswara College, Tırupati

#### TIRUPATI

PRINTED AT TIRUMALAI-TIRUPATI DEVASTHANAMS PRESS
1948
[Price Rs. 2-

#### . FOREWORD

The Kathopanişad is the third Upanişad to be published with English translation of the Viśiṣṭādvaita Bḥāṣya in the Sri Venkatesvara Oriental Series, the second to be published with the Bhāṣya of Raṅgarāmānuja and the first to be published with a few words of introduction from me.

The Katha is perhaps the foremost in point of interest and popularity. It is a perfect specimen of the poetry of the ancient Hindus. The philosophy is not more clearly explained in any other Upanisad. To add to these the teaching is set in an attractive story. The story is found elsewhere in the ancient Literature and it is received and used here in more or less the same form to introduce the teaching and to indicate the fitness of a a student to be initiated in the mystic philosophy. The story also brings out clearly the contrast between the characters of the practical father and the speculative son.

The Advaita cammentaries on the Upanisads have been translated into English by several scholars before; but the Visistādvaita commentaries have not been translated so far. The Institute has, therefore, undertaken to translate and publish them in its series and thus make the contents available to Indian Philosophers not conversant with Sanskrit. The Visistādvaitic interpretation of the Upanisads has thus remained a sealed book and the merits of it have not attracted philosophers. It is hoped that the present series of translations published by the Institute will remove the disability.

The translators have been connected with the Institute till recently but now they have gone on to the staff of the sister institution, the Sri Venkateswara Arts College, which has been recently started. It is hoped the change of location will not affect their work and they will continue the translation of other Bhāṣyas with the same zeal.

Owing to their being engaged in the work of the other institution, the Editors could not carry the Sanskrit text through the press and provide the book with the necessary indexes, readings, etc. This work has devolved upon Sri K. Sathakopachari, Nyaya-Mimamsa Silomani, Library Pandit in Sanskrit in the Institute.

The Institute will consider itself amply repaid if this series can infuse interest in Viśiṣṭādvaita Philosophy in the minds of scholars.

TIRUPATI, 4-11-1948

P. V. RAMANUJASWAMI

Director

### CONTENTS

# 

1.	Foreword	***	• • •	i
2.	Introduction	• • • •	•••	٧
3.	Cross references	•••	•••	xvi
4.	Kathopaniṣad-bhāṣhya-Text		• < 1	1
5.	Tippaņi		•••	i
6.	Various readings	•••	•••	x
7.	Corrigenda	••	•••	xi
8.	Index of quatations in the Bhāṣya			xič
9.	Kathopanisad-bhāṣya-Translation			3

# कठोपनिषद्भाष्यान्तर्गतिवशेषविषयस्रचिका.

						पुटम्.		
	दक्षिणाशब्दांधैविचारः	****		4.	••	२		
	स्वर्गशब्दस्य मोक्षपरत्वनिरूपणम्	•••		••	••	१०		
	येयं प्रेत इतिमन्त्रस्य मुक्तस्वरूपप्रश	भपरत्वम्		••		\$8		
	अन्यत धर्मादितिमन्त्रस्य व्याख्याद्व	्यप्रदर्शनम्			••	२५		
	क्रत्स्नायाः कठोपनिषदः परिशुद्धात्मस्वरूपपरत्वशंकापरिहारौ २७–२०							
	अणोरणीयानिति मन्त्रस्य जीवात्मपरत्वशंकान्युदासः ३							
	यस्य ब्रह्म चेतिमन्त्रस्य जीवपरत्वाभावसमर्थनम्				****			
	ऋतं पिवन्तावितिमन्त्रस्य बुद्धिजीवपरत्वनिरासः							
	अङ्गुष्ठमात्रः पुरुषः परमात्मेति समर्थनम्				••	४९		
	न तत्र सूर्य इतिमन्त्रांर्थविचारः					५६		
	महद्भयमितिवाक्यार्थवर्णनम्			••		५८		
	कठोपनिषद्भाष्योदाहृतोत्तरमीमांसाधिकरणविचारस्रचिका.							
	water the nation of the							
		उ.मी.	अ.	<b>q</b> [. ?	अधि.	पुटम्.		
۶.	आत्माधिकरणविचारः	****	२	३	३	३०		
₹.	वाञ्चरात्राधिकरणविचारः (उत्पत्त्यसं	भवाधिकरणस	<b>(</b> ) २	२	4	३१		
₹.	सम्भात्यघिकरणविचारः		२	8	२	६१		
8.	अत्र्धिकरणविचारः	••••	१	२	२	६५		
ч.	प्रमिताधिकरणविचारः	***	१	३	६	६६		
ξ.	<b>अानुमानिकाधिकरणविचारः</b>	•	8	8	8	६७		

## KATHOPANISAD

#### INTRODUCTION

The Kathopanisad is the third in the traditional order of the Upanisads and it is indeed a very important next step in the knowledge of Godhead or the Supreme Self of all. The  $ar{\emph{l}}$ śo $\emph{pani}$ ṣa $\emph{d}$  mentioned briefly that the path of Karma, that is injuncted action (dharma), should be disinterestedly trodden faithfully and even mentioned that when followed with integral knowledge with fidelity (vidyā) it does help the 'crossing over' Death.1 The Kenopanisad showed the transcendental nature and power of the ultimate Being and its supreme "desirableness" as manifested in the activities of the senses (or gods) and mind, but which these senses and mind could never know except vaguely (or subliminally?). The Kathopanisad embraces both these facts of immortality and ultimate beneficence (amrtava<sup>2</sup> as tadvanatva). elaborates the cryptic statement at the end of the Kenopanisad which describes the subsidiaries of the divine knowledge tasyai tapo damah karma ca pratisthā vedāh sarvāngāni satyam āyatanam, iv. 8.

Dr. Ananda K. Coomaraswami considers Katha to be a gnostic document which has to be studied as part of the gnostic literature all over the world. According to Sri Krishna Prem¹ "Kathopaniṣad is a practical treatise written to help us

- 1. The 'crossing over' is mentioned as something that has efficacy after death or dying (vimukte pretyā). It is so understood as counselling videha-mukti. It may mean 'occult passing on' according to Krishna Prem, but even Sankara does not accept this latter view.
- 2. Śreyas is the full nature of the Divine, and from this most possibly is developed the personality of  $Sr\bar{\imath}$  who is stated to have her residence in the Divine Lord, wherefore His name ' $Sr\bar{\imath}$ -nivāsa'.
  - 3. New Indian Antiquary I.
  - 4. Yoga of the Kathopanisad.

achieve a very real end here and now" and the explanations has given follow the occult literature all over the world. Thus we have every reason to take this Upanisad seriously as a 'Vidyā'. According to earlier seers and teachers a vidyā or a knowledge is a path to be trodden or followed in a particular manner and the fruits of such a path are indeed ultimate beatitude and realisation. Thus each Upanisad is a 'Vidyā', a clear and definite instruction of a particular path, integral to the realisation of the Goal, which of course could be reached by other vidyās also. Thus the Upanisads in all are said to give thirty-two vidyās, of which the Kaṭhopaniṣad deals with the Nāciketa-vidyā or Triṇāciketa or Nāciketa-Agni-vidyā.

The Kathopanisad is a very important Upanisad in yet another sense. It contains a general survey of the Vedic ritual and philosophy and eschatology. It has had the good fortune of having been much studied and written about by scholars both occidental and oriental, and stands only next to  $\bar{l} \dot{s} \bar{a}$  and the  $G\bar{\imath} t \bar{a}$ from the point of view of popularity. Śri Śankara commented on this work as also Śri Madhva, and Śri Rāmānuja has commented in his Śrī Bhāsya, on certain important points raised in this Upanisad, which have been taken into consideration by Bhagavan Badarayana for purposes of synthesis in his Vedānta Sūtras. These points have been reproduced at the end of this work. Śri Rangarāmānuja has commented on this work following closely the steps of Śri Rāmānuja and his expounders like Śri Sudarśana Sūri or Śrutaprakāśikācarya. Prof. Rawson, who is a careful scholar of this Upanişad, has stated in his work that he made no use of the Bhāṣya by Rangaramanuja because of its late date and since Śri Rāmānuja had discussed all that is necessary on intricate or important points. He however considers that Śri Rāmānuja's explanations are more in accord with the spirit of the Upanisad than those of others.

The Kathopanisad belongs to the Kāthaka School of the Kṛṣṇa Yajur Veda. We do not possess a Kathaka-Brāhmaṇa.

The Taittiriya Samhitā has both a Brāhmaņa and au Upanisad. The Taittiriya Brāhmaņa (III. 11.8 1-6) contains an outline of the Naciketa story with which the Kathopanişad opens and is parallel to the latter which is made more elaborate. Indeed according to Rangarāmānuja this anuvāka of the Taittiriya Brāhmaṇa is referred to by the Kathopaniṣad in I. 17. Sri Krishna Prem considers that we can see 'the germ of this Upaniṣad' in Rg Veda X. 135.

No attempt has here been made to deal with the possible relations of this Upaniṣad to the Bhagavad Gītā which contains much that is parallel to, if not precisely identical with the instruction in almost the very language used here. Nor to Buddhism. We have to point out that whatever may be the appropriateness in such parallels we have always to remember that a Vidyā is an integral instruction and it may undergo transformation under new conditions due to subordination to other Vidyās.

But one thing is certain that, even as in the Bhagavad Gita, the Kathopanisad insists upon the necessity to perform ordained or injuncted karma (for that is dharma) the svadharma of each individual, and its performance is capable of leading to the highest abode of immortal existence, and not merely to the lower heaven. Such karma is incapable of binding the soul to samsara. The truth is that disinterested action, or action divinised or offered to the Divine, action that reveals the glorious purpose of service to God, is capable of helping achievement of the immortal status for the soul. question that arises thereafter is as to the nature of that soul after mukti or moksa, whether it continues to be separate or lost in the One Divine variously described as the Ocean or the Nirvāņa state of Brahman? It is held by modern scholars that what Buddha meant by Nirvāṇa was a state of positive nature of the supremely Transcendent and not a negative state. It is clear that it is not annihilation as such or Pure Non-being. Any attainment of the Buddhistic metaphysical state of anniilation or loss of self or non-existence soul of as such has not formed part of the Upaniṣadic thought. It is particularly a feature of the rational method of Buddha, who in order to define the nature of the soul as held by the several thinkers, had to negate all that it is not. In this sense, Buddha went to the logical extreme of Yājñavalkya and insisted upon the apprehension of the futility of seeking to make permanent the impermanent congeries of affections, feelings, habits, desires and hopes. Truly we need the permanent, but the permanent is not all that it is described to be by materialistic metaphysics. Anyway it is not in line with the purpose of this Upaniṣad to investigate into the nature of the soul or self as such, but only as to what becomes of the soul or self on liberation, for it is clearly held that the soul does persist after death.

This Upanisad gives a definite answer to the question asked. It speaks in the first part of the Upanisad about the necessity to know the meaning of the Fire-altar as the Brāhmaṇas had taught it, (perhaps in the adhyātmic way too), and already the promise of the highest immortal state is envisaged in that section, though some commentators think otherwise.

But as the Upanisads are Adhyātma-śāstras or vidyās which instruct the occult truth and path towards the positive attainment of gnostic knowledge which could only be attained after a period of practice of self-control and service of the Divine (yama and niyama of the Yoga), the nature of the Supreme Self and that of the individual soul and its progressive attainment of the former are taught along with the steps of Yoga which lead to the ultimate realization. This Upanisad even like the Isa inculcates the conjoint performance of Avidyā (construction of the Fire-altar and the rituals prescribed) and the Vidya, which is the knowledge of the Tattva or Reality in respect of the three terms, God, soul and Nature, It is held by some thinkers that the final verses of the Kathopanisad are not integral to the Upanisad as it concludes earliar. It may be that these final verses reveal the culmination of the Yoga of concentration at the time of departure, antyakāla-

smarana. The antyakāla-smarana has been shewn to be very helpful by the Bhagavad Gītā in respect of determining the nature of the world that a man would reach. Or more properly if smarana pertains to concentration on any particular deity, it will be an invocation to that deity to lead him on to freedom or Bliss. This will reveal a psychological set-up in the conscioușness, a psychological set which will reveal the type of personality that the soul has been building up, whether towards liberation or towards mundane enjoyment, 'preyas' or 'sreyas' as the Kathopanisad beautifully puts it. It is an act of choice made under the great cloud of departure, the threat of death, and therefore revealing the inmost structure of the soul. its primary longing and conversion. That this choice could be made earlier and practised with assiduity is not denied, but the crucial moment is indeed the moment of departure, death. threat of possible physical annihilation. And such moments are spiritual pointers to the status of the soul in its integral being. Man's primary instinct is confronted with other desires and the balance of death decides which side is heavier. Man is then alone weighed and measured.

#### STRUCTURE OF THE UPANISAD

It consists of two (parts) adhyāyas, each of which contains three sections (vallis). With the exception of the first two sentences in the first part, the whole Upanisad is in metrical form. Since the first adhyāya concludes with the following passage "Nāciketam..." it is sometimes held that the Upanisad ends here and that the second adhyāya is a later addition. It is even claimed that the second adhyāya merely expands the teaching of the first adhyāya. The repetition of the last line (cd) in the first adhyāya confirms the above view that the natural conclusion should have been this alone. There is some difference between what is stated there and the conclusion in the second adhyāya. The real conclusion of the Upaniṣad seems to be the concluding verse of the second adhyāya (sixth valli): mṛṭyu proktam.....

#### FIRST ADHYAYA

It appears however that importance is attached to the story of Naciketas in the first adhyāya, whereas importance is attached to instruction regarding Reality (tattva), the means hita and the result (fruit, phala) as a whole in the second adhyāya. From this we find that this Upaniṣad contains, as is usual in all Upaniṣads, the three instructions on tattva, hita and puruṣāṛtha without which no vidyā can be followed, not to speak of being understood.

I. Valli. The story of Naciketas after his having been offered to Death by his father Vajaśravas is contained in this section. After having gone to the abode of Death he had to wait for three days ere Death returned and in lieu of this waiting and fasting for three days as a guest, he was offered three boous. The story after describing the first two boons which pertain to the earth (artha) and heaven (svarga) introduces the philosophical third, Mokşa or liberation from the round of existence in earth and heaven. Naciketas refused to entertain the third burusartha namely desire (kāma), and withstood the temptations offered to him by Yama in this regard. This rejection of the kāma-puruṣārtha is shown to be of the preyas or mere pleasant which is other than and inferior to the śreyas, the good, which alone is to be sought, and this śreyas is liberation-getting. No true philosophical instruction can have effectiveness unless the kāma-puruṣārtha is totally rejected, as Yama himself points out in this story in the opening lines of the second valli.

It may however be asked as to what difference there is between the second and the third boons inasmuch as according

<sup>1.</sup> It may be pointed out here that if in the Kenopanişad the story-myth is found in the third section, which illustrates the tattva, truth, enunciated in the earlier two sections, here the story myth comes at the very beginning of the Upanişad and its inner meaning elaborated in the second adhyāya. The Kena insists upon knowing that all activity proceeds from and is sustained by the Brahman: here it is shewn that all action is to be totally consecrated to the Divine alone who is the inner inler immortal, capable of being known within the heart along with the soul, that is also immortal, as its adorable Object.

<sup>2.</sup> cf. Introductions to Isa and Kena Upanisads SVOS. vols. 5 and 7).

to Rangarāmānuja svargya refers to a svarga that ie identical with "The eternal abode of Vișnu" and should bs considered to be identical with the Kenopanisad's final lines ananta-svarga-loka-jyeye. In the Tait. Brāhmaņa-story of Nāciketas, the second boon refers to dharma that is the full effectiveness of sacrificial performance, (tato vai tas yestā pūrte naksiyete) for the sake of which Yama teaches Naciketas the Naciketa-Fire even as in the Upanisad here. The third boon in the Brāhmana however refers to Immortality1—freedom from re-death—punar-mrtyu—a point also noticed in the second boon in the Upanisad I. 1.18cd. Yama in the Brāhmana teaches that the Nāciketa-fire itself secures that end, thus confirming the view that the Upanisad has clubbed together the second and third boons there to form one here. Thus there has arisen a new question as to whether the soul exists at all in or after attaining the state of immortality, and as to how it then exists.

The third boon asked by Naciketas could not be answered without a clear understanding of what the question is about. The question about the existence of the soul after death does not refer to the existence or non-existence of the soul as such, but to the nature of existence of the soul at liberation, that is, the nature of the liberated soul (mukta) and the nature of that which it attains, and the means to that final or peak attainment (param padam). Therefore we can see that the Upanişad is a logical development of the Biāhmaṇa's third boon. Unless we take this question in this way, Yama's answer contained in the II. 12 cannot be held to be relevant.

- II. Valli. The first portion of this Valli up to Mantra 11 is also an introduction to Yama's answer to the third question,
- 1. Sri Kiishna Fiem considers that it refers to the nature of the Fierd or 'passed over' self, whether it could be referred to as Is of Is not, the Upanisad definitely answers that such a one IS (asti), for it is here that there seems to be doubt.
- 2. There is no place here for the Buddhist view of general Śūnya, for it is clear that it really refers to the existence of a liberated soul as a separate entity or whether it non-exists having utterly merged in the One Being.

as it describes the qualifications of a seeker after this. It lays emphasis not only (i) on the detachment from preyas, worldly advancement and enjoyment, but also (ii) on the necessity of having a proper teacher to teach one that truth about 'sreyas,' the highest Good.

The tenth mantra of this Valli contains the significant statement of Yama "that he performed or constructed the Nāciketa fire-altar and he attained the Eternal by means of transitory things." Srī Śankara interprets the word 'eternal' as "comparatively enduring." The meaning given by Rangarāmānuja is in accordance with the Brāhmana statement tato vai so' pa punar mṛtyum ajayet.

Yama's answer to Naciketa's question is very brief. is contained in one single mantra (II. 12). Thereafter Yama tells Naciketas that he had already instructed him fully about that which he prayed for. But Naciketas asks Yama to tell him about the truth known as other than Dharma etc. Yama then begins to explain in detail the answer he gave cryptically in the twelfth mantra. Firstly he speaks about the Pranava, then about the nature of the soul and lastly about the Supreme soul (II. 22). In verse 20 the Grace of the supreme Creator is stated to be necessary in adhyātma Yoga for beholding the hidden Being in the cave, the Supreme Lord who is ānoraniyān mahato mahiyān. Dhātuh-prasāda is to be interpreted as the grace of the Creator (who is also the supporter and protector) and not merely as the mental peace or luminosity which undoubtedly is a necessity in Yoga for any large or real comprehension of the Divine Nature. This idea is not merely implicitly but also explicitly stated in the 23rd verse. The text of Rangarāmānuja reads it 'dhātuh-prasādāt' The verse 23 is interpreted by Rangaramanuja as referring to the grace of God which is 'tated to result from loving devotion or onepointed seeking in love. The concluding verses refer to the attainment of this knowledge and presence of the Divine within

<sup>1.</sup> Sri Krishna Prem's references to the Myths of Temptation are interesting and reveal a significant secret of occultism.

It must be remembered that the theory of the Mimāmsakas about the existence of strict causality or determinism between ritual and fruits is repudiated or rather bye-passed when the individual is asked to surrender himself for service to God through illumined love or one-pointed Yoga without seeking any fruits for his actions or dhyānas, since this non-seeking of anything for oneself is that which snaps the causal chain, and is the meaning of true love or devotion or pure wisdom or illumined understanding and service. This valli concludes with the intimation of certain rules of conduct and instructs certain virtues that are to be cultivated for enabling one to receive the Supreme Grace.

III Valli. The third valli deals with the hita or the means to the attainment of Supreme Grace, thus expanding the instruction given at the end of the second valli. It lays stress on the necessity to control the sense-organs. It mentions further that control is to be exercised gradually in the following order: firstly on the sense-organs, secondly on the objects of enjoyment, thirdly on the mind, fourthly on the intellect, fifthly on the soul, sixthly on the body as a whole (the Unmanifest) and lastly reach through the above stages (of dhāraṇa cum-pratyāhāra) the Supreme Self, whose grace alone is capable of granting final Liberation. As many scholars hold, Yoga-śāstra might have got its fundamental clues from this section.

#### SECOND ADHYAYA

IV Valli. After pointing out the distinction between the seeker and the non-seeker or the indifferent seeker, this valli describes the nature of the Supreme Self as dwelling in all creatures, thus distinguishing Him from the embodied jiva who resides in a body (IV. 5-8). It may be noted that on account of the indwelling of the Infinite Person in the body of the embodied soul, the Infinite Person assumes the size of a thumb (angustha-mātra) in the heart for the sake of meditation or conceivability.

V Valli. This valli develops the instruction regarding the indwelling-nature of Brahman with special reference to

His being responsible for all the actions of the soul. (cf first Kena I.): What may be considered to be a direct answer to the third question of Naciketas in the I valli "Ye yam prete vicikitsā..." (20) is here given by Yama who says "O Gautama, surely I shall teach you now the secret eternal Brahman and what the soul becomes after departure (release)" (V. 6-7) "Some souls enter wombs for getting bodies; others take up the form of the Unmoving in accordance with karma and in accordance with Knowledge", with which we may profitably compare Śrī Kṛṣṇa's reply to Arjuna's answei (Bh. Gītā VI. 40-47). The point at issue is, it may be noted, regarding the seeker mainly, and should not be held to be a reply regarding the ordinary man who has not entered on the spirtual path or Yogic evolution.

It may be seen here that Yama promises to teach first this secret eternal Brahman and then as to what becomes of the liberated soul after its departure from its body. Yama however answers the second part first in mantra 7, and the first is answered in the eighth mantra. This again shows that the third question after all refers not only to what becomes of the liberated soul after departure but also to the Nature of the Supreme Self, Brahman (who is held to be the Self of all souls including the departed and liberated ones). The paramam sukham in mantra 14 may have a possible reference to the Anandavalli of the Taitttrivopanisad wherein this description of Brahman is developed at length. Thus if the Isa-Rsi described the realization of God as the indwelling Lord of all beings and things, as leading to non-revulsion, non-grief and non-fear, if the Kena-Rsi taught the Supreme Cause as the "Desirable" (Tad Vanam), knowledge of Whom as such makes the realiser desireable, the Katha Seer, Yama, promises a more integral realization of the " Desirable" as the śreyas, as the transcendent Bliss, paramam sukham.

VI Valli. This valli deals with the Yoga of attainment in 9th, 10th and 11th verses. In mantra 4, with regard to the

difficulty in construction of the two lines Rangarāmānuja treats asakad as an active participle with nañ prefixed, which yields the meaning 'unable'. Like the Kenopaniṣad, this Upaniṣad in mantra 12 also takes special care to instruct that Brahman can be grasped neither by speech nor by mind fully; except through instruction received through a Guru it can never be grasped.

The Upanisad concludes with the additional knowledge that has to be learnt about the hundred psychonic paths  $(n\bar{a}d\bar{i}s)$  of the heart wherein the thumb-sized Lord dwells, one of which (later on known as Susumnā) leads upwards to immortality whilst others lead to lesser goals. It is this Nādi that has to be known as the path of exit at departure. It is considered by some modern writers that this knowledge may be a later addition. This not likely since the Brihadārānyaka seems to be aware of this fact. Further the path of exit is an integral piece of instruction to be given to a Yogin.

### CROSS REFERENCES.1

İ. Katha
 Same story: Tait. Brāḥ. III. 11.8
 3a=Brḥ. 4.4.11a=Var. Īśā. 3a
 Vaśiṣṭha Dharma Sūtra 11.13
 8=Bṛḥ. 6.4.12
 12=cf II. 1.1
 17cd=Var. Śvet. 4.11.cd.
 21bc=Maitri. I. 2

II. Katha 4=Var. Maitri. 7.9
5=Var. Mund. I. 2.8; Maitri. 7.9
7=Bh. G. II. 29
11=K.U. III, 2 & 1.12
12b=III. 1b; 4.6c; 4.7c

Muṇḍ. 2.1.8d; 3.1.7d Maitrī, 2.6; 6.4; Bhāṣkalam 18

13d: Ch. Up. 8.1.1-5
15=Bh. G. VIII. 11
16=Var. Maitri. 6.4
18, 19=Bh.G. II. 20, 19=Ch. VIII. 1.5.10.2 & 4
20=Śvet. 3, 20
22=Katha. IV. 4. cd,
23=Mund. 3, 2.3

III.2 Katha 1.d = Manu III. 18.5

3-5=Svet. 2.9, charioteer is either Agni=(RV: X. 51.6) or Breath (AA. II. 3.8). cf. RV. VI. 75.6; RV. X. 44.7

Maitri. 2.3-4

2-6

4.4 M. Bh. III. 2.66 (?)

III, 211.23

- 1. From Hume's: Ten Principal Upanisads; Rawson: The Katha Upanisad p. 45. Hill: Bhagavad Gītā; Hopkins: Great Epic of India: & Critical Ed. M. Bh. BORI.
- 2. Hopkins writes that the 3rd Valli of Katha is epitomised in Sānti Parva. Mh. Bh. XII. 247,1ff. (?)

V. 34.59

46.5

XI. 7.13

XII. 240. 11: XIV. 51.3

Mann, II.88

cf. Plato: Phaedrus.

4=Svet. I. 8, 9, 12: Maitri. 6.10

9d=RV. I. 22, 20a=Maitri. 6.26

Rāmāyana = VI. 41.25d (?)

10-12: Var. Mh. B. 12. 248, 3-5

10: Bh. G. III. 42

M. Bh. 12 297, 19, ed.

15=M. Bh. 12.240.17-18

#### IV. Katha la = Maitri.

3d = Kath. V. 4d

3 & 5 = Brh. 5.4 -

4.: 2. 22cd

5cd = 4, 12cd. Brh. 4, IV, 15cd (?)

9ab=AV. X. 18. 16a-b 1 (A.K.C.)1

9. a & d=AV. X. 18.16: Brh. I. 5. 23ab

9c = AV. X. 7.38; Brh. II. 5.15

9a: Brh. II. 5.15

10ed = Var. Brh. IV. 4. 19ed.: 10.11ab: Brh. IV 4.19ab

12=M. Bh. V. 45.24 (Cr. Ed.): Brh. IV. 4.15cd

13b=cf Maitri. VI. 2

13d=Brh. I. 5.23d

### V. Katha la=cf Svet. 3.18

2=RV. 4.40.15 (RV. 4.40.10)

=Mahānā = 10.6 = M. Bh. XII. 240.32: Tait S. i.

8.15

6=Śvet. 3.7

7d=cf. Kaus. 1.2

9b=Brh. 2.5.19: Rg. V. VI. 41.18

9c=Mund. 2.1.4d

1. A.K.C.: Ananda K. Coomaraswami. Notes on Kathopanisad, N.A.I. Vol. I.

12=Var. Svet. 6.12

13=Svet 6.13

15=Mund, 2.2.10; Svet. 6.14

cf Mai: 6.24; Bh. G. XV. 6,12

VI. Katha 1 = Bh. G: XV. 1-3 = V.

45.8 (Cr. Ed.)

3= Tait. II. 8

6 = Var: Bh. G. XIII. 30 = M. Bh. XII. 7.23

9=Var Śvet. 4.20, Mahānārāyaņa. I. 11

M. Bh. V. 45.6 (Cr. Ed.) 10=Mait. 6.30; pāda d=Bh. G, VIII, 21

11=cf Mund. II. 2.3; 3.2.4b

= Māṇd. 6

12=Ken. 3-ab; Mund. III. 1.8ab

14 = Brh. IV. 4.7

15 = Ch. 7.26.2; Mund. II. 2.8; III. 2.9

16=Ch. VIII. 6.6=Praś., 3.6

cf Mund. II. 2.6. Mai. VI. 30

17=Svet. III. 13ab

=Svet. V. 8; Mai. 6.38

M. Bh.

V. 45.24ab (Cri. Ed.)

Angusta Mātra Purusa: M. Bh. 12.284: 175a. (?

Sānkhya & Katha: iii. 10-13; VI. 7-11; Brh. I. 4.6

Ch. vi. 3.4

Praś. iv.

Svet. vi. 13.

## श्रीरस्तु श्री श्रीनिवासपर,ब्रह्मणे नमः

## श्रीरङ्गरामानुजम्रुनिविरचितभाष्ययुक्ता

# कठोपनिषत्

## प्रथमवही

हरिः ओं ।। उञ्जन् ह वै वाजश्रवसम्सर्ववेदसं ददौ । तस्य ह नचिकेता नाम पुत्र आस ।। १ ।।

अतसीगुच्छसच्छायमञ्चितोरस्थलं श्रिया । अञ्जनाचलश्रृंगारमञ्जलिमम गाहताम् ॥

व्यासं रुक्ष्मणयोगीन्द्रं प्रणम्यान्यान् गुरूनि । व्याख्यास्ये विदुषां प्रीत्ये कठवलीं यथामति ॥

उरान् ह वै वाजश्रवस इति । उरान् — कामयमानः । 'वरा कान्ता' वित्यसाच्छतिर 'महिज्ये'त्यादिना संभसारणम् । ह वै इति वृत्तार्थसारणार्थौ निपातौ । फलमिति रोषः । वाजश्रवसः— वाजेन अन्नेन दानादिकर्मभृतेन श्रवः कीर्तिः यस्य स वाजश्रवाः । तस्यापत्यं वाजश्रवसः । रुद्धिर्व वाजश्रवस इति । स किल ऋषिः विश्वजिता सर्वस्वदक्षिणेन यजमानः तस्मिन् ऋतौ, सर्ववेदसं सर्वस्वं ददौ — दत्तवानित्यर्थः । उरान्नित्यनेन कर्मणः काम्यत्वाद्दक्षिणान साद्गुण्यमावस्यकमिति स्च्यते । आस — बभ्व । 'छन्दस्युमयथे'ति लिटस्सार्वधातकत्वात 'स्वस्तये तार्क्ष्यं'मित्यादिवदस्तेभूभावामावः ॥ १ ॥

त इ कुमार सन्तं दक्षिणासु नीयमानासु श्रद्धाऽऽविवेश । सोऽमन्यत ॥ २ ॥

तं ह कुमारं सन्तिमिति । तं -- निचिकेतसं कुमारं सन्तं -- बालमेव सन्तं, ऋत्विग्भ्यो दक्षिणासु गोषु नोयमानासु सतीषु श्रद्धा-आस्तिक्यबुद्धिः पितुर्हितकम-

प्रयुक्ता आविवेश - आविष्टवती । यद्यपि यदानितकरं द्रव्यं तद्दक्षिणेत्युच्यते । एका चासौ कतावानितिरिति तदुपिधको दक्षिणाशन्दः एकवचनान्ततामेव रुभते । अत एव भूनामकैकाहकतौ 'तस्य धर्नुदक्षिणे'त्यत्न क्रत्सस्य गवाधादेः पाकृतस्य दिष्ठिण्यस्य निवृत्तिरिति 'तस्य धेनुरिति गवा'मिति दार्शिमकाधिकरणे स्थितम् । तथापि दक्षिणाशन्द्रोयं भृतिवचनः । स च कर्मापेक्षयापि प्रवर्तते, अस्मिन्कर्मणीयं भृतिरिति । कर्तुरपेक्षयापि प्रवर्तते, अस्मिन्कर्मण्यस्य पुरुषस्येयं भृतिरिति । ततिश्च ऋत्विग्वहृत्वापेक्षया दक्षिणाबहुत्वसंभवात् दक्षिणास्विति बहुवचनमुपपद्यते । अत एव ऋतपेये 'औदुम्बरस्सोमचमसो दक्षिणा स प्रियाय सगोलाय ब्रह्मणे देय ग्रह्मत्यत्व एकवाक्यतापक्षे ब्रह्मभागमालेपि दक्षिणाशन्दरस्यावयवरुक्षणामन्तरेण मुख्यन्त्रोपपत्तेस्तन्मालवाध इत्युक्तं दशमे 'यदि तु ब्रह्मणस्तद्नं तद्विकारस्त्या दित्यिध-करणे । ततश्च कत्वपेक्षया दक्षिणोक्येपि ऋत्विगपेक्षया दक्षिणाभेदसंभवाद्विणानिति बहुवचनस्य नानुपपितिरिति द्रष्टव्यम् ॥ २ ॥

## पीतोदका जग्धतृणा दुग्धदोहा निरिन्द्रियाः। अनन्दा नाम ते लोकास्तान् स गच्छित ता ददत्।। ३।।

श्रद्धाप्रकारमेव दर्शयति—पीतोदका इति । पीतमुदकं याभिस्ताः पीतोदकाः । जग्धं मिस्तिः तृणं याभिस्ताः जग्धतृणाः । दुग्धः दोहः क्षीराख्यो याभिस्ताः दुग्धदोहाः । निरिन्द्रियाः —अप्रजननसमर्थाः । जीर्णा निष्फळा इति यावत् । या एवंभूता गावः ताः ऋत्विग्भ्यो दिक्षणाबुद्ध्या द्दत् प्रयच्छन् अनन्दाः—असुखाः ते—शास्त्रसिद्धा लोकास्मन्ति नाम—खळु । तान् स यजमानो गच्छति । एवममन्यतेत्यर्थः ॥ ३ ॥

# स होवाच पितरं तत कस्मै मां दास्यसीति । द्वितीयं त्रितीयं तर्रहोवाच मृत्यवे त्वा ददामीति ।। ४ ।।

स होवाच पितरमिति । दीयमानदक्षिणावैगुण्यं मन्यमानः नचिकेताः स्वात्मदान् नेनापि पितुः क्रतुसाद्गुण्यमिच्छन् आस्तिकाग्रेसरः पितरमुपगम्योवाच । तत—हे तात कस्मै ऋत्विजे दक्षिणार्थं मां दास्यसीति । एवमुक्तेनापि पित्रा उपेक्ष्यमाणोपि द्वितीयं तृतीयमपि पर्यायं कस्मै मां दास्यसीत्युवाच । एवं बहु निर्वध्यमानः पिता कुपितः तं पुत्रं मृत्यवे ददामीत्युक्तवान् ॥ ४ ॥

## बहूनामेमि प्रथमो बहूनामेमि मध्यमः । कि स्खिद्यमस्य कर्तव्यं यन्मयाद्य करिष्यति ॥ ५॥

एवमुक्तोपि पुतः विगतसाध्वसशोकः पितरमुवाच वहूनामेमीति । सर्वेषां मृत्युसदनगन्तॄणां पुरतः मध्ये वा गच्छामि न तु मन्थरः पश्चात् । मृत्युसदनगनने न कोपि मम विचार इति भावः । किन्तर्हीत्यताह किस्विद्यमस्येति । मृत्युर्यद्य मया करिष्यति तत्ताहशं यमस्य कर्तव्यं किं वा । पूर्णकामस्य मृत्योः माहशेन बालिशेन किं प्रयोजनं स्यात् । येन ऋत्विग्भ्य इव तस्मै मद्र्पणं सफलं स्यात् । अत एतदेवानुशोचामीति भावः ॥ ५॥

## अनुपश्य यथा पूर्वे प्रतिपश्य तथा परे। सस्यिमव मर्त्यः पच्यते सस्यिमवाजायते पुनः ॥ ६॥

साध्वसरोषावेशहीनमीदृशपुत्रवाक्यं श्रुत्वा 'क्रोधावेशान्मया मृत्यवे त्वां ददामीत्युक्तस् । नेदृशं पुत्रं मृत्यवे दातुमुत्सह ' इति पश्चात्तप्तहृद्यं पितरमाछोक्योवाच —
अनुपश्चेति । पूर्वे — पितामहाद्यः यथा मृषावादं विनेव स्थिताः यथा चापरे साधवोऽद्यापि तिष्ठन्ति तानन्वीक्ष्य तथा वर्तितव्यमिति भावः । सस्यमिवेति । मर्त्यससस्यमिवाल्पेनापि कालेन जीर्यति । जीर्णश्च मृत्या सस्यमिव पुनराजायते । एवमिनित्ये जीवलोके कि मृषाकरणेन, पाल्य सत्यं, प्रेष्य मां मृत्यव इति भावः ॥ ६॥

# वैश्वानरः प्रविशत्यतिथित्रीक्षणो गृहान् । तस्यैता श्वान्ति कुर्वन्ति हर वैवस्वतोदकम् ॥ ७॥

एवमुक्त्वा प्रेषितः प्रोषितस्य मृत्योद्वारि तिस्रो रात्रीरनञ्चननुवास । ततः प्रोध्यागतं यमं द्वास्थां बृद्धा ऊचुः—वैश्वानरःप्रविशतीति । साक्षाद्भिरेवातिथिः ब्राह्मण-स्सन् गृहान् प्रविशति । तस्याग्नेरेतां पाद्यासनदानादिरुक्षणां शान्ति कुर्वन्ति सन्तः, तदपचारेण दग्धा मा भूमेति । अतः हे वैवस्वत नचिकेतसे पाद्यार्थमुद्कं हर आहरेत्यर्थः ॥ ७॥

आशाप्रतीक्षे सङ्गतः सन्ताश्रेष्टापूर्ते पुत्रपश्रःश्य सर्वान् । एतद्वृङ्के पुरुषस्याल्पमेधसो यस्यानश्रन् वसति ब्राह्मणो गृहे ॥ ८ ॥

अकरणे प्रत्यवायं च दर्शयन्ति सा — आशाप्रतीक्षे इति । यस्य अल्पमेधसः— अल्पप्रज्ञस्य पुरुषस्य गृहे अनश्नन् अभुञ्जानः अतिथिर्वसिति । तस्य अशाप्रतीक्षे — कामसङ्कल्पौ । यद्वा अनुत्पन्नवस्तुविषयेच्छा आशा । उत्पन्नवस्तु-प्राप्तीच्छा प्रतीक्षा । सङ्गतं सत्सङ्गम् । स्नृतां सत्यप्रियवाचम् । इष्टापूर्ते — इष्ट यागादि पूर्व खातादि । पुत्रान् पश्रंश्च एतत् अनशनरूपं पापं वृङ्क्ते—वर्जयति । नाशयतीत्यर्थः । वृजीवर्जने । स्थादित्वात् श्रम् । वृजिवर्जने इत्यसाद्धातो वां इदित्वान्तुम् । अदादित्वाच्छपो छक् ॥ ८॥

तिस्रो रातीर्यद्वात्सीर्ग्रहे मेऽनश्चन् ब्रह्मन् अतिथिर्न-मस्यः। नमस्तेस्तु ब्रह्मन् स्वस्ति मेस्तु तस्मात्व्रति तीन् वरान् वृणीष्व॥९॥

एवं वृद्धेरुक्तो मृत्युः निचकेतसमुवाच – तिस्रो रात्नीर्यद्वात्सीरिति । मे गृहे यसाद्धेतोः हे ब्रह्मन् नमस्काराहों ऽतिथिस्त्वं तिस्रो रात्नीरभुङ्जान एव अवान्सीरित्यर्थः । नमस्त इति । स्पष्टोर्थः । तस्मादिति । तस्माद्धेतोः मह्यं स्वस्ति यथा स्यादित्येवमर्थं त्रीन्वरान् प्रति—(तान्) उद्दिश्य वृणीष्व प्रार्थय । तव लिप्साभा-वेऽपि मदनुप्रहार्थमनशनरात्रिसमसंख्याकान् त्रीन्वरान्वृणीष्वेत्यर्थः ॥ ९ ॥

शान्तसङ्क ल्पस्सुमना यथा स्याद्वीतमन्युर्गीतमो माभिमृत्यो। त्वत्त्रसृष्टं माभिवदेत् प्रतीत एतत् त्रयाणां प्रथमं वरं वृणे।।१०।।

एवं प्रार्थितो निचकेतास्त्वाह — शान्तसङ्कल्प इति । हे मृत्यो मत्पुत्रो यमं प्राप्य किंकिरिष्यतीति मद्विषयचिन्तारहितः प्रसन्नमनाः माऽभि—मां प्रति मम पिता गौतमः वीतरोषश्च यथा स्यादित्यर्थः । किञ्च त्वत्प्रसृष्टमिति । त्वया गृहाय प्रेषितं माभि—मां प्रति प्रतीतः — यथापूर्वं प्रीतस्तन्वदेत् । यद्वा अभिवदेत् आशिषं प्रयुक्त्यात् (१) । 'अभिवदित नाभिवादयत ' इति स्मृतिषु अभिवदनस्य आशीर्वादे प्रयोगात् । एतदिति । स्पष्टोर्थः ॥ १० ॥

यथा पुरस्ताद्भविता प्रतीत औदालकिरारुणिर्मत्त्रसृष्टः । सुख्य रात्रीदश्यिता वीतमन्युस्त्वां दिश्यान्मृत्युमुखात्त्र-मुक्तम् ॥ ११ ॥

एवमुक्तो मृत्युः प्रत्युवाच — यथा पुरस्तादिति । यथापूर्वं त्विय हृष्टो भिवता । उद्दालक एव औद्दालकः । अरुणस्य अपत्यं आरुणिः । द्व्यामुष्यायणो वा । उद्दालकस्यापत्यं अरुणस्य गोत्रापत्यिमितिवाऽर्थः । मत्त्रसृष्टः — मदनुज्ञातः । मदनुगृहीतस्सन् । मदनुग्रहादित्यर्थः । सुखिमिति । त्विय गतमन्युस्सन् उत्तरा अपि रात्रीस्सुखं शियता । छुट् । सुखिनद्रां प्राप्स्यतीति यावत् । दिश्वान् दृष्टवान् सित्तत्यर्थः । कसन्तोऽयं शब्दः । दृशेश्चेति वक्तव्यमिति कसोरिट् । छान्दसो द्विचेचनाभावः । मत्प्रस्ष्टृष्टमिति द्वितीयान्तपाठे प्रोषितं त्वामिति योजना ॥

स्वर्गे लोके न भयं किश्चनास्ति न तत्र त्वं न जरया विभेति । उभे तीर्त्वा अज्ञनायापियासे ज्ञोकातिगो मोदते स्वर्गलोके ।। १२ ॥

नचिकेता वरं द्वितीयं प्रार्थयते — स्वर्गे ठोक इत्यादिना मन्त्रद्वयेन । अत स्वर्गशब्दो मोक्षस्थानपरः। यथा चैतत्त्रथोत्तरत्न वक्ष्यते । हे मृत्यो त्वं तत्न न प्रभवसि । जरायुक्तस्सन्न विमेति जरातो न विमेति । तत्न वर्तमानः पुरुष इति शेषः । उमे इति । अश्वनाया बुभुक्षा । अतापि स्वर्गशब्दो मोक्षस्थानपरः ॥ १२ ॥

> स त्वमिश्यस्वर्गमध्येषि मृत्यो प्रबृहि तप्श्रद्धधानाय मह्मम् । स्वर्गलोका अमृतत्वं भजन्ते एतद्द्वितीयेन वृणे वरेण ॥ १३ ॥

स त्यमिति । पुराणादिप्रसिद्धसार्वेश्यस्तं स्वर्गप्रयोजनमित्रं जानासि । 'स्वर्गा-दिभ्यो यद्वक्तव्य' इति प्रयोजनिमत्येथे यत् । स्वर्ण्डल्ख्पाभेः स्वर्गप्रयोजनकतं च उपासनाद्वारेति उत्तरत्न स्फुटम् । श्रद्धधानाय—मोक्षश्रद्धावते । स्वर्गलोकेन तव किं सिद्ध्यतीत्यत्राह—स्वर्गलोका इति । स्वर्गो लोको येषां ते । परमपदं प्राप्ता इत्यर्थः । ' परं ज्योतिरुपसंपद्य स्वेन रूपेणाभिनिष्पद्यते ' इति देशविशेषविशिष्टब्रह्मप्राप्तिपूर्व-कत्वात् स्वरूपाविर्मावलक्षणमोक्षश्रव्यतामृतत्वस्येति भावः । एतदिति स्पष्टम् ॥ १३॥ प्रते ब्रवीमि तदु मे निवोध स्वर्ग्यमिष्ठं निविकेतः प्रजा-नन् । अनन्तलोकाप्तिमथो प्रतिष्ठां विद्धि त्वमेनं निहितं गुहायाम् ॥ १४॥

एवमुक्तो मृत्युराह—प्र ते व्रवीमीति । प्रार्थितवते तुभ्यं प्रव्रवीमि । 'व्यवहिता-द्वे 'ति व्यवहितप्रयोगः । मम उपदेशाज्जानीहीत्यर्थः । ज्ञानस्य फलं दर्शयति — स्वर्ग्यमि मिति । अनन्तस्य विष्णोः लोकः तत्प्राप्तिम् । 'तिद्वष्णोः परमं पद 'मित्युत्तरत्न वक्ष्यमाणत्वात् । अथो तत्प्राप्त्यनन्तरं प्रतिष्ठां अपुनरावृत्तं च । लभत इति शेषः । तद्ज्ञानस्येदृशसामध्यं कथं संभवतीति मन्यमानं प्रत्याह—विद्वीति । ब्रह्मोपासनाज्ञतया एतद्ज्ञानस्य मोक्षहेतुत्वलक्षणमेतत्स्वरूपं गुहायां निहितं अन्ये न जानन्ति । त्वं जानीहोति भावः । यद्वा ज्ञानार्थकस्य विदेर्लभार्थ-कत्वसंभवात् अभि प्रजानस्वं अनन्तलोकाप्तिं प्रतिष्ठां लभस्वेत्युक्ते हेतुहेतुमद्भावः सिद्धो भवति । प्रजानन् लक्षणहेत्वोरिति शतृप्रत्ययः ॥ १४ ॥

> लोकादिमिं तमुवाच तस्मै या इष्टका यावतीर्वा यथा वा । स चापि तत्प्रत्यवदद्यथोक्तमथास्य मृत्युः पुनरे-वाह तुष्टः ॥ १५ ॥

अनन्तरं श्रुतिवाक्यं लोकादिमग्निमिति । लोकस्य आदिं हेतुं । स्वर्म्यमिति यावत् । तमासमुवाच । यल्लक्षणा इष्टकाङ्चेत्व्याः यत्संस्याकाः येन प्रकारेण् चेत्व्याः तत्सर्वमुक्तवानित्यर्थः । यावतीरिति पूर्वसवणेङ्कान्दसः । स चापीति । स च नचिकेताः तत् श्रुतं सर्वं तथैवानूदितवानित्यर्थः । अथास्येति । शिष्यस्य महणसामध्येद्शीनेन सन्तुष्टस्सन् मृत्युः पुनरप्युक्तवान् ॥ १५ ॥

तमत्रवीत् प्रीयमाणो महात्मा वरं तवेहाद्य ददामि भूयः । तवैव नाम्ना भवितायमिः सुङ्कां चैमामनेकरूपां गृहाण ॥ १६॥

तमत्रवीदिति । सन्तुष्य महामना मृत्युः नचिकेतसमत्रवीत् । पुनश्चतुर्थं वरं ददानि प्रयच्छानीति । किन्तत् तन्नाह — तवैवेति । मयोच्यमानोऽमिस्तवैव

नाम्ना नाचिकेत इति प्रसिद्धो भविता । विचित्रां सृङ्कां शब्दवतीं रत्नमारां स्वीकुर्वित्यर्थः ॥ १६ ॥

त्रिणाचिकेतस्त्रिभिरेत्य सन्धि त्रिकर्मकुत्तरति जन्ममृत्यू । ब्रह्मजज्ञं देवमीडचं विदित्वा निचाय्येमा शान्तिमत्यन्त-मेति ॥ १७ ॥

पुनरिष कर्म प्रस्तौति — तिणाचिकेत इति । त्रिणाचिकेतः — 'अयं वाव यः पवते ' इत्याचनुवाकत्रयाध्यायी । त्रिकर्मकृत् —यजनाध्ययनदानकृत् —पाकयज्ञह विधेज्ञसोमयज्ञकृद्धा । तिभिः त्रिरनुष्ठितैर्राभिः । सिन्धं — परमात्मोपासनेन संबन्धम् । एत्य —प्राप्य जन्ममृत्यू तरतीत्यर्थः । 'करोति तचेन पुनर्न जायते ' इत्यनेनैकार्थ्यात् । एवमेव ह्ययं मन्तः 'त्रयाणामेव चैव'मिति स्त्रे व्यासार्थैविवृतः । तिभिरेत्य संधिमिति निर्दिष्टमङ्गिमृतं परमात्मोपासनमाह — ब्रह्मज्ज्ञमिति । अयं मन्तः 'विशेषणाचे'ति सूत्रभाष्ये 'ब्रह्मजज्ञः — जीवः । ब्रह्मणो जातत्वात् ज्ञत्वाच । तं देवमीड्यं विदित्वा । जीवात्मानमुपासकं ब्रह्मात्मकत्वेनावगम्येत्यर्थः ' इति विवृतः । देवशब्दस्य परमात्मवाचितया जीवपरयोश्चेक्यासंभवादत्रत्यदेवशब्दस्य परमात्मात्मकत्वमर्थं इति भाष्याभिप्रायः । निचाय्य — ब्रह्मात्मकं स्वात्मानं साक्षात्कृत्य । इनां — 'त्रिकर्म-कृत्तर्ता'ति पूर्वमन्त्रनिर्दिष्टां संसारक्रपानर्थशान्तिमेतीत्यर्थः ॥ १०॥

तिणाचिकेतस्त्रयमेति इदित्वा य एवं विद्वारश्चित्तते नाचि-केतम् । स मृत्युपाशान्पुरतः प्रणोद्य शोकातिगो मोदते स्वर्गलोके ॥ १८॥

तिणाचिक्त इति । तिणाचिक्तः, उक्तोर्थः । तयमेतद्विदित्वा 'ब्रह्मज्ञं देवमीड्यं'मिति मन्त्रनिर्दिष्टं ब्रह्मस्वरूपं, तदात्मकस्वात्मस्वरूपं, 'त्रिभिरेत्य संधि' मिति निर्दिष्टाग्निस्वरूपं च । विदित्वा— गुरूपदेशेन शास्त्रतो वा ज्ञात्वा । य एवं विद्वान् एतादृशार्थत्रयानुसंधानपूर्वकं नाचिकेतमिं यश्चिनुते सः मृत्युपाशान् रागद्वेषादिरुक्षणान् । पुरतः – शरीरपातात्पूर्वमेव । प्रणोद्य— तिरस्कृत्य । जीव-इशायामेव रागादिरहितस्सिन्नित्यर्थः । शोकातिगो मोदते स्वर्गठोक इति पूर्वमेव व्याख्यातम् ॥ १८ ॥

यो वाप्येतां ब्रह्मजज्ञात्मभृतां चितिं विदित्वा चिनुते नाचिकेतम् । स एव भृत्वा ब्रह्मजज्ञात्मभृतः करोति तद्येन पुनर्न जायते ॥ १९ ॥

यो वाप्येतामिति । यः एतां चिति ब्रह्मजज्ञात्ममृतां विदित्वा ब्रह्मात्मकस्वरूपत-यानुसंघाय नाचिकेतमिं चिनुते स एव ब्रह्मात्मकस्वात्मानुसन्धानशाळी सन् अपुन-भैवहेतुभृतं यद्भगवदुपासनं तद्नुतिष्ठति । ततश्चान्नौ भगवादात्मकस्वात्मानुसन्धान-पूर्वकमेव चयनं 'त्रिभिरेत्य सिन्धं तिकमैक्कचरित जन्ममृत्यू ' इति पूर्वमन्त्रे भगव-दुपासनद्वारा मोक्षसाधनतया निर्दिष्ठत्वात् (ष्टम्)। नान्यदिति भावः। अयं च मन्तः केषुचित्कोशेषु न दृष्टः। कैश्चिद्वयाकृतश्च। अथापि प्रत्ययितव्यतमैर्व्यासार्यादि-भिरेव व्याख्यातत्वान्न प्रक्षेपशङ्का कार्या। १९।।

> एष तेग्निर्नचिकेतः स्वर्ग्यो यमष्टणीथा द्वितीयेन वरेण। एत मिंगं तवैव प्रवक्ष्यन्ति जनासस्तृतीयं वरं निचकेतो वृणीष्व॥२०॥

एष तेशिर्निचिकेतः स्वर्ग्यः । उपदिष्ट इति शेषः । यमगृणीथा द्वितीयेन वरेण । स्पष्टोर्थः । किञ्च एतमग्निमिति । जनास्तवेव नाम्ना एतमभ्नि प्रवक्ष्यन्ती-त्यर्थः । तृतीयमित्यादि । स्पष्टोर्थः । नन्वेतत्प्रकरणगतानां स्वर्गशब्दानां मोक्ष-परत्वे किं प्रमाणमिति चेत् । उच्यते । भगवतेव भाष्यकृता 'स्वर्ग्यमिश्न मिति मन्तं प्रस्तुत्य स्वर्गशब्देनात परमपुरुषार्थरुक्षणमोक्षोऽभिधीयते । स्वर्गर्शका अमृतत्वं भजन्त इति तत्रस्थस्य जननमरणाभावश्रवणात् , 'त्रिणाचिकेतस्त्रिभिरेत्य संधिं त्रिकर्मकृत्तरति जन्ममृत्यू ' इति प्रतिवचनात् , तृतीयवरप्रश्ने नचिकेतसा क्षयिपरुश्वां तिनिद्ष्यमाणतया क्षयिपरुश्वेन नचिकेतसा क्षयिप्पुस्वर्गफरुसा-धनस्य प्रार्थ्यमानत्वानुपपत्तेश्च, स्वर्गशब्दस्य प्रकृष्टसुखवचनतया निरवधिकानन्दस्त्य-मोक्षस्य स्वर्गशब्दवाच्यत्वसंभवादिति कण्ठतस्तात्पर्यतश्च प्रतिपादितत्वान्न शङ्काव-काशः । ननु 'स्वर्गे रोके न भयं किंचनास्ति न तत्र त्वं न जर्या विभेति । उभे तीर्त्वा अश्वनायापिपासे शोकातिगो मोदते स्वर्गरोके ॥ स त्वमि स्वर्गमध्येषि मृत्यो प्रवृहि तं श्रद्धधानाय मह्यम् । स्वर्गरोका अमृतत्वं भजन्त एतद्दितीयेन वृणे वरेण '॥ इति द्वितीयवरप्रश्नमन्तद्वये चतुरभ्यस्तस्य स्वर्गशब्दस्य मोक्षपरत्वं

किं मुख्यया वृत्त्या? उत अमुख्यया? नाद्यः । 'स्वर्गापवर्गमार्गाभ्यां, स्वर्गापवर्गयोरेकं न ंस्वर्गं नापुनर्भवं, स स्वर्गस्स्या-सर्वान्प्रत्यविःशिष्टत्वात् ' इत्यादिप्रयोगेप्वपवर्गप्रतिद्वं-द्विवाचितया छोकवेदप्रसिद्धस्य स्वर्गशब्दस्य मोक्षवाचित्वाभावात् । 'ध्रुवसूर्यान्तरे यतु नियुतानि चतुर्दश । स्वर्गछोकस्स कथितो छोकसंस्थानचिन्तकै 'रिति पुराण-वचनानुसारेण सूर्यध्रवान्तर्वार्तेलोकविशेषस्यैव स्वर्गशब्दवाच्यतया तत्रैव लोकिक-वैदिकव्यवहारदर्शनेन मोक्षस्थानस्यातथात्वात् । नाप्यमुख्ययेति द्वितीयः पक्षः । मुख्यार्थे बाधकाभावात् । किमत प्रश्नवाक्यगतं जरामरणराहित्यामृतत्वभाक्तवा-दिकं बाधकम् ? उत प्रतिवचनगतजरामृत्युतरणादि? उत क्षयिष्णुस्वर्गस्य सर्व-कामविमुखनचिकेतःप्रार्थ्यमानत्वानुपयिर्वा ? नाद्यः । स्वर्गेळोकवासिनां जरा-मरणक्षुत्पिपासाञोकादिराहित्यस्य अमृतपानादमृतत्वप्राप्तेश्च पुराणेषु स्वर्गस्वरूपकथन-प्रकरणेषु दर्शनात् , ' आभूतसंष्ठवं स्थानममृतत्वं हि भाष्यते ' इति सारणात् , अत्रैव ' अजीर्यताममृतानामुपेत्ये ' ति मृत्यावप्यमृतशब्दप्रयोगदर्शनाच स्वर्गछो-कवासिनामेव ब्रह्मोपासनद्वारा 'ते ब्रह्मछोके तु परान्तकाल 'इति श्रृत्युक्तरीत्या अमृतःवप्राप्तेस्संभवेन 'स्वर्गछोका अमृतःतं भजन्त 'इत्यस्योपपतेश्च आपेक्षिका-लोकवेदनिरूढौपसंहारिकामृतराबदानुसारेण प्रक्रमस्थानन्यथासिद्ध-विशेष्यवाचिस्वर्गशब्दस्यान्यथानयनासंभवात् । न हि देवदत्तोऽभिरूप इत्युक्ते अभिरूपपदस्वारस्यानुसारेण देवदत्तपदस्यात्यन्ताभिरूपयज्ञदत्तपरत्वमश्रीयते । न द्वीतीयः । 'त्रिणाचिकेतस्त्रिभिगरिति भन्तस्य स्वर्गसाधनस्यैवाग्नेस्त्रिरभ्यासे जन्म-मृत्युतरणहेनुभूतब्रह्मविद्याहेतुत्वनस्तीत्येतदर्थकतया स्वर्गशब्दस्य मुख्यार्थपरत्वाबाध-कत्वात् । अत एव तत्तुल्यस्य 'करोति तचेन पुनर्न जायते ' इत्यस्यापि न स्वर्ग-शब्दमुख्यार्थबाधकत्वम् । नापि क्षयिष्णोः स्वर्गस्य फलान्तरविमुखनचिकेतः-प्रार्थ्यमानत्वानुपपत्तिरिति तृतीयः पक्षः । स्वर्गसाधनाग्निप्रश्नं प्रतिब्रुवता हितैपिणा मृत्युना अपृष्टेऽपि मोक्षस्वरूपे ' अनन्तलोकाप्तिमथो प्रतिष्ठां, तिणाचिकेतस्त्रिभिरेत्य संधिं तिकर्मकृतरति जन्ममृत्यू ' इत्यादिनोपक्षिप्ते उत्पन्ना मुमुक्षा 'अन्यं वरं नचिकेतो वृणीप्वेति प्रतिषेधेन दढीकृता । तस्यां च दशायां क्रियमाणा क्षयिष्णुफलनिन्दा प्रचीनस्वर्गप्रार्थनायाः कथं बाधिका स्यात् । 'श्वो भावा मर्त्यस्ये ' त्यादौ मर्त्यभोग-निन्दाया एव दर्शनेन स्वर्गनिन्दाया अदर्शनात् । स्वर्गशब्दस्य मोक्षपरत्वे तस्य ज्ञानैकसाध्यतया तत्त्रयोजनकत्वस्यामावभावात् उपक्रमोपसंहारमध्याभ्यस्तस्वर्गशब्द-पीडाप्रसङ्गात् । सन्तु वा प्रतिवचने बाधकानि, अश्राप्युपक्रमाधिकरणन्यायेन प्रथ-मस्यप्रश्नवाक्यस्थस्वर्गशब्दस्यैव प्रबल्दवात् । न च 'म्यसां स्थात्सधर्मत्व 'मिति न्यायात् भ्योनुम्रहार्थं अरूपस्योपक्रमस्य बाध्यत्वमस्त्विति वाच्यम् । 'मुख्यं वे 'ति स्त्रे औपसंहारिकबह्वपेक्षयापि मुख्यस्यैव प्राबल्योक्तेः । तस्मात्स्वर्गशब्दस्य मुख्यार्थ-परित्यागे न किञ्चित्कारणमिति ॥

अत्रोच्यते—स्वर्गशब्दस्य मुख्ययेव वृत्त्या मोक्षवाचित्वम् । स्वर्गकामाधि-करणे नागृहीत्विशेषणन्यायेन स्वर्गशब्दस्य प्रीतिवचनत्वमेव, न प्रीति-इत्युक्तवा, ननु स्वर्गशब्दस्य नागृहीतविशेषणन्यायेन विशिष्टद्रव्यवाचिता प्रीतिवचनत्वे सिद्धेपि देहान्तरदेशान्तरभोग्यप्रीतिवाचिता न सिद्धचेत्। न च ' यसिन्नोष्ण ' मिति वानचरोषाद्धिष्युदेशस्यस्वर्गशब्दस्य पीतिविशेषवाचितानिश्चय इति वाच्यम् । प्रीतिमालवाचित्वेन निर्णीतशक्तिकतया सन्देहाभावेन 'सन्दिग्धे त वाक्यशेषा दिति न्यायस्यानवतारादिति परिचोद्य यद्यपि लोक एव स्वर्गशब्दस्य निर्णीतार्थता, तथापि स्रोकावगतसातिशयसुखवाचित्वे तत्साधनत्वं ज्योतिष्टोमादीनां स्यात् । तथा चाल्यधननरायाससाध्ये छौिकके तदुपायान्तरे संभवति न बहुधन-नरायाससाध्ये बह्वन्तराये ज्योतिष्टोमादौ प्रक्षावान् प्रवर्तत इति प्रवर्तकत्वं ज्योतिष्टोमादिविधेर्न स्यात् । अतः वाकयशेषावगते निरतिशयपीतिविशेषे स्वर्ग-शब्दस्य शक्तौ निश्चितायां वाक्यशेषाभावस्थलेपि यववराहादिप्विव स एवार्थः । लैकिके सातिशयप्रीतिभरिते गुणयोगादेव वृत्तेरुपपतेन शक्तयन्तरकरूपना । न च पीतिमात्रवचनस्यैव स्वर्गशब्दस्य वेदे निरतिशयपीतिवाचित्वमस्त्वित वाच्यम् । निरतिशयत्वांशस्यान्यतोऽनवगतत्वेन तत्रापि शक्तववश्यंमावेन स्वर्गशब्दस्य लोकवेद-योरनेकार्थता स्यात् । यदा तु वैदिकप्रयोगावगतनिरितशयप्रीतिवाचिता, तदा साति-शये छैकिके पीतित्वसामान्ययोगाद्गौणी वृत्तिरिति मीमांसकैर्निरतिशयसुखवाचित्वस्यैव समर्थिततया मोक्षस्य स्वर्गशब्दवाच्यत्वे विवादायोगात्, पार्थशब्दस्यार्जुन इव तदितर-पृथापुत्रेषु प्रचुरमयोगाभावेऽपि पार्थशन्दमुरूयार्थत्वानपायवत् स्वर्गशन्दस्य सूर्य-ध्रुवान्तर्वितिस्रोकगतसुखिनरोष इव अन्यत प्रचुरप्रयोगाभावेपि वाच्यत्वानपायात् ।

बर्हिराज्यादिशब्दानामसंस्कृततृणघृतादिष्वार्थेरप्रयुज्यमानानामपि अस्त्येव तद्वाचित्वम्। केषां चिद्रप्रयोगमात्रस्य शक्त्यभावासाधकत्वात् । अतस्तृणत्वादिजातिवचना एव बर्हिरादिशब्दा इति बर्हिराज्याधिकरणे स्थितत्वात् । तदुक्तं वार्तिके--'एकदेशेपि यो दृष्टः शब्दो जातिनिबंधनः । तद्त्यागान्न तस्यास्ति निमित्तान्तरगामिता।।' इति । तत्रश्च स्वर्गशब्दो मोक्षसाधारण एव ।।

नन् बर्हिराज्यादिशब्देषु असंस्क्रनतृणघृतादौ आर्यप्रयोगाभावेपि अनार्य-प्रयोगसत्वात् असंस्कृतवाचिनाऽस्तु नाम । स्वर्गशब्दस्य सूर्यभ्रवान्तर्वितिस्रोकसुख-विशेषातिरिक्तस्थले नियमेनाप्रयोगात्तद्वचावृत्तैव शक्तिरभ्युनगन्तव्या । अत एव पोद्गात्रधिकरणे उद्गात्रशब्दस्य ऋत्विग्विशेषे इतरव्यावृत्तप्रयोगविशेषेण स्टटतात्तस्य <sup>र</sup> चोद्गातुरेकत्वेन ' भेतु होतुश्चमसः भोद्गातृणा मिति बहुवचनार्थबहुत्वासंभवात् तदन्व-यार्थं रूढिपूर्वकळक्षणया अवसुब्रह्मण्यानामेकस्रोत्रसंबन्धिनां तयाणां वा ससुब्रह्मण्यानां चतुर्णो वा उद्गात्रादीनां छन्दोगानां अहणमित्येतद्विरुध्येत । तथाहि -- अहीनाघि-करणे ' तिस्र एव साह्नस्योपसदः द्वादशाहीनस्ये ' त्यत्र अहीनशब्दस्य 'अहः-खःक्राता ' विति व्याकरणस्मृत्या खप्रत्ययान्ततया अहर्गणसामान्यवाचितया व्युत्पादितस्याप्यहीनशब्दस्य नियमेन सत्रे अप्रयोगादहर्भणविशेषस्रविमङ्गीकृत्य ज्योतिष्ट्रोमस्थाहर्गणविशेषत्वाभावादहोन इति योगस्य रूढिपराहतत्वेन योगेन ज्योतिष्टोमे वृत्त्यसंभवात् ज्योतिष्टोमप्रकरणाधीताया अपि द्वादशाहोनस्यति द्वादशोपसत्ताया अहर्गणिविशे गेत्कर्ष इत्युक्तम् । तथा ' पाय्यसान्नाव्यनिकाय्यधाय्या-मानह विर्निवाससामिधेनीष्वि ' ति व्याकरणस्मृत्या सामिधेनीमालवाचितया व्युखादि-तस्यापि धाय्याशब्दस्य न सामिधेनीमात्रवचनत्वम् । नापि धीयमानत्वरूपयोगार्थ-वरोन धीयमानमालवचनत्वम्, स्तुतिरास्त्रार्थतयाधोयमोनासु ऋक्षु सामिधेनीमाले च धाय्याशब्दाप्रयोगात् । अपितु ' पृथुपाजवत्यौ धाव्ये भवतः ' इत्यादिवैदिक-प्रयोगविषयेषु पृथुपाजवत्याद्विनेव धाध्याशब्दस्य शक्तिरिति 'समिधमानवःशिं समिध्यव ीं चान्तरा तद्धाःयास्त्यु ' रित पाञ्चमिकाधिकरणे स्थितम् । एवनादिकं सर्भे विरुद्ध्येत । स्वर्गशब्दे त्यदुक्तरी,या प्रयोगाभावेऽपि शक्तिसंभवे उद्गात्रादि-शब्दानां ऋत्विभिवशेषादिषु रूढेरकरानीयत्वादितिचेन्-सत्यम् । यदि सर्वारमना तद-

तिरिक्ते स्वर्गशब्दप्रयोगो न स्यात्, तदा तद्वचावृत्ता रूदिरभ्युपगन्तव्या स्यात्, अस्ति हि तत्रापि प्रयोगः – 'तस्यां हिरण्मयःकोशः स्वर्गो छोको ज्योतिषा वृतः । यो वैतां <sup>ं</sup> ब्रह्मणो वेद, तेन धीरा अपि यन्ति ब्रह्मविदः स्वर्गं लोकमित ऊर्ध्वा विमुक्ताः, अप-हैत्य पाप्मानुमनन्ते स्वर्गे छोके ज्येये प्रतितिष्ठती ते तैत्तिरीयक—बृहदारण्यक—तलव-कारादिषु अध्यात्मशास्त्रेषु प्रयोगदर्शनात् । पौराणिकपरिकह्पितस्वर्गशब्दस्रदेः सांख्यपरिकल्पिताव्यक्तशब्दऋढिवदनादरणीयत्वात् । असिन्नेव प्रकरणे ' त्रिणाचिके-तस्त्रयमेतद्विदित्वा य एवं विद्वांश्चिनुते नाचिकेतम् । स मृत्युपाशनपुरतः प्रणोद्य शो-कातिगो मोदते स्वर्गहोके ' इति मन्त्रे कर्मज्ञानसमुच्चयसाध्यवाचकतया श्रूयमाणस्य स्वर्गलोकशब्दस्य सूर्यभ्रुवान्तवेर्तिलोकव्यतिरिक्तवैराजपदवाचकतया परैर्पि व्याख्या-तत्वाच । ननु सूर्यछोकोध्वेवर्तिछोकत्वस्यैव प्रवृत्तिनिमित्ततया तस्यच वैराजपदेपि सत्वात् नामुख्यार्थत्वमिति चेत् । तर्हि भगवछोकेपि ऊर्ध्ववर्तित्वाविशेषेण मुख्यार्थ-त्वानपायात् । 'स्वर्गापवर्गमार्गाभ्या ' मित्यादिव्यवहारस्य बाह्मणपरिव्राजकन्याये-नोपपत्तेश्च । अस्तु वा अमुख्यार्थत्वम् । मुख्यार्थे बाधकसत्वात् । किमत्र बाधक-मिति चेत् —श्रूयतामवधानेन । 'स्वर्गे लोके न भयं किञ्च नास्ती'ति प्रथमे प्रश्नमन्त्रे 'न भयं किंचनास्ती 'ति अवहतपाप्मत्वं प्रतिपाद्यते । 'स्वरीपि पातभीतस्ये 'त्युक्त-रीत्या केन पापेन कदा पतिप्यामीति भीत्यभावः प्रतिपाद्यते । सह्यपहतपाप्मन एव े संभवति । ' न तत्र त्वं न जस्या बिमेती ' त्यनेन विजरत्वविमृत्युत्वे प्रतिपाद्येते । ' उभे तीर्त्वा अज्ञनायापिपासे ' इत्यनेन विजिघत्सत्वाऽपिपासत्वे प्रतिपाद्येते । 'शोकातिग 'इत्यनेन विशोकत्वम् । 'मोदते स्वर्गळोक ' इत्यनेन 'स यदि पितृ-लोककामो भवति सङ्करपादेवास्य पितरस्समुत्तिष्ठन्ति तेन पितृलोकेन संपन्नो महीयते ' इति श्रुतिसुन्दर्भप्रतिपांचे सत्यकामत्वसत्यसङ्करुपत्वे प्रतिपाद्यते । ततश्चाध्यात्मशास्त्र-सिद्धस्यापहतपाप्मत्वादिब्रह्मगुणाष्टकाविर्भावस्येह भूतीयमानतया तस्यैवेह ग्रहणसंमवे पौराणिकस्वर्गछोकगतापेक्षिकजरामरणाद्यभावस्वीकारस्यानुन्वितस्वात् । अत्तएक सप्तमे विध्यन्तराधिकरणे अनुपदिष्टेतिकर्तेव्यताकासु सौर्यादिविक्वतिभावनासु इतिकर्तव्य-ताकांक्षायां वैतानिककमीधिकारप्रवृत्तत्रयीविद्वितत्वसामान्यात् वैदिक्येव दर्शपूर्ण-मासिकोतिकर्मव्यता उपतिष्ठतः इत्युक्तम् । उक्तं च शास्त्रादीपिकायाम्---

## 'वैदिकी वैदिकत्वेन सामान्येनोपतिष्ठते । ठौकिकी त्वसमानत्वाचोपस्थास्यत्यपेक्षिता ॥ ' इति

न च 'यदेकं यूपं स्पृशेदेष ते वायाविति ब्र्या'दिति विहितस्य 'एष ते वायावि'ति वचनस्य वैदिकत्वसामान्येन विहितवैदिकयून्स्।ईनिमित्तकत्वमेव स्यात्। नचेष्टापतिः। ' छौिकके दोषसंयोगादि ' ति नाविमकाचिकरणिवरोधप्रसङ्गादिति वाच्यम् । ' यूपो वै यज्ञस्य दुरिष्टमामुञ्जते तस्माचूचो नोपस्पृश्य ' इति प्रतिषिध्य 'यद्येकं यूर्व स्पृशेत् एष ते वायाविति ब्रूयादि 'ति अनन्तरमेव विहितस्य प्रतिपिद्धप्रायिधवसांकां अञ्जैिककस्परी-विषयत्वावर्यमावेन वैदिकविषयत्वासंभवेषि असति बाधके वैदिकविषयत्वस्य यु<del>त्त</del>-त्वात् । अतएव 'यावतोऽश्वान् प्रतिगृह्णीयात् तावतो वारुणान् चतुष्कपालान्निर्व-पेदि ति विहितेष्टिभैदिक एवाश्वदाने, न तु 'न केसरिणो ददाती 'ति निषिद्धे प्रायश्चित्तसापेक्षे सुहृदादिभ्यः खेहादिना क्रियमाण इति निर्गीतं तृतीये । तथा 'योगिनः प्रतिस्मर्यते सार्तेचैते ' इति सूत्रे सार्तस्य वेदान्ते न प्रत्यभिज्ञानिन्युक्तं परै: । ततश्च 'स्वर्गे लोक ' इति मन्त्रे अध्यात्मशास्त्रसिद्धस्यापहतपाप्मत्वादिब्रह्म-गुणाष्टकस्यैव ग्रहणमुचितम् । 'स्वर्गलोका अमृतत्वं भजन्त । इति द्वितीयप्रश्न-मन्त्रे अमृतत्वभाक्तवश्रवगादमृतत्वशब्दस्याध्यात्मशास्त्रे मोक्षएव प्रयागात्। 'अजीर्यता-ममृताना <sup>१</sup> मित्यल अमृतशब्दस्थापि मुक्तः । उत्तरल 'ततो मया नाचिकेत्रश्चितोग्निरनित्यैर्द्रच्यैः पातवानस्मि नित्यम् । अभयं तितीर्षतां पारं नाचिकेतं शकेमहि 'इति परस्यैव ब्रह्मणो नाचिकेतासिप्राध्यत्यकथनेन स्वर्गः शब्दस्य प्रसिद्धस्वर्गप्ररत्व।संभवात्। 'नान्यन्तस्मा निचकेता वृणीते'इति ब्रह्मेतरविमुख-तया प्रतिपादितस्य निचकेतसः क्षयिष्णुस्वर्गप्रार्थनानुपपत्तेश्च । 'मुख्यं वा पूर्वचोदना ह्योकवदि ' त्यत समसंख्याकयोः परस्परविरोध एव मुख्यस्य प्रावरुयम् । न ह्यरुपवैगुण्ये संभवति बहुवैगुण्यं प्रयोगवचनं क्षमते । अतः यत्र जघन्यानां भूयस्वं तत्र 'भूयसां स्यात् सधर्मत्वं ' इति न्याय एव प्रवर्तत इत्येव मीमांसकैः स्थिरीकृत्य सिद्धान्ति-तत्वात् , पतर्दनविद्यायां 'एषश्चेव साधु कर्म कारयति ' एष लोकाधिपतिरेषलोकतालः ञानन्दोऽजरोऽमृतः ' इत्यौपसंहारिकृपरमात्मधर्मबाहुल्येन प्रक्रमश्रुतजीवलिङ्गबाधस्य ' प्राणस्तथानुगमा'दित्यकः प्रतिपादितत्वादित्यरुमतिचर्चया । प्रकृतमनुसरामः ॥ २०॥

## येयं प्रेते विचिकित्सा मनुष्ये अस्तीत्येके नाऽयमस्तीति चैके । एतद्विद्यामनुशिष्टस्त्वयाऽहं वराणामेष वरस्तृतीयः ॥ २१ ॥

नचिकेता आह ... ग्रेयं श्रेत इति । 'अत्ता चराचरग्रहणा वित्यधिकरणे इमं मन्त्रं प्रस्तुत्येत्थं हि भगवता भाष्यकृता — 'अत्र परमपुरुवार्थरूपब्रह्मप्राप्तिरुक्षणमोक्ष-याथात्म्यविज्ञानाय तदुपायभूतपरमात्मोपासनपरावरात्मतत्त्वजिज्ञासयाऽयं क्रियते । एवं च 'येयं पेत' इति न शरीरिवयोगमालाभिप्रायम् । अपित सर्वबन्ध-विनिर्मोक्षाभिप्रायम् । यथा ' न प्रेत्य संज्ञास्ता 'ति । अयमर्थः — मोक्षािषकृते मनुष्ये **प्रेते** सर्वबन्धविनिर्मक्ते तत्स्वरूपविषया वादिविप्रतिपत्तिनिमित्ता अस्तिनास्त्यात्मिका येयं विचिकित्सा तद्यनोद्नाय तत्त्वरूपयाथात्म्यं त्वयाऽनुशिष्टाऽहं विद्यां जानीयामिति । तथाहि बहुधा विप्रतिबद्यन्ते । केचिद्धित्तिमालस्यात्मनः स्वरूपोच्छित्तिरुक्षणं मोक्ष-माचक्षते । अन्येतु वित्तिमात्रस्यैव सतोऽविद्यास्तमयम् । परे पाषाणकरुपस्यात्मनो ज्ञानाद्यरोषवैरोषिकगुणोच्छेदलक्षणं कैवल्यरूपम् । अपरे अपहतपाप्मानं परमात्मा-नमभ्युपगच्छन्तः तस्यैवोपाधिसंसर्गनिमित्तजीवभावस्योपाध्यपगमेन तद्भावरुक्षणं मोक्ष-मातिष्ठन्ते । त्रय्यन्तनिष्णातास्तु निखिलजगदेककारणस्याशेषहेयप्रत्यनीकानन्त-ज्ञानानन्दैकस्वरूपंस्य स्वाभाविकानविधकातिशयासंख्येयकल्याणगुणाकरस्य सकलेतर-विरुक्षणस्य सर्वात्मभूतस्य परस्य ब्रह्मणः शरीरतया प्रकारभूतस्य अनुकूरु।परिच्छिन्न-ज्ञानस्वरूपस्य परमात्मानुभवैकरसस्य जीवस्यानादिकर्भरूपाविद्योच्छेदपूर्विकस्वाभाविक-परमात्मानुभवमेव मोक्षमाचक्षते । तत्र मोक्षस्वरूपं तत्साधनं च त्वस्रसादाद्विद्यामिति निकेतसा पृष्टो मृत्यु'रित भाषितम् ॥ तथा 'त्रयाणामेव चैव ' मिति सूत्रे 'तृती-येन वरेण मोक्षस्वरूपप्रश्नद्वारेणोपेयस्वरूपमुपेतृस्वरूपमुपायभूतकर्मानुगृहीतोपासन-स्वरूपं च पृष्ट मिति च भाषितम् । श्रुतप्रकाशिकायां च ' 'येय ' मित्यादि प्रश्नवाक्ये मोक्षस्वरूपप्रश्नः कण्ठोक्तः । प्रतिवचनप्रकारेणोपासनादिप्रश्नश्चार्थसिद्धः । निर्विशेषापत्तिमीक्षश्चेत् वाक्धार्थज्ञानस्योपायता स्यात् । उभयिकक्कं प्राप्यं चेत्तथा-त्वेनोपासनसुपायः स्यात् । अतः भोक्षस्यरूपज्ञानं तदनुबन्धिज्ञानापेक्षःभिति वर्णितम् । अतः येयं पेत इत्यस्य मुक्तस्वरूपप्रश्नपरत्वमेव, न देहातिरिक्तगरलौकिककर्मानुष्ठानोप-योगिकर्तृमोत्कात्मकजीवस्क्रूपमालपरत्वम् । अन्यथा तस्यार्थस्य दुरिधगमत्वप्रदर्शन-

विविधमोगवितरणप्रकोमनपरीक्षाया असंभवादिति द्रष्टव्यम् । निचकेतसोह्ययमभि-त्रायः — हितैषिवचनादात्मा परित्यक्तचरमदेह आविभूतापहतपाप्मत्वादिगुणाष्ट्रको भवतीत्यु अप्रुत्य 'स्वर्गे लोके न भयं किंचनास्ती 'त्यादिना मन्त्रद्वयेन मोक्षसाधन-भूताभिमशासम् । अधुना तु वादिविप्रतिपत्त्या तद्विषये सन्देहो जायते । अयं 'स्वें । लोके न भयं किंचनास्ती ' त्यादिना मयोपन्यस्तापहताप्नत्वादि विशिष्टरूप आत्मा अस्तीत्येके नायमस्तीत्यपरे, त्वया उपदिष्ट एतज्जानीया' मिति । अत एव प्रति-वचने ' एतच्छूत्वा संप्रतिगृह्य मर्थाः प्रवृह्य धर्म्यमणुमेतमाप्य, स मोदते मोदनीयं हि लब्ध्वे 'ति एतत्मक्षानुगुण्यमेव दृश्यते । अतः यथोक्त एवार्थः । केचितु— ' पराभिध्यानात् तिरोहितं ततो ह्यस्य बन्धविपर्यया 'त्रिति सूत्रे तिरोहितमिति निष्ठान्त-पदे उपसर्जनतया निर्दिष्टस्य तिरोधानस्य 'देहयोगाद्वा सोपि'इति तदुत्तरस्त्रेत्रे सोपि तिरोधानभावोपीति पुल्लक्तच्छव्देन परामर्शद्रीनात् 'सर्वनाम्नाऽनुसन्धिवृत्तिछन्न-स्ये'ति वामनसूत्रे कृत्तद्धितादिवृत्तिन्यक्भृतस्यापि सर्वनाम्ना परामर्शस्याङ्गीकृतत्वात् । येयं मेत इति निष्ठान्तपेतराब्दे उपसर्जनतया निर्दिष्टस्यापि प्रायणराब्दितमोक्षस्य 'देह-योगाद्वा सोपी 'तिवत् ' नायमस्तीति चैके ' इत्यल अयमिति पदेन परामर्शो ऽस्तु । नचैवं भुक्तवत्यिस्मन् भोजनमिस्त वा नवेति वाक्यवत् मुक्तेऽस्मिन् मोक्षोऽस्ति न वेति सन्देहकथनं व्याहतार्थमिति वाच्यम् । मोक्षसामान्यमभ्युपेत्य मोक्षविशेष-सन्देहस्योपपादियतुं शक्यत्वात् । अयमित्यनेन विशेषपरामर्शसंभवात् । ननु न प्रायणशब्दस्य मोक्षवाचित्वं कचिद्दृष्टम् । शरीरवियोगवाचित्वात् । श्रुतप्रकाशिकायां शरीरवियोगवाचित्वमभ्युपेत्यैव चरमशरीरवियोगपरतया व्याख्यातत्वादितिचेत— अस्त्वेवम् । तथाप्ययमित्यनेन चरमशरीरवियोगपरामर्शसंभवात् तद्विषयिण्येव विचिकित्सों अस्तु । ननु तस्य निःश्चितत्वात् तद्विपयिणी विचिकित्सा नोपणद्यत इति चेत्सत्यम् । अयं चरमशरीरवियोगः ब्रह्मरूपाविभीवपूर्वभावित्वेन रूपेणास्ति न वेति विचिकित्सायास्सपपादत्वादिति वदन्ति ॥ २१ ॥

देवैरतापि विचिकित्सितं पुन न हि सुविन्नेयमणुरेष धर्मः । अन्यं वरं नचिकेतो वृणीष्व मामोपरोत्सीरतिमा सुजैनम् ॥२२॥ एवं मुक्तस्वरूपं पृष्टो मृत्युरुषदिक्त्यमानार्थस्यातिगहनतया पारं प्राप्तुमप्रभवते मध्ये पतयास्रवे नोपदेष्ट्यमिति मत्वाऽऽह- —देशैंरत्रापीति। बहुदर्शिभिरिप देवैरस्मिन् मुक्तात्मस्वरूपे विचिकित्सितम् —संशयितम्। नहीति। आत्मतत्त्वं न सुज्ञानमिति सूक्ष्मो (एष) धर्मः। सामान्यतो धर्म एव दुर्ज्ञानः। तत्राप्ययं दुर्ज्ञान इति भावः। अन्यं वर्रमिति। स्पष्टोर्थः। मामोपरोत्सीरिति। मामा इति निषेधे वीप्सायां द्विचनम्। उपरोधं माकार्षीः। एनं मां अतिसृज । मुख्च॥ २२॥

देवैरत्रापि विचिकित्सतं किल त्वंच मृत्यो यन्न सुविज्ञेयमात्थ। वत्ता चास्य त्वाद्दगन्यो न लभ्यः नान्यो वरस्तुल्य एतस्य किन्तु ॥ २३ ॥

एवमुक्तो निकेता आह—देवैरत्रापि विचितिसतं किलेति । स्पष्टोर्थः । त्वञ्चेति । त्वं च मृत्यो न सुज्ञैयिमिति यदात्मस्वरूपमुक्तवान् । वसेति । त्वाहक्—त्वाहश इत्यर्थः । अन्यत् स्पष्टम् ॥ २३ ॥

श्रतायुषः पुत्रपौत्नान् वृणीष्व बहुन् पश्चन् हस्तिहिरण्यमश्वान् । भूमेर्महदायतनं वृणीष्व स्वयं च जीव शरदो याव-दिच्छसि ॥ २४ ॥

एवं निचकेतसोक्तो मृत्युः विषयस्य दुरिधगमतया मध्ये न त्यक्ष्यतीति निश्चित्य सत्याप अहणसामर्थ्ये िषयान्तराष्ट्रक्ते ग्ते एतादृशं मुक्तात्मतत्त्वं नोपदेशाईमिति मत्वा मुमुक्षास्थैर्यानुवृत्यर्थं प्रलोभयन्नुवाच—श्रतायुप इति । रुष्टोर्थः । सूमेरिति । पृथिव्याः विस्तीर्णं आयत्नं मण्डलं राज्यं वृणीप्व । अथवा मूमेर्संबन्धि महदायतनं विचित्रशालापासादादियुक्तं गृहं वृणीप्व । स्वयञ्चेति । यावद्वर्षाणि जीवित्यिच्छिसि तावज्जीवेत्यर्थः ॥ २४ ॥

एतचुल्यं यदि मन्यसे वरं दृणीष्व वित्तं चिरजीविकां च । महाभूमौ निचवेतस्त्वमेघि कामानां त्वा कामभाजं करोमि॥२५॥

एत जुल्यमिति । उक्तेन वरेण सदृशमन्यमि वरं मन्यसे चेत् तदिप (म?) वृणीप्व । प्रभूतं हिरण्यरतादिकं चिरं जीवनं चेत्यर्थः । एधि भव । राजेति शेषः । अस्तेर्लोण्मध्यमपुरुषेकवचनम् । कामानां – काम्यमानानां अप्तरःप्रमृतिविषयाणां । कामभाजं—कामः कामना तां विषयतया भजतीति कामभाक् तं काम्यमानाप्तरः-प्रमृतीनामपि कामनाविषयं करोमीत्यर्थः ॥ २५ ॥

ये ये कामा दुर्लभा मर्त्यलोके सर्वान् कामा श्राम्यस्तः प्रार्थयस्त । इमा रामास्सरथास्सतूर्या नहीद्या लम्भनीया मनुष्यैः । आभि-र्मत्त्रताभिः परिचारयस्त्र नचिकेतो मरणं मानुप्राक्षीः ॥ २६ ॥

ये ये कामा इति । छन्द्तः यथेष्टमित्यर्थः । इमा रामा इति । रथवादित्र-सिहता मया दीयमानाः स्त्रियो मनुष्याणां दुर्छमा इत्यर्थः । आभिरिति । आभिः मया दत्ताभिः परिचारिकाभिः पादसंवाहनादिशुश्रूषां कारयेत्यर्थः । मरणमनु । मरणात् मुक्तःपश्चात्, मुक्तात्मस्वरूपमिति यावत् । मरणशब्दस्य देहवियोगसामान्य-वाचिनोपि प्रकरणवरोन विशेषवाचित्वं न दोषायेति द्रष्टन्यम् ॥ २६ ॥

> श्वोभावा मर्त्यस्य यदन्तकैतत् सर्वेन्द्रियाणां जरयन्ति तेजः । अपि सर्वे जीवितमन्यमेव तवैव वाहास्तव नृत्यगीते ॥ २७॥

एवं प्रकोभ्यमानोपि निकिताः अक्षुमितहृदय आह्-श्रोभावा इति। हे अन्तक! त्वदुपन्यस्ता ये मर्त्यस्य कामाः ते श्रोभावाः । श्रः अभावः येषां ते तथोक्ताः । दिनद्वयस्थायिनो न भवन्तीत्यर्थः । सेवेन्द्रियाणां यदेतत्तेजः तत् क्षपर्यान्त । अप्सरः-प्रभृतिभोगा हि सेवेन्द्रियदौर्वल्यावहा इति भावः । अपि सर्विमिति । ब्रह्मणोपि जीवितं स्वल्पं, किमुतास्मदादिजीवितम् । अतिश्चरजीविकापि न वरणोहिति भावः । वाहाः रथादयः । तिष्ठन्त्विति रोषः ॥ २७ ॥

न वित्तेन तर्पणीयो मनुष्यो रुप्स्यामहे वित्तमद्राक्ष्मचेन्वा। जीविष्यामो यावदीशिष्यसि त्वं वरस्तु मे वरणीयस्स एव।। २८॥

न वित्तेनेति । नहि वित्तेन लब्धेन कस्यचितृप्तः दृष्टचरी । 'न जातु कामःका-

मानामुपभोगेन शाम्यति । इति न्यायादिति भावः । किञ्च, लप्स्यामहे वित्तमिति।। त्वां वयं दृष्टवन्तश्चेत् वित्तं प्राप्स्यामहे(मः) । त्वद्दर्शनमस्ति चेत् वित्तलाभे को भार इति भावः । तर्हि चिरजीविका प्रार्थनीयेत्यलाह — जीविष्यामो यावदिति । यावत्कालं याम्ये पदे त्वमीश्चरतया वर्तसे । व्यत्ययेन परस्मैपदम्। तावत्पर्यन्तमसा-कमिप जीवनं सिद्धमेव । निह त्वदाज्ञातिलङ्कनेन अस्मज्जीवितान्तकरःकश्चिदस्ति । बरलाभालाभयोरिप तावदेव जीवनमिति भावः । अतः 'येयं पेत' इति प्राक्रपस्तुतो वर एव वरणीय इत्यर्थः ॥ २८॥

# अजीर्यताममृतानामुपेत्य जीर्यन्मर्त्यः क तदास्थः प्रजानन्। अभिष्यायन् वर्णरतिप्रमोदाननतिदीर्घे जीविते को रमेत ॥२९॥

अजीर्यतामिति । जरामरणश्र्न्यानां मुक्तानां स्वरूपं ज्ञात्वा प्रजानन् विवेकी जरामरणोपष्ठुतोऽयं जनः तदास्थः जरामरणाद्युपष्ठुताप्तरःप्रभृतिविषयविषयका-स्थावान्, क—कथं भवेदित्यर्थः । अभिध्यायन्निति । वर्णाः आदित्यवर्णलादि-रूपविशेषाः, रतिप्रमोदाः ब्रह्मभोगादिजनितानन्दविशेषाः, तान् सर्वान् । अभिध्यायन् निपुणतया निरूपयन् । अत्यरुपे ऐहिके जीविते कः प्रीतिमान् स्था-दित्यर्थः ॥ २९ ॥

यस्मिनिदं विचिकित्सन्ति मृत्यो यत्सांपराये महति ब्रूहि नस्तत् । योयं वरो गृढमनुप्रविष्टो नान्यं तस्मान्नचिकेता षृणीते ॥ ३०॥

॥ इति प्रथमवही ॥

यस्मिन्निति। महति पारलैकिके यस्मिन् मुक्तात्मस्वरूपे संशेरते, तदेवमे ब्रूहि। योयमिति। गूढं आत्मतत्त्वमनुप्रविष्टः योयं वरः तस्मादन्यं निचकेता न वृणीतेस्म इति श्रुतेर्वचनम् ॥ ३०॥

।। इति प्रथमवङ्घीव्याख्या ॥

#### ॥ अथ द्वितीयवही ॥

हरिः ओं ।। अन्यच्छ्रेयोऽन्यदुतैव प्रेयः ते उभे नानार्थे पुरुष४सिनी-तः । तयोक्श्रेय आददानस्य साधु भवति हीयतेऽर्थाद्य उ प्रेयो वृणीते ॥ १ ॥

एवं शिष्यं परीक्ष्य तस्य मुमुक्षास्थैर्यं निश्चित्य तस्योपदेशयोग्यतां मन्यानः मुमुक्षां स्तौति—अन्यछ्रेय इति । अतिप्रशस्तं मोक्षवर्त्माप्यन्यत् । प्रियत्वास्पदं भोगवर्त्मा-प्यन्यत् । तियत्वास्पदं भोगवर्त्मा-प्यन्यत् । ते—श्रेयःप्रेयसी परस्परविरुक्षणप्रयोजने सती पुरुषं सिनीतः— बध्नीतः । पुरुषं स्ववशतामापाद्यत इत्यर्थः । तयोरिति । तयोर्मध्ये श्रेय आद्दानस्य— मोक्षाय प्रयतमानस्य साधु-भदं-भवति । यस्तु प्रेयो वृणीते स पुरुषार्थाद्श्रष्टो भवति । उ इत्यवधारणे ॥ १ ॥

श्रेयश्र प्रेयश्र मनुष्यमेतः तौ संपरीत्य विविनक्ति धीरः । श्रेयो हि धीरोऽभिष्रेयसो वृणीते प्रेयो मन्दो योगक्षेमाद्वृणीते ॥ २ ॥

श्रेयश्च प्रेयश्चेति । श्रेयश्च प्रयश्च भनुष्यमेतः मनुष्यं प्राप्नुतः । तौ श्रेयः-प्रेयः पदार्थौ सम्यगालोच्य नीरक्षीरे हंस इव प्रथक्करोति । घिया रमत इति धीरः प्रज्ञाञ्चाली, प्रेयोपेक्षया अभि—अभ्यहिंतं श्रेय एव वृर्णाते । मन्दमितः योग-श्लेमाद्धेतोः प्रेयो वृणीते । शरीरस्योपचयो योगः, श्लेमः परिपालनम् ॥ २ ॥

> स त्वं प्रियान्त्रियरूपाःश्च कामान् अभिघ्यायन्नचिकेतीऽत्य-स्नाक्षीः । नैताःसुङ्कां वित्तमयीमवाप्तो यस्यां मज्जन्ति बहवो मनुष्याः ॥ ३॥

स त्वं प्रियानिति। तादशस्त्वं स्वतो रूपतश्च प्रियान् काग्यमानान् स्त्र्यादीनि-त्यर्थः। दुःखोदर्कत्वदुःखमिश्रत्वादिदोषयुक्ततया निरूपयन् त्यक्तवानसीत्यर्थः। नैतां सुङ्कां कुत्सितगितं मूढजनसेवितां एतां नावाप्रवानिति। पस्यापिति। स्पष्ठोऽर्थः॥ ३॥

द्रमेते विपरीते विषूची अविद्या या च विद्येति ज्ञाता। विद्या-भीष्सिनं नचिकेतसं मन्ये न त्वा कामा बहवो लोलपन्त ॥ ४ ॥

दूरमेत इति । या अविदेति ज्ञाता कामकर्मात्मका याच विदेति ज्ञाता वैराग्य-तत्त्वज्ञानमश्री एते दूरं अत्यन्तं विष्ची विष्ची मिन्नगती परस्परिकद्धे च । विद्यामीप्सिनं विद्यार्थिनं । विद्यामीप्सिन्ति पाठे आहिताम्न्यादित्वान्निष्ठान्तस्य पर-विपातः । छान्दसत्वाद्वा । न त्वा कामा इति । कामा बहवोऽपि त्वां । न लो-खपन्त —-श्रेयोमार्गाद्विच्छेदं न कृतवन्तः । विषयवशगो न भवसीत्यर्थः । 'छपस-दे 'ति यङन्ताल्लङ् । छान्दसो यलोपः । यङ्खगन्ताद्वा छान्दसमात्मनेपदं अड-मावश्च ॥ ४ ॥

अविद्यायामन्तरे वर्तमानाः स्वयं घीराः पण्डितं मन्य-मानाः।दन्द्रम्यमाणाः परियन्ति मृदाः अन्धेनैव नीयमाना यथाऽन्धाः।। ५ ॥

'अविद्या याच विद्येति ज्ञाते 'त्युपात्तमार्गद्वये अविद्यामार्गं निन्दति—अविद्याया-मिति । कामकर्मादिरुक्षणायामविद्यायां मध्ये घनीभूत इव तमसि वर्तमानाः स्वयमेन प्रज्ञाशालिनः शास्त्रकुशलाश्चेति मन्यमानाः दन्द्रम्यमाणाः जरारोगादि-दुःखपीडिताः अविवेकिनः परिभ्रमन्ति । अन्यत् स्पष्टार्थम् । केचितु दन्द्रन्यमाणा इति पाठमाश्रित्य विषयकामामिना द्रुतचित्ता इत्यर्थं वर्णयन्ति ॥ ५ ॥

> न सांपरायः प्रतिभाति बालं प्रमाद्यन्तं वित्तमोहेन मुदं । अयं लोको नास्ति पर इति मानी पुनःपुनर्वश्रमापद्यते मे ॥ ६॥

न सांपरायहित । परलोकसाधनव्यापारः अविवेकिनं प्रति न प्रकाशते । प्रमा-द्यन्तं अनवहितमनस्कं चित्तमोहेन मूढं—विषयाशावशीकृतमनीरथम् । अयं लोको नास्तीति । अयमेव लोकोऽस्ति परलोको नास्तीति मन्यमानः मिक्रयमाणयातना-विषयो मवतीत्यर्थः। व्यासार्थैः 'संयमने त्वनुभूये 'ति सूत्रे 'अयं लोको नास्ति पर उत मानी 'ति पाठानुसारेण अयं च लोकः परश्च लोको नास्तीत्यर्थो वर्णितः । तल पक्षे तस्येति शेषः पूरणीयः । चशब्दश्चाध्याहार्थः । मानीस्यस्य हुर्मानीत्यर्थः ॥ शिष्ट- परिम्रहाभावादयं लोको नास्तीत्यस्योपपत्तिर्द्रष्टन्या । (स) दुर्मानी पुनःपुनर्वशमापद्यत इति उत्तरत्र संवध्यते ॥ ६ ॥

> श्रवणायापि बहु भिर्यो न लभ्यः शृष्वन्तोऽपि बहवो यं न विद्यः। आश्रयों वक्ता कुशलोऽस्य लब्धा आश्रयों ज्ञाता कुथलानुशिष्टः।।७॥

अवणायापीति । यःप्रसिद्धःपरमात्मा सः अनेकैःपुरुपैः श्रोतुमपि न रूभ्यत इत्यर्थः।श्रवणरूमोपि महासुकृतफरूमिति भावः। श्रृण्यन्तोपीति। नहिशोतॄणां सर्वेषां परमात्मप्रतिपित्तस्युरुमेति भावः। आश्रयो वक्तेति। अस्य कुशरू वक्ता कुशरूः प्राप्ता च दुर्रुभ इत्यर्थः। आश्रयो ज्ञातेति । कुशरूनाचार्यणानुशिष्टो ज्ञाताप्याश्चर्यः। 'मनुष्याणां सहस्रेषु कश्चिचति सिद्धये। यततामपि सिद्धानां कश्चिन्मां वेति तत्त्वनः॥ ' इत्युक्तेरिति भावः॥ ७॥

न नरेणाऽवरेण प्रोक्त एषः सुविद्येयो बहुधा चिन्त्यमानः । अनन्यप्रोक्ते गतिरत्न नास्ति अणीयान् हातक्यमणुप्रमाणात् ॥ ८॥

न नरेणावरेणेति। अवरेण अश्रेष्ठेन प्राकृतेन पाण्डित्यमात्रप्रयोजनवेदान्तश्रवणेन, नरेण—देहात्माभिमानिना एष आत्मा सुविज्ञेयो न भवति। कृतो हेतोः, वहुधा चिन्त्यमानः। वादिभिरिति होषः। अनन्यप्रोक्ते गतिरत्र नास्ति—अनन्येन उच्य-मानात्मनोऽनन्येन तदेकान्तिना ब्रह्मात्मसाक्षात्कारिणा प्रोक्ते आत्मिन यादृशी अवगतिः सा आत्मावगतिरवरेण प्रोक्ते नास्तीत्यर्थः। यद्वा अत्र संसारे गतिः चङ्कमणं नास्तीत्यर्थः। यद्वा अनन्यप्रोक्ते स्वयमवगते गतिः आत्मावगतिः नास्तीत्यर्थः। अन्यप्रोक्त इति पाठे अवरनरप्रोक्ते स्वयमवगते गतिः आत्मावगतिः नास्तीत्यर्थः। अन्यप्रोक्त इति पाठे अवरनरप्रोक्ते सति आत्मावगतिर्नास्तीर्त्यथः। ननु येन केनचिदुपदिष्टेप्यूहापोह्-शालिनस्त्यादेवत्यत आह—अणीयान् ह्यत्वर्यमणुप्रमाणात्। यतो अणोरप्यणी-यानात्मा अतम्तस्वरूपं तर्कागोचरम्।। ८।।

नैषा तर्केण मतिरापनेया प्रोक्ताऽन्येनैव सुज्ञानाय प्रेष्ट। यां त्व-मापस्सत्यधृतिर्वताऽसि त्वादङ्नो भूयान्नचिकेतः प्रष्टा ॥ ९ ॥

तदेवाह—नैवा तर्केण मतिरिति। एषा आत्मविषयिणी मतिः तर्केण प्रापणीया नेत्यर्थः । अतः तर्ककुशलेनापि स्वयं ज्ञातुं न शक्येत्यर्थः । प्रोक्ताऽन्येनेति । हे प्रेष्ठ ! प्रियतम, स्वस्मादन्येनैव गुरुणा उपदिष्टैव मितः मोक्षसाधनज्ञानाय भवति । का पुनस्सा मितिरित्यत्राह—यां त्वशाय इति । यां मितं त्वमापः—प्राप्तवानिस सिषा- धियिषिततया निश्चितवानित्यर्थः । सत्यधृतिरसि । सत्या अप्रकम्प्या धृतिर्यस्य स तथोक्तः । बतेत्यनुकम्पायाम् । त्वादृगिति । त्वादृशिक्ष्यः अस्माकं भूया-दित्यर्थः ॥ ९ ॥

जानाम्यह इशेवधिरित्यनित्यं नह्यञ्जवैः प्राप्यते हि ध्रुवं तत् । ततो मया नाचिकेतश्रितोऽग्निः अनित्येर्द्रव्यैः प्राप्तवानसि नित्यम् ॥ १० ॥

पुनरिप तुष्ट आह—जानाम्यहिमिति। श्रेविधः निषिः,कुबेराधैश्वर्यं। एवझातीयकं कर्मफळळक्षणमनित्यमिति जानामि । नह्यधुवैरिति । श्रुवं तत् आत्मतत्त्वं अश्रुवैः अनित्यफळसाधनम्तैः अनित्यद्रव्यसाध्येवी कर्मभिरित्यर्थः । ततो मयेति । एवं ज्ञातवता मया ब्रह्मप्राप्तिसाधनज्ञानोदेशेन अनित्येरिष्टकादिद्रव्येनीचिकतोमिश्चितः । तसाद्धेतोर्नित्यफळसाधनं ज्ञानं प्राप्तवानस्मीत्यर्थः । अतः ब्रह्मप्राप्तेज्ञीनैकसाध्यत्वस्य न विरोधः ॥ १०॥

कामस्याप्तिं जगतः प्रतिष्ठां क्रतोरानन्त्यमभयस्य पारम् । स्तोमं महदुरुगायं प्रतिष्ठां दृष्ट्वा धृत्या धीरो निचकेतोऽत्य-स्नाक्षीः ॥ ११ ॥

' त्वाहर्नो भ्यान्नचिकेतः प्रष्टे' ति पूर्वमन्त्रोक्तं निकितसः श्रवणाधिकारं विष्टु-णोति—कामस्याप्तिमिति। कतोः—कर्मणः प्रतिष्ठां -फलभूतां, जगतः कामस्याप्ति— चतुर्मुखस्थानपर्यन्तसर्वलोकसंबन्धिरच्यादिविषयात्मककामप्राप्ति च दृष्ट्या । मोक्ष-स्वरूपमाह — आनन्त्यमभयस्य पारमित्यादिना । अ विनाशित्वमत्यन्तिनिभयत्व-मपहतपाप्मत्वसत्यसङ्करुपत्वादिमहागुणगणरूपस्तोमं उरुकीर्ति च स्थैर्यं च मोक्षगतं दृष्ट्या लौकिकान् कामान् प्रज्ञाशालित्वात् त्यक्तवानसीत्यर्थः । यद्वा मोक्षरूपपरमात्म-स्वरूप एव सर्वकामावाप्ति तत्वैव सकलजगदाधारत्वं कतोरनन्तपालरूपताक्त्वेत्येवं सर्व परमात्मविषयत्या बोजनीयम् ॥ ११ ॥

## तं दुर्दर्श ग्ढमनुप्रविष्टं गुहाहितं गह्वरें पुराणम् । अध्यात्म-योगाधिगमेन देवं मत्वा धीरो हर्षशोकौ जहाति ॥ १२ ॥

तृतीयं प्रश्नं प्रतिवक्ति—तं दुर्द्शिमित्यादिना मन्त्रद्वयेन । दुर्दशै—'श्रवणायापि बहुभियों न रुभ्यः'इत्युक्तरीत्या द्रष्टुमशक्यम् । गूढं—तिरोधायककर्मरूपाविद्यातिरो-हितं सर्वभूतानुप्रविष्टं गुहाहितं—हृदयगुहावर्तिनं गह्वरेष्ठं अन्तर्यामिणं पुराणं—अनादिं अध्यात्मयोगाधिगमेन — विषयेभ्यः प्रतिसंहृत्य चेतस आत्मिन समवधानमध्यात्मयोगः। 'यच्छेद्वाङ्मनसी प्राज्ञः, यदा पञ्चावतिष्ठन्ते ज्ञानानि मनसा सहे' त्यादिना वक्ष्यमाणः। तेन योयमधिगमः जीवात्मज्ञानं तेन हेतुना देवं परमात्मानं मत्वेत्यर्थः। जीवात्मज्ञानस्य परमात्मज्ञानहेतुत्वादिति भावः। हर्षशोकौ विषयस्यभा-स्राभयुक्तहर्षशोकौ जहातीत्यर्थः॥ १२॥

एतच्छुत्वा संपुरिगृह्य मर्त्यः प्रशृह्य धर्म्यमणुमेतनाप्य। स मी-दते मोदनीय हि लब्धा विवृत सम निकेतसं मन्ये॥ १३॥

एतच्छुत्वा संपरिगृद्धेति । एतत् आत्मतत्त्वं श्रुत्वा संपरिगृद्ध — मननादिकं कृत्वेत्यर्थः । धर्म्यं कर्मसाध्यं शरीरादि प्रवृद्ध पृथक्कृत्य परित्यज्येत्यर्थः । एतं स्वात्मभूतं अणुं सूक्ष्मतया चक्षुराद्यगोचरं 'अणीयान् द्यत्वर्यमिति ' निर्दिष्टं परमात्मानं देशिवश्ये प्राप्य सः विद्वान् मोदनीयं प्रीतिविश्यमपहतपाप्मत्वादि गुणाष्टकविशिष्टं स्वस्वरूपं छञ्ध्वा मोदते आनन्दी भवतीत्यर्थः । 'एष सम्प्रसादोऽ साच्छरीरात्समुत्थाय परंज्योतिरूपसंपद्य स्वेन रूपेणाभिनिष्पद्यते, स तत्र पर्येति जक्षत् कीडन् रममाणः 'इति श्रुत्यर्थोऽत्रानुसन्धेयः । एवं प्रश्लोत्तरमुक्तवा निवकेतसं मोक्षा-हित्वेन स्तौति - विद्वतं सद्येति । निवकेतसं प्रति ब्रह्मरूपं धाम विद्वतद्वारं प्रवेशार्हं मन्ये इत्यर्थः । 'तस्येष आत्मा विश्वति ब्रह्मधामे 'ति श्रुतेः । ननु 'ब्रह्मजज्ञं देवमी- इयं विदित्वे ति श्रुत्येकार्थ्याय 'अध्यात्मयोगाधिगमेन मत्वे त्यतापि परमात्मात्मकजीवः प्रतिपाद्यताम् । ततश्च तं दुर्दर्शमिति पूर्वस्वण्डोऽपि जीवपर एवास्तु । ततश्च 'श्रवणा-यापि बहुमियों न रूभ्यः ' इति पूर्वसन्दर्भोपि परिशुद्धजीवस्वरूपपर एवास्तु , ततश्च 'आश्चर्यवत्यस्यित कश्चिदेनमाश्चर्यवद्वदित तथैव चान्यः । आश्चर्यवचैनमन्यः श्रुणोति

श्रुत्वाप्येनं वेद नचैव कश्चिदि'ति परिशुद्धात्मविषयगीतावचनैकार्थ्यमपि उपपद्यत इति चेन्न । ब्रह्मजज्ञमिति मन्त्रे ब्रह्मजत्वरूपप्रक्रमश्रुतजीविकिङ्गबलेन चरमश्रुतदेवशब्दस्य देवात्मकत्वरूपार्थाश्रयणायोगात् । एतदेवाभिषेत्य भगवता भाष्यकृता 'गुहां प्रविद्या' वितिसूत्रे 'परमात्मनस्तावत् 'तं दुर्दशं गृदमनुप्रविद्य 'मिति गुहाप्रवेशो हस्यतः । वितिसूत्रे 'परमात्मनस्तावत् 'तं दुर्दशं गृदमनुप्रविद्य 'मिति गुहाप्रवेशो हस्यतः । वितिसूत्रे 'परमात्मनस्तावत् 'तं दुर्दशं गृदमनुप्रविद्य 'मिति गुहाप्रवेशो हस्यतः । व्यक्तम् । तथैवायं मन्तः परमात्मपरतया व्यासार्थेरिपि विवृतः । गह्वरेष्ठमिति पदेन तु परमात्मनः गह्वरशब्दितदुर्विज्ञयपरिशुद्धात्मस्वरूपशरीरकत्वमप्युक्तम् । इयांस्तु विशेषः - ब्रह्मजज्ञिमितिमन्त्रे परमात्मात्मकपरिशुद्धजीवस्वरूपं प्रतिपाद्यते । तं दुर्दर्शिमिति मन्त्रे तु जीवशरीरकपरमात्मस्वरूपं प्रतिपाद्यत इति न तयोरैकार्थ्यहानिः ॥ १३ ॥

# अन्यत्र धर्मादन्यताधर्मात् अन्यतासात्कृताकृतात् । अन्यत्र भृताच भव्याच यत्तत्पश्यसि तद्दद् ॥ १४ ॥

'नद्यश्रुवैः प्राप्यते हि ध्रुवं तत् ' 'एतच्छ्रत्वा संपरिगृह्य मर्त्यः प्रवृह्य धर्म्यमणु-मेतमाप्य । स मोदते मोदनीय इहि लब्ध्वा ' 'अध्यातमयोगाधिगमेन देवं मत्वा धीरो हर्षशोको जहाति ' इति प्रदेशेषु धर्मफलविलक्षणतया ज्ञानसाध्यतया प्राप्य-तया निर्दिष्टस्य भाष्यस्य स्वरूपं च, उक्तप्रदेशेष्वेव धर्मविलक्षणतया मरवेति प्रति पन्नस्योपायस्य स्वरूपं च 'धीरो हर्षशोको जहाती त्यल धीर इति प्रतिपन्नस्य प्राप्तुश्च स्वरूपं शोधियतुं प्रच्छति-अन्यत धर्मादित्यादिना-ननु भाष्ये 'देवंमत्वे'त्युपास्य-तया निर्दिष्टस्य प्राप्यभूतस्य देवस्य 'अध्यात्मयोगाधिगमेने'ति वेदितव्यतया निर्दिष्टस्य प्राप्तुः प्रत्यगात्मनश्च ' मत्वा धीरो हर्षशोकौ जहाती'ति निर्दिष्टस्य ब्रह्मोपासनस्य च स्वरूपशोधनाय पुनः पपच्छ अन्यत्र धर्मादितीं त्युक्तेः कथं तद्विरुद्धतयां धीर १ इति निर्दिष्टस्य प्राप्तुरित्युच्यत इति चेत्—मैवं वोचः — ' अध्यात्मयोगाधिगमेने ' ति वेदितन्यतया निर्दिष्टमात्मशब्दवाच्यं प्रजापित विद्याप्रतिपन्नमुपास्यं परिशुद्धस्वरूपमेव । अतस्तस्यापि प्राप्यनिर्देशकत्वमेव । वस्तुगत्या तस्य प्राप्तुर-भिन्नत्वात् प्राप्तुः प्रत्यगात्मनश्चेति भाष्यं न विरोत्स्यते । अतः 'प्रथमं तावत् प्रत्यगात्मनः स्वरूपमाह—न जायते ब्रियते वा विपश्चिदिति इत्यत्तरभाष्यमपि न विरोत्स्यते । नहि 'न जायते मियते वा विपश्चिदि'ति मन्त्रप्रतिपाद्यस्य

विपश्चिच्छब्दितपरिशुद्धस्वरूपस्य पाप्तृरूपतोष्पत्तिः । 'आत्मेन्द्रियमनोयुक्तं भोक्ते-त्याहुर्मनीषिणः, विज्ञानसारथिर्यस्तु मनःप्रग्रहवान्तरः । सोऽध्वनः पारमाप्नोति तद्विष्णोः परमं पदं भिति मन्त्रप्रतिपाद्यस्यैव प्राप्तुरूपत्वात् । तथैव 'विरोषणाचे'ति सूत्रभाष्ये प्रतिपादितत्वात् । अत एव प्राप्यप्राप्त्रैकाधिकरण्यनिर्देशपरे गुहामन्त्रे 'छायातपा'वित्यत्र अज्ञत्ववाचिना छायाशब्देन निर्देशो दृष्टः । न त विपश्चिच्छ-ब्देन । अतः यथोक्त एवार्थः । अयं मन्तः व्यासार्यैः 'त्रयाणा'मिति सूत्रे विवृतः । 'धर्म: उपायः धर्मादन्यत्र—प्रसिद्धोपायविरुक्षण इत्यर्थः । अधर्मः—धर्मेतरः उपेयः । अधर्माद्न्यत् प्रसिद्धसाध्यविरुक्षणं फरुमित्यर्थः । असादिति वुद्धिस्थः तत्साधको विवक्षितः । स एवोपेता । सिंह प्रसिद्धोपेतृ (साधक) विरुक्षणः, साधकावस्थायामितरफलविरक्तत्वात् , फलद्शायामाविर्भृतगुणाष्टकविशिष्टस्वरूप्रत्वाच । कृताकृतादिति धर्मादीनां विशेषणम् । कृताकृताद्धर्मादेविंरुक्षणं भ्ताच भव्याच धर्मादेविंरुक्षणं यदित्यर्थः । इत्येकां व्याख्यां कृत्वा तस्मिन्पक्षे तु कृताकृतात् भूताद्भव्याच धर्माद्नयत तादशाद्धर्माद्नयत तादशाद्साचान्यत्र इत्यन्यत्रशब्दत्रयेणै-वोपपत्तौ अन्यत्न भूताद्भव्याचित्यन्यत्रशब्दवैयधर्यं, उपायस्य कास्त्रयपरिच्छिन्नतया तल काळत्रयपरिच्छिन्नवैरुक्षण्यानन्वयं च पर्यारोच्य यद्वेत्यादिना अपरा व्याख्या कृता । तदुच्यते यद्वा धर्माद्धर्माचान्यल यदिति उपासनप्रस्नः । पापरूपसाधनविरुक्षणत्वादुपासनस्य । कृताकृतात् भृताच भन्याचान्यत्र यदिति कालापरिच्छिन्नमुपेयं पृष्टम् । उपेतुरपि चेतनस्य नित्यत्वात् प्राप्यान्तर्भावाच । तत एव तस्यापि तन्त्रेण प्रश्नः । तदन्तर्गतं च प्राप्तुः स्वरूपमिति हि वक्ष्यते । तल यत्तच्छब्दौ लितयपराविति भावः ' इति ॥

नन्वसिन्निप पक्षे प्रष्टव्यद्वयप्रत्वाश्रयणमपि क्विष्टमेव। अन्यत्न धर्मोदन्यता-धर्मोदिति प्रक्रमस्थान्यत्रशब्दद्वयसामानाधिकरण्यवत् अन्यत्रासात्कृताकृतादन्यत्र भूतादित्युपरितनान्यत्रशब्दद्वयस्यापि सामानाधिकरण्यस्यैव प्रतीतेः । यदि तल धर्माधर्मेविरुक्षणं यच्च, कारुत्वयविरुक्षणं यच्चेति चशब्दद्वयमश्रोप्यत तदा अन्यत्रशब्दयुगद्वयस्य स्वरसतः प्रतीतं सामानाधिकरण्यं पर्यत्यक्ष्यत । अतः प्रक्रमरीत्यनुसारिप्रतीतसमानाधिकरण्यभक्के कारणाभावात् अन्यत् धर्मा- दन्यत्राधर्मादित्ययमप्यंशः प्राप्यब्रह्मपर एवाऽस्तु । ननु 'नायमात्मा प्रवचनेन लभ्यो न मेधये ' त्युपायविशेषप्रतिवचनदर्शनेनोपायविशेषप्रश्नस्याप्यत्नेवान्तर्भाव्यत्या वशब्दाभावेप्यन्यत्रशब्द्युगद्वयस्य सामानाधिकरण्यं भञ्जनीयिमितिचेन्न । प्रतिवचनेपि 'नायमात्मा प्रवचनेन लभ्य ' इति प्रीतिरूपापन्नज्ञानेकलभ्यत्वलक्षणप्राप्यधर्मविशेषो-पदेशस्येव दर्शनेनोपायप्रधानप्रतिवचनादर्शनात् । 'नाशान्तमनसो वापि प्रज्ञानेनेन-माभुयात् ' 'यस्त्वविज्ञानवान् भवति लमनस्कः सद्।ऽशुचिः । न स तत्पद्माभोतीः ति प्रतिवचनदर्शनादन्यत्र धर्मादन्यत्रेनि प्रसिद्धोपायविशेषिप्रश्न इत्यपि किं न स्यात् । प्राप्यस्य प्रीतिरूपापन्नज्ञानेकोपायत्वकथनेन उपाये प्रीतिरूपापन्नत्वरूपविशेषः फलिष्य-तिति चेत् , फलुतु नाम । नैतावतोपायस्य प्रश्नप्रतिवचनप्रधानविषयत्वं वक्तव्य-मित्यस्ति । न हि 'किं देवदत्तभवन 'मिति प्रश्नस्य वा 'बहुचम्पकालंकृतनिष्कुटं द्वारोपान्तिलिखितशङ्कुचक्रपद्मकं देवदत्तभवन 'मिति तत्प्रश्नप्रतिवचनस्य वा निष्कुट-द्वारोपान्तिलिखितशङ्कुचक्रपद्मकं देवदत्तभवन 'मिति तत्प्रश्नप्रतिवचनस्य वा निष्कुट-द्वारोपान्तप्रधानकत्वं कश्चिदभ्युपैति । अतो अन्यत्नधर्मादन्यत्राधर्मादित्यपि अन्यत्न-शब्दचत्वष्ठस्यामानाधिकरण्यलिप्सया धर्माधर्मसाध्यविरुक्षणत्रह्मविषयण्वायमिति चेत्—

अत्रोच्यते— 'असौ देवदत्तादुत्पन्नो न भवति, अपि तु यज्ञदत्ता दिति वाक्यं श्रुत्वा 'देवदत्ताद्व्यं यं पश्यिस तं मे ब्रही'ति प्रकृत्तस्य प्रति (१)वचनस्य देव-दत्ताव्यज्ञदत्तपरत्ववत् रुक्षणया देवदत्तपुत्रान्यप्रभ्रपरत्वस्याप्रतीतेः । तद्वत् कर्मसाध्यं न, अपि तु ज्ञानसाध्यमित्युपदेशानन्तरप्रकृत्तस्य धर्मादन्यतेति प्रभस्य धर्मविरुक्षणज्ञान-रूपोपायपरत्वमेव युक्तम् । नतु धर्मशब्दरुक्षणया धर्मसाध्यिक्रिक्षणज्ञह्यः एत्वम् । तथा अधर्मादन्यत्रेत्यतापि सामानाधिकरण्येनोपायपरत्वमेव निश्चितम् । कारुत्रयपरि-चिन्नत्वरुक्षणवाचके उपरितनान्यत्वशब्दद्वये कारुत्वयापरिचिन्नते दिश्चतः कः इत्युक्ते नीरुद्विपद्योः अविरोधात् सामानाधिकरण्यं सिद्ध्यति । नतु चतुर्णां चशब्दाभावेषि सामानाधिकरण्यं सिद्ध्यति । नतु चतुर्णां चशब्दाभावेषि सामानाधिकरण्यं सिद्ध्यति । नतु चतुर्णां चशब्दाभावेषि सामानाधिकरण्यं दृष्यभक्षर्यप्रभ्रपरत्वमेव । एविमहापि यच्छब्दान्वितचशब्द-द्वयाभावेषि न सामानाधिकरण्यमत् (गम्य)गाह्यते । अस्तु वा भवदुक्तरीत्या सामानाधिकरण्यम् । अथापि प्रश्नप्रतिवचनयोद्वितीयव्याख्यायां उपयपश्चे उपेतन्तर्भाववत्

उपायस्याप्यन्तर्भृतत्वात् ' त्रयाणामेव चैवमुपन्यासः प्रश्नश्चे'ति सूत्रनिर्दिष्टोपायोपेतृ प्रश्नप्रतिवचनस्य सुघटिततयाक्षतेरभावात् 'तत्ते पदं सङ्ग्रहेण ब्रवीमि'इति पदशिब्दत-प्राप्यस्यैव प्रतिवचनप्रतिपाद्यत्वस्य स्पष्टं प्रतीतिरित्यलं प्रसक्तानुप्रसक्तवा । प्रकृत-मनुसरामः ॥ १४ ॥

# सर्वे वेदा यत्पदमामनन्ति तपाश्मि सर्वाणि च यद्वदन्ति । यदिच्छन्वो ब्रह्मचर्यं चरन्ति तत्ते पदश्सङ्गहेण ब्रवीमि । ओमित्येतत् ॥ १५ ॥

एवं पृष्टो मृत्युः 'न जायते भ्रियत ' इत्यादिना विस्तरेण प्रतिपिपाद्यिषः इदानी श्रोतुरादरातिशयसिद्ध्यर्थं प्राप्यवैभवं प्रकाशयन् सङ्ग्रहोक्तिं प्रतिजानीते – **सर्वे वेदा** इति। पद्यते गम्यत इति व्युत्पत्त्या पदशब्दः प्राप्यस्वरूपवाची । यत्स्वरूपं सेवे वेदाः साक्षात्परम्परया वा प्रतिपादयन्तीत्यर्थः। अनेन-अस्या उपनिषदः प्रजापतिविद्यावत् परि-<u> शुद्धात्मस्वरूपविषयतेवास्त्र</u> न जायते म्रियते वा विपश्चित्', 'हन्ता चेन्मन्यते हन्तु' मिति मन्त्रद्वयस्य परिशुद्धात्मस्वरूपपरत्वस्य सम्प्रतिपन्नत्वात् । 'अणोरणीयानि 'ति मन्त्रद्वय(<sup>?</sup>)स्यापि 'अविनाशि तु तद्विद्धि येन सर्वमिदं ततम्' । 'निर्व्यापारमनाख्येयं व्याप्तिमात्रमनृपमम् ' इति स्मृतिवचनाभ्यां सर्वान्तः प्रवेशयोग्यातिसूक्ष्मतया व्यापक-तया च प्रतिपादिते प्रत्यगात्मिन उपपन्नत्वात् , 'सूक्ष्मत्वात्तद्विज्ञेयं दूरस्थं चान्तिके च तदि 'ति गीतानुसारेण ' आसीनो दूरं त्रजति शयानो याति सर्वतः' इति वचन स्यापि तत्रैव युक्तत्वात्, ' यसिष्णु प्रमविष्णु चे त्युपबृंहणानुसारात् ' यस्य ब्रह्म च क्षलं चे 'ति मन्त्रस्यापि तत्र सङ्गतार्थत्वात्, 'द्वितीयं विप्णुसंज्ञस्य योगिध्येयं परं पदं ' 'प्रसृतिनाशस्थितिहेतुरीश्वरस्त्वमेव नान्यत् परमं च यत्पदः मिति स्मृत्यनुसारेण 'सोऽध्वनः पारमामोति तद्विष्णोः परमं पद्'मिति मन्त्रस्थापि राद्धात्मस्वरूपे सङ्गतार्थ-त्वात्, 'अन्यक्तोऽक्षर इत्युक्तस्तमाहुः परमां गति मिति स्मृत्यनुसारेण 'सा काष्टा-सा परा गति रिति मन्त्रस्थापि परिशुद्धात्मविषयत्वसंभावात् , 'समं सर्वेषु भूतेषु तिष्ठन्तं परमेश्वरं 'इति स्मृत्यनुसारेण 'एष सर्वेषु भूतेप्वि'ति मन्त्रस्यापि शुद्धस्वरूप-परत्वोपपत्ते:, 'पराश्चि खानी 'ति मन्त्रे परागर्थनिन्दाह्वारेण प्रत्यगर्थस्यैव प्रकरण-प्रतिपाद्यत्वाविष्करणात् , 'तिष्ठन्तं परमेश्वर् 'मिति गीतानुसारेण 'ईशानो भूत- भव्यस्ये 'ति मन्त्रस्यापि ग्रुद्धात्मस्वरूपे सङ्गतार्थत्वात् , भेद्पसक्तिमति प्रत्यगात्म-स्वरूप एव 'नेह नाने 'ति निषेधस्यापि सङ्गतार्थत्वात् , 'अमेदन्यापिनो वायोस्तथाऽसौ ः परमात्मनः र इति स्मृतिपत्यभिज्ञापकस्य 'वायुर्यथैको भुवनं पविष्ट' इति मन्त्रस्यापि शुद्धस्वरूपपरत्वसंभवात् , 'सर्वतः पाणिपाद'मिति गीताभाष्ये ब्रह्मणा परमसाम्यमा-पन्ने शुद्धात्मस्वरूपे सर्वतःपाणिपादादिकार्यकर्तृत्वं संभवतीति उपपादितत्वात् , 'एकं बीजं बहुधा यः कर ती'ति मन्त्रस्यापि परिशुद्धपरत्वेऽनुपपत्त्यभावात्, तत्र 'न तत्र सूर्यो भाती 'ति मन्त्रस्यापि ' न तद्भासयते सूयः' ' ज्योतिषामपि तज्ज्योतिस्तमसः पर-मुच्यते ' इति गीतावचनेन परिशुद्धात्मस्वरूपपरत्वस्य युक्तत्वात् , 'तं स्वाच्छरी-रात्पवृहे 'दिति औपसंहारिकमन्त्रस्य शुद्धात्मपरत्व एव स्वारस्यात् कृतस्त्राया अन्यु-पनिषदः प्रजापतिवाक्यवत् प्रत्यगात्मस्वरूपमात्रपरत्वोपपत्तौ प्रत्यगात्मपरमात्मरूप-पाप्यद्वयपरत्वक्रेशाश्रयणं वृथा-इति शङ्का प्रत्युक्ता । सर्ववेदप्रतिपाद्यस्यैव 'तत्ते पदं सङ्ग्रहेण ब्रवीमी'ति वक्तव्यत्वेन प्रतिज्ञानात् परमात्मस्वरूपप्रतिपाद्कवेदभागप्रतिपाद्य-त्वस्य गुद्धस्वरूपे असंभावात् , गुद्धस्वरूपस्या(प्य)न्तर्यामिणः परमात्मस्वरूपस्य गुद्ध-स्वरूपप्रतिपादकभागेनापि प्रतिपाद्यत्वसंभवादिति द्रष्टव्यम् । तपांसि सर्वाणि चेति । तपांसि — तपःप्रधाना उपरितनभागा इति व्यासार्थेव्याख्यातम् । यदिच्छन्तो ब्रह्म-चर्यं चर्नित्। त्रह्मचर्यं—गुरुक्छवासस्त्रीसङ्गराहित्यादिलक्षणं यदिच्छन्तोऽन्तिष्ठन्ति। तत्ते पदिमिति । सङ्ग्रहते ८नेनेति सङ्ग्रहः शब्दः । पाप्यवक्तव्यत्वप्रतिज्ञापरे अस्मिन्मन्त्रे अर्थात् प्रणवप्रशंसाया लाभात् 'प्रणवं प्रशंस्ये 'ति भाष्यस्य च 'सर्वे वेदा ' 'इत्यादिपादत्रयोक्तब्रह्मप्रतिपादकतया प्रशस्येत्यर्थः ' इति श्रुतप्रकाशिका-वचनस्य च नानुपपत्तिरिति द्रष्टव्यम् । सङ्क्षेपेण तत्प्रतिपादकं किमित्यत आह— ओमित्येतदिति । 'ओं तत्सदिति निर्देशो ब्रह्मणिस्रविधस्समृतः ' इति प्रणवस्य ब्रह्मवाचकत्वात् प्रणवावयवयोरकारमकारयोः परजीववाचितया उपायोपेलोरप्युपदिष्ट-त्वमस्तीति द्रष्टव्यम् ॥ १५ ॥

## एतद्वयेवाक्षरं ब्रह्म एतद्वयेवाक्षरं परम् । एतद्वयेवाक्षरं ज्ञात्वा यो यदिच्छति तस्य तत् ॥ १६ ॥

एवं वाचकं प्रणवं द्वाभ्यां मन्त्राभ्यां स्तौति-एतद्भयेवाक्षरं ब्रह्मेति । 'ओमित्य-नेनैवाक्षरेण परं (म) पुरुषमभिध्यायीते 'ति ब्रह्मप्राप्तिसाधनध्यानालंबनत्वादिदमे- वाक्षरं ब्रह्मप्राप्तिसाधनत्वात् ब्रह्म । एतद्भचेवाक्षरं परम् । जप्येषु ध्येयेषु च क्षेष्ठमित्यर्थः । एतद्भचेवाक्षरमिति । एतदक्षरमुपासमानः(उपास्य) अनेनोपासनेन इदं फल्लं मे भूयादिति यत् कामयते तस्य तद्भवतीत्यर्थः ॥ १६ ॥

# एतदालम्बनं श्रेष्ठं एतदालम्बनं परम् । एतदालम्बनं ज्ञात्वा ब्रह्मलोके महीयते ॥ १७ ॥

एतदालम्बनं श्रेष्टम्—एतदोंकाररूपमालम्बनं । श्रेष्ठं—ध्यानादेरिति शेषः । अत एव एतदालम्बनं परम्—एतदालम्बनकं ध्यानादि सर्वोत्कृप्टमित्यर्थः । उत्तरार्धस्य स्पष्टोर्थः ॥ १७॥

## न जायते ज्रियते वा विपश्चिन्नायं कुतिश्चन्न बभूव कश्चित् । अजो नित्यक्शाश्वतोऽयं पुराणो न हन्यते हन्यमाने शरीरे ॥१८॥

प्रथमं तावत्प्रत्यगात्मस्वरूपमाह—न जायते म्रियते वेत्यादिना मन्त्रद्वयेन । इदं च प्रस्तुत्य न्यासार्थेरित्थं ह्युक्तम्—'इदं मन्त्रद्वयं तावदेकविषयम्, 'न हन्यते हन्यमाने शरीरे ' इत्येतद्विवरणरूपत्वात् द्वितीयमन्त्रस्य । हन्ता चेदिति मन्त्रश्च जीवविषय एव । लोकस्य परमात्मिन हन्तृहन्तव्यभावप्रतिपत्त्यभावात् । परमात्मा हि प्रत्यक्षागोचरः । कथं तस्मिन्वध्यतादिप्रतिपत्तिः । अहमेनं हन्मि अयं मां हन्तु-वध्यवातुकभावाभिमानो हि देहिनां जीवविषय एव । मागच्छतीति 'नास्य जरयैतर्ज्जीर्यती' तिवत् परमात्मनोपि हननप्रतिषेध उपपद्यते । सत्यम् , तल दहराकाशस्य देहान्तःस्थित्या शंकितविकारनिषेध उपपद्यते । इह तु छोकसिद्धा भ्रान्तिरनूद्य निरस्यते । न हि परमात्मनि वध्यघातुकभावभ्रान्तिः कस्याप्यस्ति । अतः अनुवादनिषेधावनुपपन्नौ । 'न जायत ं इति मन्त्रश्च तेनैकार्थः । अतः मन्त्रद्वयमपि जीवविषयकमेवे'ति । अक्षरार्थस्तु--न जायते स्रियते वा विपश्चित् — विपश्चित्त्वाहों ऽयमिदानी मिप जननमरणश्र्य इत्यर्थः । नायं जुतश्चित्— उत्पादकशून्यः । न वभूव कश्चित् — पूर्वमिप मनुष्यादिरूपेण जननशून्यः । न जायत इत्यत्र हेतुमाह—अज इति । .न भ्रियत इत्यत्र हेतुमाह— नित्य इति । न कुतश्चिदित्यत्न हेतुमाह-न्नाश्चत इति । पूर्वं न बभूवेत्यत्न हेतुमाह-**पुराण** इति ।

ननु कथमस्य नित्यत्वम् , शरीरान्तर्विर्तिनःशरीरिवनाशानुविनाशित्वावश्यंभावादित्यत आह — न हन्यत इति । स्पष्टोर्थः ॥ १८॥

## हन्ता चेन्मन्यते हन्तु ४ हतश्चेन्मन्यते हतम् । उभौ तौ न विजानीतो नाय ४ हन्ति न हन्यते ॥ १९ ॥

तदेवोपपादयति—हन्ता चेदिति । हन्ताचेन्मन्यते हन्तुं—अहमेनं विध (हिन) प्यामीति देहात्मदृष्ट्या मन्यते चेदित्यर्थः । हत्रश्चेन्मन्यते हत्म् — छिन्नदेहावयवः देहात्मदृष्ट्या आत्मानं हतोऽहिमिति मन्यते चेदित्यर्थः । उभो तौ न विजानीतः । आत्मस्वरूपमिति शेषः । नायं हिन्ति । आत्मानिमिति शेषः । न हन्यते—आत्मस्वरूपमिति शेषः । न च वेदान्तवेद्यपरिशुद्धात्मस्वरूपे कथं हननादिप्रसक्तितत् पूर्वकिनिषेधाविति वाच्यम् । तस्यैव क्षेत्रीमृतत्या तत्प्रयुक्ततत्संभवादिति द्रष्टव्यम् ।

इमौ मन्त्रौ प्रस्तुत्य वियत्पादे चिन्तितम् । तत्र हि 'वायुश्चान्तरिक्षं चैतदमृत'मिति वाय्वन्तरिक्षयोर्नित्यत्वश्रवणेपि 'आत्मन आकाशस्संमृतः आकाशाद्वायुः ' इति तयोरुत्पत्तिश्रवणात् एकविज्ञानेन सर्वविज्ञानसिद्ध्यर्थं सर्वस्य वस्तुनो ब्रह्मविकारत्वस्यावश्याश्रयणीयत्वाच यथोत्पत्तिरङ्गीक्रियते, जीवानां नित्यत्वश्रवणेपि 'तोयेन जीवान्विससर्ज भूम्यां' 'प्रजापतिः प्रजा असृजते'ति जीवानामपि सृष्टिश्रवणात् एकविज्ञानेन सर्विविज्ञानसिद्धवर्थं जीवस्यापि सृष्टिरभ्युप-गन्तन्येति पूर्वपक्षे प्राप्ते—'नात्मा श्रुतेर्नित्यत्वाच ताभ्यः' इति सूत्रेण सिद्धान्तितम् । आत्मा नोत्पद्यते 'न जायते म्रियते वा विपश्चित' 'ज्ञाज्ञौ द्वावजा' वित्युत्पत्ति-निषेधश्रुतेः । ताभ्य एव श्रुतिभ्यः नित्यत्वावगमाच । नचोत्पत्तिश्रुतिसर्वविज्ञान प्रतिज्ञाविरोधक्काङ्कयः । स्वरूपस्य नित्यत्वेऽपि ज्ञानसङ्कोचिकासरुक्षणान्यथाभाव-ह्मपावस्थान्तरापत्तिसत्त्वेन उत्पत्तिश्रुतेः सर्वविज्ञानप्रतिज्ञायाश्चोपपत्तेः । उत्पत्ति-निषेधश्रुतेश्च स्वरूपान्यथाभावरुक्षणोत्पत्यभावपरतया अविरोधात् । इयांस्तु विदोषः । चिद्वचिदीश्वराणां त्रयाणामपि अवस्थान्तरापित्रुखणोत्पत्तिरूपो विकारोऽस्त्येव । तथाप्यचेतनानां स्वरूपान्यथाभावरुक्षणा उत्पत्तिः। जीवानां तु सा नास्ति । अपितु ज्ञानसङ्कोचिकासरुक्षणस्वभावान्यथाभावरूपा उत्पत्तिः । ईश्वरस्य त तन्नियन्तृत्वाद्य-वस्थासत्त्वेपि उक्तरुक्षणानिष्टविकारद्वयाभावात् 'नित्यो नित्याना 'मिति परमात्मन

इतरविरुक्षणनित्यत्वोक्तिरिति द्रष्टव्यम् । वर्णितश्च सूत्रार्थः ।

ननु 'न जायते म्रियते वा' इति श्रुतिमतिषिद्धां जीवोत्पत्तिं 'वासुदेवात्सङ्कर्षणो नाम जोवो जायते 'इति प्रतिपादयत: पाञ्चरात्रस्य कथं प्रामाण्य 'मिति चेत्-अस्या: शङ्कायास्तर्भपादे निराकृतत्वात् । तथाहि – वासुदेवात्संकर्षणो नाम जीवो जायत' इति जीवोत्पत्तिः प्रतिपाद्यते । सा च जीवे न संभवति । तथा 'सङ्कर्षणा-त्प्रयुम्नसंज्ञं मनो जायते दित कर्तुर्जीवात्करणस्य मनसः उत्पत्तिः श्रूयमाणापि न संभवति । कर्तुर्जीवात्करणोत्वत्तेः 'एतस्माज्जायते प्राणो मनस्सेवेन्द्रियाणि चे'ति मनसो ब्रह्मोत्पत्तिपादकश्रुतिविरुद्धत्वादिति 'उत्पत्त्यसंभवात्' 'न च कर्तुः करण 'मिति सूत्रद्वयेन पूर्वपक्षं ऋत्वा ' विज्ञानादिभावे वा तदप्रतिषेधः' विप्रतिषेधाचे ति सूत्राभ्यां सिद्धान्तितम् । वाशव्दः पूर्वपक्षव्यावृत्त्यर्थः । विज्ञानं च तदादि च विज्ञानादि । ननु च 'क्यन्तो घु'रिति आदिशब्दस्य नित्यपुल्लिङ्गत्वात्कथमेतिदिति चेत्—नायं द्यः । अपि तु 'अद भक्षणे' इत्यस्मा-दावश्यकार्थे णिनिप्रत्यये ' आदि ' इति रूपं सिद्धचित । तेन च निखिलजगत्सं-हर्नृत्वमुखेन कारणत्वं प्रतिपाद्यत इति द्रष्टव्यम् । आदि विज्ञानं परमात्मेत्यर्थः । 'सङ्कर्षणो नाम जीवो जायते' इति श्रुतस्य जीवशब्दार्थस्य तदभिमानिपरमात्मभावे परिम्रहः । तसिन्नेव पाञ्चरात्रे 'स ह्यनादिरनन्तश्चे'ति जीवोत्पत्तेर्विद्येषेण प्रति-षिद्धतया तद्विरुद्धाभिधानासंभवात् 'सङ्कर्षणो नाम जीवो जायत' इत्यनेन जीवा-मिमानिसङ्कर्षणस्य इच्छाधीनशरीरपरिग्रहरूपोत्पत्तिः प्रतिपाद्यत इति न पाञ्चराला-प्रामाण्यमिति सूत्रयोरर्थः ।

ननु सांस्यपाशुपताद्यधिकरणवदिदमप्यधिकरणं पाञ्चरात्रप्रामाण्यप्रतिषेधकं किं न स्यादिति चेत् .... वेदोपबृंहणाय भारतसंहितां कुर्वता वादरायणेन....

> ' इदं शतसहस्राद्धि भारताख्यानविस्तरात् । आमथ्य मतिमन्थानं दुष्नो घृतमिवोद्भृतम् ॥

नवनीतं यथा दध्नो द्विपदां ब्राह्मणो यथा । आरण्यकं च वेदेभ्यः ओषधीभ्यो यथाऽमृतम् ॥ इदं महोपिनिशदं चतुर्वेदसमिन्वतम् । सांस्ययोगकृतान्तेन पाञ्चरात्नानुशिन्दतम् ॥ इदं श्रेय इदं ब्रह्म इदं हितमनुत्तमम् । ऋग्यजुस्सामिन्जुष्टं अथवीङ्गिरसेस्तशा ॥ भविष्यति प्रमाणं वै एतदेवानुशासनम् । ब्राह्मणेः क्षत्रियवैद्देशैः शृहेश्च कृतलक्षणेः ॥ अर्चनीयश्च सेत्यश्च पूजनीयश्च माधवः । सात्वतं विधिमास्थाय गीतस्सङ्कर्षणेन यः ॥ अस्मात्प्रवक्ष्यते धर्मान्मनुस्स्वायंसुवस्तथा ॥

इत्यादिभिर्वचनैः बहुषु स्थलेषु पाञ्चरात्रप्रामाण्यं प्रतिष्ठापितवता शारीरकशास्त्रे तत्प्रामाण्यं निराक्रियत इत्यस्यासङ्गतत्वात् । नन्वेवं—

> ' एवं तत्त्वमिदं कृत्सनं सांख्यानां विदितासमनाम् । यदुक्तं यतिभिर्मुख्यैः किपलादिभिरीश्वरैः ॥ यसिन्नविश्रमाः केचित् दृश्यन्ते मनुजर्षम । गुणाश्च यसिन्बहवः दोषहानिश्च केवला ॥ '

इति कापिलमतस्य भारते अमादिदोषाभावप्रतिपाद्नात् 'सर्वेषु च नृपश्रेष्ठ ज्ञानेष्वेतेषु हश्यते । यथागमं यथान्यायं निष्ठा नारायणः प्रभु'रिति सांख्ययोगपाशुपतादीनामपि नारायणनिष्ठत्वप्रतिपादनात् 'तमेव शास्त्रकर्तारः प्रवदन्ति मनीषिणः 'इति तच्छास्नकर्तृणामपि नारायणप्रतिपादकत्वस्य प्रतिपादनात् 'सांख्यं योगः पाञ्चरात्रं वेदाः पाशुपतं तथा । आत्मप्रमाणान्येतानि न हन्तव्यानि हेतुभि 'रिति स्वर्वेषामप्यात्मप्रमाणत्वप्रतिपादनाच्च 'सर्वे प्रमाणं हि तथा यथैतच्छास्त्रमुत्तम 'मिति पाञ्चरात्रदृष्टान्तेन इत्ररशास्त्राणामपि प्रामाण्यप्रतिपादनाच्च तत्यादे सांख्यपाशुपताद्यागमानामपि प्रामाण्यं न निरािक्रयत इति चेत्—सत्यम् । अमविप्रतिप्रदिशहित्यं शास्त्रकर्तृणां परमतात्पर्यं नारायण एवेति च समानम् । तथापि अबहुश्रुततया तद्वक्तृणां हृदयमजानन्तः

आपातप्रतिपन्नमेवार्थं तात्त्वकं मन्यमाना ये प्रत्यवितष्ठन्ते, तान्प्रति सांस्याद्यागमानां आपातप्रतिपन्नार्थमात्रपरत्वमन्वारुद्ध सूलकृता तिन्नरसनं कृतम् । पाञ्चरात्रशास्त्रं तु परतत्त्विहितपुरुषार्थानामेवापाततोपि प्रतीतेः वेदिवरुद्धनिमित्तोपादानमेदाद्यप्रतीतिश्च कृत्सनं प्रमाणमेवेति नैकदेशेप्यप्रामाण्यशङ्कावकाश इति द्रष्टव्यम् । एवमेव व्यासार्थे- रुक्तम् । प्रकृतमनुसरामः ॥ १९ ॥

अणोरणीयान् महतो महीयानात्मास्य जन्तोर्निहितो गुहा-याम् । तमक्रतुः पश्यति वीतशोको धातुप्रसादान्महिमा-नमात्मनः ॥ २०॥

एवं मन्त्रद्वयेन प्रत्यगात्मस्वरूपं विशोध्य तदात्मभूतपर्मात्मस्वरूपमाह—अणोरणीया-नित्यादिना । अणोः—सर्वाचेतनापेक्षया सूक्ष्माचेतनात् अणुतरः ततोपि सूक्ष्मः तदन्तः प्रवेशयोग्य इत्यर्थः । महतः – आकाशादेरपि महत्तरः स्वाव्याप्तवस्तुरहित इत्यर्थः । अस्य जन्तोः—'न जायते म्रियते वे ति मन्त्रद्वयनिर्दिष्टस्य । आत्मा— अन्तःप्रविक्य नियन्तेत्यर्थः । अतश्च पूर्वमन्त्रद्वयनिर्दिष्टात् प्रत्यगात्मस्वरूपात् अणो-रणीयानिति मन्त्रसन्दर्भप्रतिपाद्योऽन्य एवेति सिद्धम् । न च अस्य जन्तोरित्यस्य हृद्यगुहावाचिना संबन्धसापेक्षेण गुहायामित्यनेनैवान्वितत्वेन नात्मेत्यनेनान्वय इति शङ्क्यम् । आत्मशब्दान्वितस्यैव काकाक्षिन्यायेनोभयत्नान्वये दोषाभावात् । 'मूरुतः शाखां परिवास्योपवेषं करोति ' इत्यत्र शाखां मूळतः परिवास्य मूळत उपवेषं करोतीित परिवासनान्वितस्यापि मूळत इत्यस्य उपवेषं करोतीत्यनेनापि अन्वयस्याङ्गीकृतत्वात । जीवहृदयगुहावर्तित्वप्रतिपादनेऽपि जीवभेदिसिद्धेश्च । निह जीवस्यैव जीवगुहावर्तित्व-प्रतिपादने प्रयोजनमस्ति । ननु ' न जायत 'इत्युपन्यस्तस्यात्मनः जायमानवाचिजन्तुशब्देन परामर्शस्यानुपपन्नतया अस्य जन्तोरित्यस्य प्रत्यक्षादिसन्निधापितदेहपरताया एव वक्त-व्यत्वेन तद्गुहाहित आत्मा प्रागुपन्यस्तो बीव एवास्तु । न च कर्तृत्वभोक्तृत्वादि-विशिष्टतया सदा अहमिति भासमाने जीवे 'करतं मदामदं देवं मदन्यो ज्ञातुमहिति ' ' क इत्था वेद यत्र सः 'इति उत्तरसन्दर्भप्रतिपाद्यदुर्विज्ञानत्वं कथमन्वेत्विति वाच्यम् । जीवस्य कर्तृत्वादिविशिष्टतया सर्वछोकविदितत्वेपि मुक्तप्राप्यब्रह्मस्वरूपविशिष्टतया दुर्ज्ञानत्वसंभवादिति चेन्न । 'प्राणी तु चेतनो जन्मी जन्तुजन्यशरीरिण ' इति जन्तु- शब्दस्य चेतनपर्यायतया प्रकृतजीववाचित्वसंभवात् । अस्येति शब्दस्य च पूर्वसन्दर्भो-प्रत्यक्षाचुपस्थापितदेहविषयत्वाश्रयणस्यायुक्तत्वात् । पस्थापितप्रत्यगात्मविषयत्वसंभवे अत्यन्ताणुत्वमहत्त्वयोः ' एष म आत्मान्तर्हृद्ये अणीयान् त्रीहेर्वा यवाद्वा सर्पपाद्वा स्या-माकाद्वा स्थामाकतण्डुलाद्वा एष म आत्मान्तर्हृद्ये ज्यायान् पृथिन्या ज्यायानन्त-रिक्षात् ज्यायान्दिवो ज्यायानेभ्यो लोकेभ्यः 'इत्यादिषु परमात्मधर्मतया अणोरणीया-निति मन्त्रप्रतिपाद्यस्य जीवत्वराङ्काया असंभवात् । नुनु 'नेतरोऽनुपपत्ते 'रिति स्त्रे ' सह ब्रह्मणा विपश्चिते ' ति वाक्यश्रुतविपश्चित्त्वस्य ब्रह्मासाधारणलिङ्गत्वस्य भाष्ये प्रतिपादितत्वात् 'न जायते म्रियते वा विपश्चि 'दिति मन्त्रस्यापि पररीत्या परमात्म-परत्वमेवास्तु । एवं सति ' अन्यत्र धर्मा 'दिति प्रश्नस्य प्राप्यद्वयपरत्वं प्रतिवचनस्य प्राप्य-द्वयपरत्वमाश्रित्य ' न जायत ' इत्यादिमन्त्रद्वयस्य प्राप्यजीवस्वरूपपरत्वं, अणोरणीया-निति सन्दर्भस्य च परमात्मपरत्विमत्यादिपरिकल्पनक्केशो नाश्रयणीय इति चेत्-न। हननादिपतिषेधाद्यनुपपत्त्या विपश्चिच्छब्दे मुख्यार्थत्यागस्यावस्यकत्वेन तन्मन्त्रद्वय-स्याणोरणीयानित्यादिमन्त्रसन्दर्भस्य च एकविषयत्वासंभवात्। शिष्टमुत्तरत्र स्पष्टयिष्यते। तमक्रतं पश्यतीति । तं – तादृशं परमात्मानं अक्रतुः – काम्यकर्मादिरहितस्सन् धातोः धारकस्य परमात्मनः प्रसादात् आत्मनः महिमानं महत्त्वसंपादकं स्वसार्वज्ञ्या-दिगुणाविभीवहेतुभूतं परमात्मानं यदा पर्यति तदा वीतशोको भवतीत्यर्थः । सुभ्वाद्यधि-करणे ' जुष्टं यदा पश्यत्यन्यमीशं ' इति मन्त्रखण्डं प्रस्तुत्य ' अयं यदा स्वसादन्यं सर्वस्येशं प्रीयमाणमस्येश्वरस्य महिमानं च निखिरुजगन्नियमनरूपं पश्यित तदा वीतशोको भवती 'ति भगवता भाष्यकृता व्याख्यातत्वात् तद्नुसारेणापि परमात्मनः निखिलजगन्नियमनरूपं महिमानं च यः पश्यति स वीतशोको भवतीत्यर्थः । धातुप्रसा-दाद्वीतशोको भवतीति वान्वयः। 'प्रसीदत्यच्युतस्तस्मिन्पसन्ने क्रेशसंक्षय दित स्मृतेरिति द्रष्टव्यम् । ' अऋतुं पञ्चित धातुःप्रसादान्महिमानमीशम् ' इति पाठे— अक्रतं—कर्मकृतोत्कर्षापकर्षशून्यमित्यर्थः । धातुः—भगवतः ॥ २० ॥

आसीनो द्रं त्रजित शयानो याति सर्वतः । कस्तं मदामदं देवं मदन्यो ज्ञातुमर्हिति ॥ २१ ॥

धातुप्रसादशब्दितभगवद्नुग्रहशून्यस्य परमात्मतत्त्वमत्यन्तास्रोकिकत्वाद्दुरिधगम-मिति दर्शयति — आसीनो दूरं त्रजति शयानो याति सर्वत इति — सर्वास्र- करवेन इतरत्र विरुद्धतया प्रतीयमाना अप्यासीनत्वदूरगन्तृत्वादिधर्मा जीवद्वारा तत्र सन्तीति भावः । कस्तमिति । हर्षाहर्षविरुद्धधर्ममध्यस्थं तं परमात्मप्रसादानुगृहीत-मादशजनादन्यः को वा ज्ञातेत्यर्थः ॥ २१ ॥

> अशरीर शरीरेष्वनवस्थेष्ववस्थितम्। महान्तं विभ्रमात्मानं मत्वा धीरो न शोचित्।। २२ ॥

अशरीरमिति । कर्मकृतशरीररहितं, अनवस्थेषु — अस्थिरेषु, नित्यत्वेन तल्ल स्थितं महान्तं विश्वं—महावैभवशालिनं आत्मानं मत्वा घीरो न शोचति ॥ २२ ॥

> नायमात्मा प्रवचनेन लम्यो न मेधया न बहुना श्रुतेन । यमेवैष वृणुते तेन लभ्यस्तस्यैष आत्मा विवृणुते तन्र्स्स्वाम्।।२३।।

ईदशात्मप्राप्तग्रुपायं दर्शयति—नायमात्मेति । अत्र प्रवचनशब्देन मननस्यैव प्रहीतुमुचितत्वाद्ध्यापनरूपस्य प्रवचनस्य हेतुत्वाप्रसक्तेश्च तथैव व्यासार्थेविवृतत्वाच प्रवचनं मननम् । यमेवेष वृणुते तेन लभ्यः । एषः परमात्मा यं साधकं प्रार्थयते तेन लभ्यः प्रार्थनीयपुंसा लभ्य इत्यर्थः । तत्प्रार्थनीयत्वं च तिष्प्रयतमस्यैव पुंसः । तिष्प्रयतमत्वं च तत्प्रीतिमत एव । ततश्च भगवद्विषयिणी उपासकस्य प्रीतिः भगवत उपासके प्रीतिमृत्याच तत्प्राप्तिहेतुर्भवतीत्यर्थः । तस्यैष आत्मा विवृणुते तम् स्वाम्—तस्य उपासकस्य एष आत्मा परमात्मा स्वरूपं प्रकाशयति स्वात्मानं प्रयच्छतीत्यर्थः । वृणुते इति पाठेषि स एवार्थः ॥ २३ ॥

# नाविरतो दुश्ररितान्नाशान्तो नासमाहितः। नाशान्तमानसो वापि प्रज्ञानेनैनमाप्चयात् ॥ २४ ॥

परमात्मप्रप्तिहेतुभूतोपासनाङ्गतया कांश्चिद्धर्मानुपदिशति नाविरतो दुश्चरिता-दिति। परदारपरद्रव्यापहारादिनवृतः अनुपशान्तकामकोधवेगः नानाविधव्यापारिविश्विस-तयाऽनविहित्वितः अनिगृहीतमनाश्च एनं — परमात्मानं प्रज्ञानेन नामुयादित्यर्थः । पुरुषार्थस्यैवानृतवद्ननिषेधस्य दर्शपूर्णमासप्रकरणे कत्वङ्गतया 'नानृतं वदे 'दिति निषेधवत् पुरुषार्थस्यापि दुश्चरितिवरत्यादेरुपासनाङ्गतया विधानमुपपद्यते । ततश्च यस्तु पुरुषार्थमपि दुश्चरितिविष्टमित्रवृद्ध्य परमात्मोपासनमविगुणं चिकीर्षति तस्य दुश्चरित्तनिषेधस्यपाद्मवेगुण्यादुषासनसाद्भुष्यः न सिद्ध्यतीत्यर्थः ॥ २४ ॥ यस्य ब्रह्म च क्षतं च उमे भवत ओदनः। मृत्युर्यस्योप-सेचनं क इत्था वेद यत्र सः॥ २५॥ ॥ इति द्वितीया वही॥

यस्य ब्रह्मचेति। ब्रह्म च क्षत्रं च-ब्रह्मक्षलाख्यवर्णद्वयोपलक्षितकृत्स्वराचरात्मक-मिदं जगत् । यस्य ओदनो भवति—यस्य विनाश्यो भवतीत्पर्थः । यस्य मृत्युः स्वयमद्यमानत्वे सति अन्यस्यादनहेत् भेवति, सः निखिळचराचरसंहर्ता परमात्मा यत यसिन् प्रकारे स्थितः यत्प्रकारविशिष्टः तं प्रकारमित्थमिति को वेदेत्यर्थः । नन् ब्रह्मक्षत्रपदेन कृत्स्रचराचरप्रहणे किं बीजमिति चेद्च्यते । ब्रह्म च क्षत्रं च ओदन इत्युक्ते ब्राह्मणक्षतियवर्णयोः किंचित्प्रति ओद्नशब्दमुख्यार्थत्वासंभवात् ओद्न-शब्देन भोग्यत्वं वा विनाश्यत्वं वा रुक्षणीयम् । न हि ब्रह्मक्षलमात्रभोक्ता तन्माल-संहर्ता वा कश्चिज्जीवो वा परमात्मा वाऽस्ति। नन्वन्तरादित्यविद्यायां 'ये चामुष्मात्परा-बो लोकास्तेषाबेष्ट ' इति सर्वलोकेश्वरे परमात्मनि उपासनार्थं लोकविद्योषेशितृत्व-श्रवणवत् सर्वसंहर्तर्यपि परमात्मनि ब्रह्मक्षत्रसंहरणमुपासनार्थमुपदिस्यतामितिचेन्न । तद्वदस्योपासनाप्रकरणत्वासंभवात् । अतः ब्रह्मक्षत्रग्रहणस्य चराचरमात्रोपरुक्षणत्वं युक्तम् । उक्तं च सूत्रकृता 'अत्ता चराचरेत्रहणात् 'इति । नन्त्रेवमपि ओदनशब्देन किमिति विनाइयत्वं रुक्यते । गौणत्वमपि शब्दस्य साधारणगुणमपहाय असाधारण-गुणेनैव निर्वाह्यम् । नह्यभिर्माणवक इत्यत्र अभिशब्देन पेङ्गल्यादेरिव द्रव्यत्वादे-रुपस्थितिरस्ति । अत एव 'पेतु होतुश्चमसः प्र ब्रह्मणः प्रोद्गातॄणां प्र यजमानस्ये ' त्यध्वर्यु प्रेषे उद्गातृशब्दस्य बहुवचनानुरोधेन बहुषु वृत्तौ वक्तव्यायां षोडशर्विक्साधा-रणाकारं विहाय उद्गातृगणमालरुक्षणा पूर्वतन्त्रे वर्णिता । तद्वदिहापि ब्रह्मक्षलयोरोद्न-शब्दमुख्यार्थत्वासंभवेपि भोज्यत्वभोग्यत्वरूपान्तरङ्गाकारस्यैव रुक्षणयाऽपि ग्रहणं युक्तम् । नत्वत्यन्तवहिरङ्गस्य विनाश्यत्वाकारस्य, येन निखिरुचराचरसहर्ता परमात्माऽल वाक्ये प्रतीयतेति चेत् उच्यते । यद्यपि विनाश्यत्वं साधारणाकारः, तथापि मृत्युर्य-स्योपसेचनमिति वाक्यशेषानुरोधात् साधारणोऽपि गौण्या वृत्त्या रुक्षयितुमुचितः। ननु उपसेचनशब्दापेक्षया ओदनशब्दस्य मुख्यत्वात् ओदनशब्दस्वारस्यानुरोधेन असा-धारणाकारक्रमभोग्यत्वे तक्षिते जघन्यमुपरेचनपदमबाधकत्वाभिप्रायेणः कथिर्वावीयन ताम् । अतः ब्रह्मक्षत्रभोक्ता यः यस्य च मृत्युरबाधकः सोऽस्मिन्मन्ते प्रतिपाद्यः भोक्तृत्वं च जीवस्यैवेति स एवास्मिन्मन्ते प्रतिपाद्यतामिति चेत्—उच्यते । उपसेचन्त्वेन रूपितस्य मृत्योः ओदनत्वरूपितेन ब्रह्मक्षत्राब्दितेन दध्यन्नवत् प्रतीतसम्बन्धस्य सर्वात्मना बाधप्रसङ्गात् । निह यस्य ब्रह्मक्षत्रं च भोग्यं यस्य च मृत्युरबाधक इत्युक्ते मृत्योः ब्रह्मक्षत्रस्य च सम्बन्धः प्रतीयते । अतः उपसेचनशब्दस्य ओदनशब्दापेक्षया जघन्यत्वेपि अबाधकत्वरूपसाधारणगुणं विहाय स्वयमद्यमानत्वे सित अन्यादनहेतुत्वरूपासाधारणरूप एव ब्राह्यः । तत्रश्च एकवाक्यान्तर्गतचरमश्रुतोपसेचनपदानुसारेण ओदनशब्देनापि विनाध्यत्वमेव छक्षणीयम् । स्वबुद्धग्रुपस्थापनीयनविशेषाकाररूपगुणब्रहणादपि एकवाक्यतापन्नपदान्तरोपस्थापितगुणब्रहणस्यैव बुद्धिन्छावनेन एकवाक्यतासामर्थ्यानुरोधेन च न्याय्यत्वादित्यस्यार्थस्य अत्विकरणे निर्णीनत्वादित्यस्यं पछवितेन ॥ २५॥

॥ इति द्वितीयबङ्घीव्याख्या ॥

#### ॥ अथ तृतीया वही ॥

#### हरि: ओं।। ऋतं पिवन्तौ सुकृतस्य लोके गुहां प्रविष्टौ परमे परार्ध्य । छायातपौ ब्रह्मविदो वदन्ति पश्चाप्रयो ये च त्रिणाचिकेताः।। १ ॥

'क इत्था वेद यह सः' इति अस्य दुर्ज्ञानत्वे, अह्न इत्थमास्ते इत्यस्यार्थस्य दुर्ज्ञोधत्वेन न वयं तदुपासने शक्ताः इति मन्यमानं प्रति उपास्योपासकयोरेकगुहानु-प्रवेशेन परमात्मनस्सूपास्यत्वाद्धयमपि उपासितुं शक्ता इति द्वाभ्यां मन्त्राभ्यां दर्शयति— ऋतं पिवन्तावित्यादिना । सत्यपदवाच्यावश्यभाविकर्मफल्मनुभवन्तौ सुकृतसाध्ये लोके अस्मिन्नेव लोके वर्तमानौ हृदयकुहरं प्रविष्टौ तन्नापि परमाकाशे पराध्ये — परार्धं सङ्ख्याया उत्तराविधः तद्हतीति परार्ध्यम्, उत्कृष्ट इत्यर्थः । तादशे हार्दाकाशे वर्तमानौ । छायातपशब्दाभ्यां ज्ञाज्ञौ कथ्यते । अञ्चराहदेन अविवनिदेशस्य नायमभिष्रायः । उपास्योपासकयोरेक-

गुहावर्तित्वे वयोरेव प्राप्यप्राप्त्तया प्राप्यस्य च तत्प्राप्तिसाधनरथत्वेन रूपिते शरीरेऽवस्थानमयुक्तम् । न हि रथेन पाप्तव्योऽर्थः रथस्थो भवतीति शङ्का प्राप्यस्य परमात्मनः तत्रावस्थितत्वेपि जीवस्य 'पराभिध्यानात्त न कार्या। तिरोहित ' मित्युक्तरीत्या परमात्मसङ्गरुपम् छकर्मरूपाविद्यावेष्टिततया तद्नुभवरुक्षण-तत्प्राप्तेरभावेन प्राप्तृप्राप्ययोर्जीवपरयो: रथत्वरूपितशरीरान्तर्वत्येकगुहावर्तित्वकथने नानुपपत्तिरिति । पञ्चाप्रयो ये च तिणाचिकेताः—पञ्चामिशुश्रूषापरिशुद्धान्तः-करणाः । त्रिणाचिकेताः - उक्तोऽर्थः । एवंभूता ब्रह्मविदो वदन्तीत्यर्थः । केव्रलपञ्चाभित्रिणा चिकेतानामी दशपरमात्मप्रतिपादनासामर्थ्यात् ब्रह्मविदामेव पञ्चाभि-त्विलणाचिकेतत्वे विशेषणे । अस्य मन्त्रस्य जीवपरमात्मपरत्वं सूत्रितं- 'गृहां प्रविष्टावात्माना ' विति । ननु कर्मफल्लभोगशून्ये परमात्मनि ' ऋतं पिबन्ता 'विति निर्दिष्टकर्मभोकृत्वासंभवात् , सुकृतसाध्यखोकवर्तित्वगुहावच्छिन्नत्वयोस्सर्वगते परिसान् ब्रह्मण्यसंभवात् , छायातपनिदिंष्टाप्रकाशत्वपकाशत्वयोरपि जीवपरमात्मपरत्वे असंभवात् , बुद्धिजीवपरत्वे तु तस्य सर्वस्याप्युपपत्तेः, कर्मफल्लभोगकरणे कर्तृत्वोपचारेण पिबन्ता-विति निर्देशस्याप्युपपत्तेः बुद्धिजीवपरत्वमेवास्य मन्त्रस्य युज्यत इति चेत्—एवमेवहि 'गुहां प्रविष्टा ' विति सूत्रे आशङ्कय संख्याश्रवणे सत्येकस्मिन् संप्रतिपन्ने द्विती-याकांक्षायां प्रतिपन्नजातिमुपजीव्य व्यक्तिनिशेषपरिग्रहे बुद्धिलाघवाद्विजातीयपरिग्रहे जातिन्यक्तिबुद्धिद्वयापेक्षया गौरवात् संप्रतिपन्नजातीयपरिग्रहो युक्तः । स्रोके 'प्यस्य गोर्द्वितीयोऽन्वेष्टव्यः ' इत्यादौ तथा दर्शनात् । तथाच ऋतपानलिङ्गावगतस्य जीवस्य द्वितीयः चेतनत्वेन तत्सजातीयः परमारमैव प्राह्यः । परमात्मनः प्रयोजककर्तृतया पिबन्ताविति निर्देशस्यापि संभवात्, अन्तःकरणे स्वतन्त्रकर्तृत्व-प्रयोजककर्तृत्वयोरभावेन पिबन्ताविति निर्देशस्य सर्वथाप्यसंभवात् , सर्वगते ब्रह्मणि सुकृतसाध्यस्रोकवर्तित्व-स्यापि संभवात् , अस्मिन्नेच प्रकरणे ' गुहाहितं गह्नरेष्ठ 'मिति परमात्मनो गुहाप्रवेश-श्रवणेन गुहाप्रवेशस्याप्युपपतेः, छायातपशब्दाभ्यां किञ्चिद्ज्ञसर्वज्ञयोः प्रतिपादन-संभवात् जीवपरमात्मपर एवायं मन्त्र इति समर्थितत्वात् न त्वदुक्तराङ्कावकाशः । 'तयोरन्यः पिप्पछं स्वाद्वत्तीति सत्त्व' मिति पैङ्गिरहस्यत्राह्मणानुसारेण 'द्वा सुवर्णे 'ति मन्त्रस्य बुद्धिजीवपरत्वात् , 'इयदामननात्' इत्यधिकरणे ऋतं पिवन्ताविति मन्त्रस्य ं द्वां सुपंपे कि मन्तिकार्य्यस्य प्रतिपादितत्वास् अयमपि मन्त्रः बुद्धिकीचपर इसक्या-

रशङ्कायाः 'गुहां प्रविष्टावात्माना 'विति सूत्रकृतैव निराकृतत्वात् । गुहाप्रवेशस्य बुद्ध्युपाधिकतया स्वतःप्रवेशवत्या बुद्ध्या सह जीवस्य 'गुहां प्रविष्टा ' विति गुहाप्रवेशवर्णनं न सङ्गच्छते। उपष्टंभकाधीनगुरुत्वशालिनि सुवर्णे गुरु सुवर्णमिति व्यवहारसम्भवेऽप्युषष्ठम्भकसुवर्णे गुरुणी इति व्यवहारादर्शनात् । एव परपक्षे सूत्रानुसारेण अस्य मन्त्रस्य जीवपरमात्मपरतया अत योजनान्तरमप्यनुववन्नम् । 'अनेन जोवेनात्मनानुप्रविश्ये । ति श्रत्यनुसारेण परमात्मनो जीवभावेनानुप्रवेशेपि परमात्मरूपेणानुप्रवेशाभावात् जीवपरमात्मानौ गुहां प्रविष्टाविति निर्देशान्यपत्तेः । जीवभावेन ब्रह्मणस्संसारमभिपेत्य ब्रह्म संसरतीति व्यवहारसत्त्वेपि जीवब्रह्मणी संसरत इति व्यवहारासंभवात् । 'जीवेशावाभासेन करोति माया चाविद्या च स्वयमेव भवति, कार्योपाधिरयं जीवः कारणोपाधिरीश्वरः ' इति वचनानुसारेण प्रति(परि)गृहीते अविद्याया वा अन्तःकरणस्य वा जीवोपाधित्व-मिति पक्षद्वयेपि नाविद्यान्तःकरणयोः प्रतिबिम्बोपाधित्वं युज्यते । स्वच्छद्रच्यप्रतिहति-परावृत्तनायनरिमगृह्यमाणस्यैव प्रतिबिम्बशब्दार्थतया अचाक्षुषस्य चैतन्यस्य प्रति-विम्बत्वासंभवेन अविद्याप्रतिबिम्बोऽन्तःकरणप्रतिबिम्बो वा जीव इत्याश्रयणायोगात् । अतो ऽविद्याविच्छन्नः अन्तःकरणाविच्छन्नो वा जीव इति पक्षद्वयमेव परिशिष्यते । तल च हृदयगृहायां अविद्यान्तः करणाभ्यामविच्छिन्नत्वेन अनविच्छन्नपरमात्मनो गुहाप्रवेशवर्णन् श्रुतेर्वाऽन्तर्यामित्राह्मणस्य वा नाञ्जस्यमित्यलमतिचर्चया। प्रकृत-मनुसरामः ॥ १ ॥

#### यस्सेतुरीजानानामक्षरं ब्रह्म यत्परम् । अभयं तितीर्षतां पारं नाचिकेतः शकेमहि ॥ २ ॥

यस्सेतुरिति । यः सेतुः—आधारमृतः कर्मफळपद इत्यर्थः । ईजानानामिति कानजन्तः शब्दः । अक्षरं ब्रह्म यत्परं—यिविविकारं परं ब्रह्म । अभयं तितीर्षतां पारं—संसारसागरं तितीर्षतां निर्भयं दृढं तीरं । नाचिकेतं शकेमिहि—नाचिकेतािमप्राप्यमुपासितुं शक्ताः सम इत्यर्थः । शकेर्व्यत्ययेन शप् । नाचिकेतं शकेमहीत्यस्य मन्त्रखण्डस्य तथैव भाष्यकृता व्याख्यातत्वात् । अतः दुरुपास्यत्वबुद्ध्या न भेतव्यमिति भावः ॥ २ ॥

आत्मान रथिनं विद्धि शरीरं रथमेव तु । बुद्धिं तु सारथिं विद्धि मनः प्रग्रहमेव च ॥ ३ ॥

'आत्मानं रिथनं विद्धी 'त्यादिना 'सोध्वनः पारमामोती 'त्यन्तेन संसाराध्वपार-भूतवैष्णवपरमपदप्राप्तौ परिकरमुपदिशन् प्राप्तृस्वरूपमुपदिशति—आत्मानं रिथनं विद्धीति । शरोराधिष्ठातारं रिथनं विद्धि । शरीरमेव च रथं विद्धीत्यर्थः । बुद्धि-शब्दिताध्यवसायाधीनत्वाद्देहप्रवृत्तेः तस्यास्सारिथत्विमिति भावः । प्रग्रहः—रशना ॥

> इन्द्रियाणि हयानाहुर्विषया ४ स्तेषु गोचरान् । आत्मेन्द्रिय-मनोयुक्तं भोक्तेत्याहुर्मनीषिणः ॥ ४ ॥

इन्द्रियाणि हयानाहुः—स्पष्टोर्थः । विषयांस्तेषु गोचरान् — तेषु इन्द्रियेषु हयत्वेन रूपितेषु गोचरान् मार्गान् शब्दादिविषयान् विद्धीत्यर्थः । रथसारिथहय-प्रम्रहत्वेन रूपितानां शरीरेन्द्रियमनोबुद्धीनामभावे रिथत्वेन रूपितस्योदासीनस्यात्मनो गमनरूपस्रोकिकवैदिकिकियाकर्तृत्वमेव नास्तीत्येतत् सुप्रसिद्धत्वेन दर्शयति — आत्मेन्द्रियेति । आत्मशब्दो देहपरः । मनश्शब्दस्तत्कार्यबुद्धेरप्युवस्थकः । पूर्व-मन्त्रे बुद्धेरिप सारिथत्वेन निर्दिष्टत्वात् । भोक्ता कर्तृत्वभोकृत्ववानित्यर्थः । निर्ह केवस्रसारमनः कर्तृत्वं मोकृत्वं वाऽस्तीति भावः ॥ ४ ॥

यस्त्विवज्ञानवान्भवत्ययुक्तिन मनसा सदा । तस्येन्द्रि-याण्यवश्यिन दुष्टाश्चा इत्र सारथेः ॥ ५ ॥ यस्तु विज्ञानवान् भवति युक्तेन मनसा सदा । तस्येन्द्रि-

याणि वक्यानि सदश्वा इव सारथेः।। ६ ॥

शरीरादेः रथत्वादिरूपणस्य प्रयोजनमाह—यस्त्विद्यानवानित्यादिना मन्त्र-द्वयेन । लोके हि समीचीनसारथिप्रग्रहवतः अश्वा वशीकृता भवन्ति । एवं सारथि-प्रग्रहत्वेन रूपितयोविद्यानमनसोस्सामीचीन्ये अश्वत्वेन रूपितानि इन्द्रियाणि वश्यानि भवन्ति । नान्यथेत्यर्थः ॥ ५+६ ॥

यस्त्वविज्ञानवान्भवत्यमनस्कस्सद्ाऽशुचिः । न स तत्पद-मामोति सःसारं चाघिगच्छति ॥ ७ ॥

# यस्तु विज्ञानवान्भवति समनस्कस्सदा श्चिः। स तु तत्पद्-मामोति यसाद्भ्यो न जायते॥ ८॥

हयत्वेन रूपितानामिन्द्रियाणां वशीकरणतद्भावयोः प्रयोजनं दर्शयित मन्त्रद्वयेन— यस्त्विज्ञानवानित्यादिना । अमनस्कः— अनिगृहीतमनाः । अत एवाशुचिः सर्वदा विपरीतचिन्तापवणत्वादित्यर्थः । न केवलं जिगमिषितप्राप्तग्रमावमात्रं, प्रत्युत गहनं संसारकान्तारमेव प्राप्यतीत्यर्थः ॥ ७+८॥

> विज्ञानसारथिर्यस्तु मनःप्रग्रहवान्तरः । सोध्वनः पारमामोति तद्विष्णोः परमं पदम् ॥ ९ ॥

किं तत्पदमित्याकांक्षायां तत्पदं दर्शयन्नुपसंहरति— विज्ञानसारथिरिति । समीचीनविज्ञानमनद्दशाली संसाराध्वपारभूतं परमात्मस्वरूपं प्रामोतीत्यर्थः ॥ ९॥

> इन्द्रियेभ्यः परा ह्यर्था अर्थेभ्यश्च परं मनः । मनसश्च परा बुर्द्धिबुद्धरात्मा महान्परः ॥ १०॥

> महतः परमव्यक्तमव्यक्तात्पुरुषः परः। पुरुषान्न परं किञ्चि-त्सा काष्टा सा परा गतिः ॥ ११ ॥

वशीकार्यत्वाय रथादिरूपितेषु शरीरादिषु यानि येभ्यो वशीकार्यतायां प्रधानानि तान्युच्यन्ते—इन्द्रियेभ्यः परा इत्यादिमन्त्रद्वयेन । अस्य मन्त्रद्वयस्यार्थो भगवता भाष्यकृता आनुमानिकाधिकरणे उक्तः । इत्थं हि तल भाष्यम्—'तेषु रथादिरूपित-शरीरादिषु यानि येभ्यो वशीकार्यतायां प्रधानानि तान्युच्यन्ते इन्द्रियेभ्यः परा इत्यादिना । तत्र हयत्वेन रूपितेभ्य इन्द्रियेभ्यो गोचरत्वेन रूपिता विषया वशीकार्यत्वे पराः । वश्येन्द्रियस्यापि विषयसिक्षधाविन्द्रियाणां दुर्निग्रहत्वात् । तंभ्योपि परं प्रग्रहरूपितं मनः । मनिस विषयप्रवणे विषयासिक्षधानस्याप्यिकंचित्करत्वात् । तस्मादिष सार्श्यत्वरूपिता बुद्धिः परा । अध्यवसायाभावे मनसोप्यिकंचित्करत्वात् । तस्मादिष सार्श्यत्वरूपिता बुद्धः परा । अध्यवसायाभावे मनसोप्यिकंचित्करत्वात् । तस्या अपि रिथत्वेन रूपित आत्मा कर्तृत्वेन प्राधान्यात्परः । सर्वस्यात्मेच्छायत्त्वात् आत्मेव महानिति विशेष्यते । तस्मादिष रथरूपितं शरीरं परम् । तदायत्वान ज्जीवस्य सक्रलपुरुषार्थप्रवृत्तीनाम् । तस्मादिष परः सर्वान्तरात्मभूतोऽन्तर्यामी

अध्वनः पारभूतः परमपुरुषः । यथोक्तस्यात्मपर्यन्तस्य तत्संकरुपायत्तप्रवृत्तित्वात् । स खरुवन्तर्यामितया उपासनस्यापि निर्वर्तकः। 'परात्तु तच्छूते 'रिति हि जीवात्मनः कर्तृत्वं परमपुरुषायत्तमिति वक्ष्यते । वशीकार्योपासननिर्वृत्त्युपायकाष्ठाभृतः परमप्राप्यश्च स एव । तदिदमुच्यते 'पुरुषात्र परं किंचित्सा काष्ठा सा परा गति 'रिति । तथा अन्तर्यामित्राह्मणे 'य आत्मानि तिष्ठ 'क्तित्यादिभिः सर्वं साक्षात्कुर्वन् सर्वं नियम्यतित्युक्त्वा 'नान्योऽतोस्ति द्रष्टे 'ति नियन्तन्तरं निषिध्यते । भगवद्गीतासु च 'अधिष्ठानं तथा कर्ता करणं च पृथिवधम् । विविधा च पृथक्चेष्टा दैवं चैवाल पद्ममे 'मिति । देवमल पुरुषोत्तम एव । 'सर्वस्य चाहं हृदि सन्निविधो मत्तःस्मृतिज्ञानिमपोहनं चे 'ति वचनात् । तस्य च वशीकरणं तच्छरणागतिरेव । यथाह 'ईश्वरस्सर्वभृतानां हृद्देशोऽर्जुन तिष्ठति । भामयन् सर्वभृतानि यन्तारूढानि मायया ।। तमव शरणं गच्छे 'ति । तदेवं 'आत्मानं रिथनं विद्धी 'त्यादिना रथ्यादिरूपकविन्यस्ता इन्द्रियादयः इन्द्रियेभ्यः परा ह्यर्था इत्यत्न स्वशब्देरेव प्रत्यभिज्ञायन्ते, न स्थरूपितं शरीरमिति परिशेषात्तद्वयक्तशब्देनोच्यते 'इति ॥ १०+११॥

#### एष सर्वेषु भूतेषु गृढोऽऽत्मा न प्रकाशते । दश्यते त्वग्रयया बुद्धचा सक्ष्मया सक्ष्मदर्शिभिः ॥ १२ ॥

एष सर्वेषु भृतेष्विति । सर्वेषु भृतेषु आत्मतया वर्तमानोसौ गुणत्नयमाया-तिरोहितत्वेन अजितबाह्यान्तःकरणानां न यथावत्प्रकाशते । अश्रयया— ऐकाप्र्य-युक्तया बाह्याभ्यन्तरव्यापाररहितया सूक्ष्मया— सूक्ष्मार्थविवेचेनशक्तया सूक्ष्मदर्शन-शीलैर्हश्यत इत्यर्थः ॥ १२ ॥

## यच्छेद्राङ्मनसी प्राज्ञस्तद्यच्छेद् ज्ञान आत्मिन । ज्ञान-मात्मिन महति नियच्छेत्तद्यच्छेच्छान्त आत्मिन ॥ १३॥

बाह्याभ्यन्तरकरणव्यापारराहित्यप्रकारं 'अध्यात्मयोगाधिगमे ' नेति निर्दिष्टजीव-स्वरूपज्ञानप्रकारञ्च दर्शयति—यच्छेद्वाङ्मनसीत्यादिना । इम मन्त्रं प्रस्तुत्येत्थं हि(१) भाष्यकृता ' हयादिरूपितानामिन्द्रियादीमां वशीकरणप्रकारोयमुच्यते । यच्छेद्वाङ्-र्मनसी—वाचं मनसि नियच्छेत् । वाक्पूर्वृकाणि कमेन्द्रियाणि ज्ञानेद्रियाणि च मनसि नियच्छेदित्यर्थः । वाक्छब्दे द्वितीयायाः 'सुपां सुलुगि' त्यादिना लुक् । मनसी इति सप्तम्याञ्छान्दसो दीर्घः । तद्यच्छेत् ज्ञान आत्मानि—तत् मनः बुद्धौ नियच्छेत् । ज्ञानशब्देनात पूर्वोक्ता बुद्धिरभिषीयते । ज्ञान आत्मनीति व्यधिकरणे सप्तम्यौ । आत्मनि वर्तमाने ज्ञाने नियच्छेदित्यर्थः । ज्ञानमात्मनि महति नियच्छेत-बुद्धिं कर्तरि महति आत्मिन नियच्छेत् । तद्यच्छेंच्छान्त आत्मिन-तं कर्तारं परस्मिन् ब्रह्मणि सर्वान्तर्यामिणि नियच्छेत् । व्यत्ययेन तदिति नपुंसक-लिज्ञता । एवंभूतेन रथिना वैष्णवं पदं गन्तव्यमित्यर्थः ' इति भाषितम् । विवृतञ्च श्रुतप्रकाशिकायाम् — 'वाचो मनसि नियमनं मनोननुगुणप्रवृत्तिवैमुख्यापादनम् । मनसः बुद्धौ नियमनं न्यवसायानुगुणप्रवृत्तितापादनम् । बुद्धिश्चार्थेषु हेयत्वाध्यव-सायरूपा । तस्याः बुद्धेरात्मनि नियमनं नाम स एवोपादेयतया साक्षात्कार्य इत्ये-तद्थीवषयत्वापादनम् । शान्ते स्वत ऊर्मिषट्कप्रतिभटे । शान्त आत्मनि महत आत्मनो जीवस्य नियमनं नाम तच्छेषताप्रतिपत्ति 'रिति । 'आत्मराब्दस्य पुष्टिङ्गत्वात पुल्लिङ्गतच्छब्देन दिदेष्टव्ये छान्दसत्वालिङ्गव्यत्ययः इति । ननु भाष्ये ज्ञान आत्मनीति व्यिषकरणे सप्तम्यौ, आत्मिन वर्तमाने ज्ञाने नियच्छेदित्यर्थः ' इत्युक्तिर्युक्ताः अव्यावर्तकत्वादात्मनीति विशेषणस्य । आत्मन्यवर्तमानज्ञानस्यैवाभावात् । न च ' तद्यच्छेत् ज्ञान 'इत्येतावत्युक्ते आत्मस्वरूपज्ञानभ्रान्तिस्त्यात् । अतः ज्ञान आत्मनी-त्युक्तमिति वक्तुं शक्यम् । तथा सति तस्या एव भ्रान्तेः सामानाधिकरण्ययोजनया दृढीकरणप्रसङ्गात् । नह्यात्मनीत्यनेन आत्मश्रान्तिर्व्युद्मयते । न च आत्मनि वर्तमान इति भाष्यस्य आत्मनि विषयविषयिभावरुक्षणसम्बन्धेन वर्तमान इत्यर्थः । विषयज्ञान इति यावत् । अतो व्यावर्तकतया न वैयर्थ्यदोष इति वाच्यम् । तथासित ज्ञानमात्मिन महति नियच्छे 'दित्यस्य वैयर्थ्यापातात् । तदर्थस्य अनेनैव सिद्धे रिति चेत्---उच्यते । अयमभिप्रायो भाष्यकारस्य---तद्यच्छेत् ज्ञान आत्मनीत्यत्न आत्मनीति विषयसप्तमी । तचात्मविषयकज्ञानं, 'आत्मा उपादेयः तदितिरिक्ता अर्था हेया ' इत्येवंरूपम् । तचार्थेषु हेयताध्यवसायरूपा बुद्धिरिति श्रुतप्रकाशिकायां व्यक्तम् । अस्य चात्मानात्मविषयकाहेयहेयताध्यवसायरूपस्य ज्ञानस्य महत्यात्मनि नियमनं नाम स एवोपादेयतया साक्षात्कार्य इत्येतदर्थविषयत्वापादनमिति तह्नैव श्रुतप्रकाशिकायामुक्तत्वात् वाकग्रद्धयसापि सभयोजनतया त्वदुक्तवैयर्थ्यशङ्कानवकाश इति ॥ १३ ॥

# उत्तिष्ठत जाग्रत प्राप्य वरान्निबोधत । क्षुरस्य धारा निश्चिता दुरत्यया दुर्ग पथस्तत्कवयो वदन्ति ॥ १४ ॥

एवं वशीकरणप्रकारमुपदिश्य अधिकारिपुरुषानिभमुखीकरोति — उत्तिष्ठत जाग्रतेति । आत्मज्ञानािभमुखा भवत । अज्ञानिनद्रायाः क्षमं कुरुत । वरान् — श्रेष्ठान् आचार्यानुपसंगम्यात्मतत्त्वं निबोधत । यद्वा उपासिताद्भगवतो वा ब्रह्मिवद्भचो वा 'देवतापारमार्थ्यं च यथावद्वेत्स्यते भवानि 'त्येवंरूपान् वरान् प्राप्य ज्ञेयमात्मतत्त्वं निबोधत । नोदासितव्यमिति भावः । क्षुरस्येति । ज्ञानिनः तत् आत्मतत्त्वं दुर्गमं पंथानं वर्णयन्ति । तत् कस्य हेतोः, यत आमतत्त्वं, क्षुरस्य — आयुधविशेष्ट्रान् पराप्य ज्ञेयम्त । तत् कस्य हेतोः, यत आमतत्त्वं, क्षुरस्य — आयुधविशेष्ट्रान् धारा — अप्रम्, निश्चिता — तीक्ष्णा, दुरत्यया — अनतिक्रमणीया । तीक्ष्णक्षुराप्रे सञ्चरतः पुंसो यथा कियत्यप्यनवधाने आत्मनाशो भवति, एविमहात्मस्वरूपान्वगितिदशायां स्वरूपेप्यनवधानापराधे आत्मनाशो भवतिति भावः ॥ १४ ॥

अशब्दमस्पर्शमरूपमव्ययं तथाऽरसं नित्यमगन्धवच यत् । अनाद्यनन्तं महतः परं ध्रुवं निचाय्य तं मृत्युमुखात्प्र-मुच्यते ॥ १५ ॥

उपसंहरित----अश्रब्दमस्पर्शिमिति । अत्र नित्यमित्येतत् अशब्दिमत्यादौ प्रत्येकमिसंबध्यते । अशब्दिवशादेव काळवद्वययम् । अवयवापचयशून्य-मित्यर्थः । महत इत्यनेन 'आत्मिन महित नियच्छे 'दिति पूर्वमन्त्रनिर्दिष्टो जीवो गृह्यते । ध्रुवं-स्थिरम् । निचाय्य--- दृष्ट्या दर्शनसमानाकारोपासनेन विषयीकृत्ये-त्यर्थः । मृत्युगुखादिति । भीषणात्संसारादित्यर्थः ।। १५ ।।

## नाचिकेतग्रुपाख्यानं मृत्युप्रोक्तः सनातनम् । उक्त्वा श्रुत्वा च मेधावी ब्रह्मलोके महीयते ॥ १६॥

उपसंहरति नाचिकेतमिति । नचिकेतसा प्राप्तं नाचिकेतम् । मृत्युप्रोक्तं – मृत्योः प्रवक्तृत्वमेव, न स्वतन्त्रवक्तृत्वम् । अतस्सनातनम् । अपौरुषेयत्वात् प्रवाहरूपेण नित्यमित्यर्थः ॥ १६ ॥

य इदं परमं गुह्यं श्रावयेत् ब्रह्मसंसिद् । प्रयतःश्राद्धकाले वा तदानन्त्याय कल्पते ॥ तदानन्त्याय कल्पत इति ॥१७॥

> ।। इति तृतीया वही ॥ ॥ इति प्रथमोध्यायः॥

य इदमिति । ब्रह्मसंसदि — ब्राह्मणसमाजे ॥ १७ ॥

॥ इति तृतीयवङ्घीभाष्यं समाप्तम् ॥ ॥ इति कठोपनिषदि प्रथमाध्यायभाष्यम् ॥

।। अथ द्वितीयोध्यायः चतुर्थवही ।।

हरिः ओं ।। पराश्चि खानि व्यतृणत्स्वयंभूस्तसात्पराङ्पश्यन्ति-नान्तरात्मन् । कश्चिद्धीरः प्रत्यगात्मानमेश्वदाष्ट्रत्त-चश्चरमृतत्विमच्छन् ।। १ ।।

'उत्तिष्ठत जायते ' ति प्रोत्साहनेपि आत्मस्वरूपविमुखान् पश्यन् शोचित—पराश्चीति । खानि इन्द्रियाणि । पराश्चि—परानञ्चतीति पराञ्चि, परप्रकाशकानि, न त्वात्मप्रकाशकानि । तत्र हेतुं वदन् शोचित—व्यतृणतस्वयंभूः—स्वतन्त्र ईश्वरः इमानि स्वानि हिंसितवान् । 'तृह् हिंसाया मिति धातुः । यद्वा—धातृनामनेकार्थत्वात् परार्थप्रकाशकानि इन्द्रियाणि सृष्टवानित्यर्थः । तस्मात्पराङ्पश्चनित नान्तरात्मन्—पराङ्-पराच इति यावत् । पराश्रूपानात्मभूतान् पश्यन्ति उपल्पनते अन्तरात्मानं नेत्यर्थः । यद्वा—पराङ्मुखानि भूत्वा विषयानेव पश्यन्तीत्यर्थः । पराङ् पश्यतीति पाठे लोकामिप्रायमेकवचनम् । ईहरोऽपि लोकस्वभावे नद्याः पतिस्रोतः पृतृत्वत्त इव कश्चित् पुरुषधौरेयः प्रत्यगात्मप्रवणोप्यस्तोत्याह—कश्चिद्वीर इति । प्रत्यञ्चमात्मानं पश्यतीत्यर्थः । छान्दसं परस्मैपदम् । अत एव वर्तमानार्थे लङ्कपपत्तिश्च । चश्चश्चश्चाद्वद इन्द्रियमात्वपरः । स्वस्वविषयव्यावृत्तेन्द्रियो मुमुश्च-रिकाः ॥ १ ॥

पराचः कामाननुयन्ति बालास्ते मृत्योर्यन्ति विततस्य पाशम् । अथ धीरा अमृतत्वं विदित्वा ध्रुवमध्रुवेष्विह न प्रार्थयन्ते ॥ २ ॥

पराच इति चालाः अरुपप्रज्ञाः, बाह्यान् काम्यमानान्विषयानेवावगच्छन्ति । ते विस्तीर्णस्य संसारस्य बन्धनं यान्तीत्यर्थः । यद्वा विततस्य सर्वताप्रतिहताज्ञस्य मृत्योर्मम पाशं यान्तीत्यर्थः । अथ धीरा इति । अथशब्दः प्रकृतविषयार्थान्तर-परिग्रहे । धीमन्तः प्रत्यगात्मन्येव ध्रुवममृतत्वं विदित्वा इह संसारमण्डले अध्रुवेषु पदार्थेषु कमि न प्रार्थयन्ते । प्रत्यक्तत्त्वज्ञस्य सर्वं जिहासितव्यमिति भावः । परमात्मनस्सर्वजीवगताहन्तास्पद्वेन मुख्याहमर्थत्वात् प्रत्यक्तमस्तीति द्रष्टव्यम् ॥ २ ॥

## येन रूपं रसं गन्धं शब्दान् स्पर्शांश्र मैथुनान् । एतेनैव विजानाति किमत्र परिशिष्यते ॥ एतद्वै तत् ॥ ३ ॥

येनेति । मैथुनान् मिथुननिमित्तकसुखिवशेषानित्यर्थः । निद्दशेषं येन एतेनैव साधनेन जानातीत्यर्थः । 'तं देवा ज्योतिषां ज्योति 'रिति रूपादिप्रकाशकाना-मिन्द्रियाणां तदनुगृहीतानामेव कार्यारम्भकत्वादिति भावः । किमत परिशिष्यते— किं तदप्रकाश्यमिति भावः । एतद्वै तत्—पूर्वं प्राप्यतया निर्दिष्टं तत्परमं पदं एतद्वै— एतदेव एतन्मन्त्रप्रतिपाद्यात्मस्वरूपमेवेत्यर्थः ॥ ३ ॥

स्वमान्तं जागरितान्तश्चोभौ येनानुपश्यति । महान्तं विभु-मात्मानं मत्वा धीरो न शोचित ॥ ४ ॥

स्वमान्तमिति । सकलं स्वमप्रपञ्चं जाग्रत्पपञ्चं च मन आदीन्द्रियभावमापन्नेन येन परमात्मना लोकः पञ्चतीत्यर्थः । महान्तमित्यल तमिति शेषः । उक्तोऽर्थः ॥ ४॥

> य इदं मध्वदं वेद आत्मानं जीवमन्तिकात् । ईशानं भृत-भव्यस्य न ततो विजुगुप्सते ॥ एतद्वै तत् ॥ ५ ॥

य इदं मध्वद्मिति । इदमिति लिङ्गव्यत्ययक्ष्ठान्दसः । इमं मध्वदं— 'ऋतं पिबन्ता विति निर्दिष्टं कर्मफलभोक्तारं जीवात्मानं 'गुहां प्रविष्टा' वित्युक्त-रीत्या तस्यान्तिके काल्क्रयवर्तिचिदचिद्यिश्वरं च यो वेद तं दुष्क्रुतकारिणमपि न निन्देदित्यर्थः । ' गुप्तिजिकद्भग्रस्सन्नि ' त्यत्र जुगुप्साशब्दः निन्दार्थेक उक्तः । ` 'जुगुप्साविरामप्रमादार्थाना ' मिति पञ्चमी । एतद्वै तदिति पूर्ववत् ॥ ५ ॥

> यः पूर्वं तपसो जातमद्भवः पूर्वमजायत । गुहां प्रविश्य तिष्ठन्तं यो भूतेभिर्व्यपस्यत ॥ एतद्वै तत्॥ ६ ॥

#### यः पूर्वं तपसो जातमिति ।

" अप एव ससर्जादौ तासु वीर्यमपास्रजत् । तदण्डमभवद्धैमं सहस्रांशुसमप्रभम् ॥ तस्मिन् जज्ञे स्वयं ब्रह्मा सर्वेलोकपितामहः ॥"

इति स्मृत्युक्तरीत्या अद्भ्यः अपादानेभ्यः व्यष्टिसृष्टेः पूर्वं यः अजायत, तं, तपसः-सङ्गरूपमालादेव पूर्वं जातं 'यो देवानां प्रथमं पुरस्ताद्विश्वाधिको रुद्रो महर्षिः। हिरण्यगर्भं पश्यत जायमान 'मिति श्रुत्युक्तरीत्या प्रथमं जातं गुहां प्रविश्य तिष्ठन्तं— हृदयगुहां प्रविश्य वर्तमानं, भूतेभिः—भूतेः देहेन्द्रियान्तःकरणादिभिरुपेतं चतुर्मुखं अयं सक्रुजगत्म्रष्टा स्यादिति कटाक्षेणेक्षतेत्यर्थः। एतद्वै तत्—उक्तोर्थः॥

## या प्राणेन संभवत्यदितिर्देवतामयी। गुहां प्रविश्य तिष्ठन्ती या भूतेभिर्व्यजायत॥ एतद्वै तत्॥ ७॥

या प्राणेनेति। अयं च मन्तः 'गुहां प्रविष्टां विति स्त्रे भगवता भाष्य-कृता व्याख्यातः। इत्थं (ततः?) हि भाष्यकृता 'कर्मफलान्यतीति अदितिः जीव उच्यते। प्राणेन संभवित—पाणेन सह वर्तते। देवतामयी—इन्द्रियाधीनभोगा। गुहां प्रविश्य तिष्ठन्ती—हृदयपुण्डरीककुहरवर्तिनी। भृतेमिन्यं जायत—पृथिव्यादिभिभूतैः सहिता देवादिरूपेण विविधाजायते 'इति भाषितम्। एतद्वै तत्—तत् तदात्मकमित्यर्थः। अत्रैव प्रकरणे 'ब्रह्मजज्ञं देवमीड्यं विदित्वे 'त्यत्न देव-मित्यस्य परमात्मात्मकमिति व्याख्यातत्वात् 'क्षेत्रज्ञं चापि मां विद्धां 'ति एतदुपच्चंहण-गीतावचनेऽपि मां मदात्मकमिति भाष्यकृतैव व्याख्यातत्वात् अपृथक्सिद्धविशेषण-वाचिशव्दस्य विशेष्य इवापृथक्सिद्धविशेष्यवाचिशव्दस्यापि विशेषणे निरूद्धत्वात् तत्त्वात्मकमित्यर्थो युक्त इति द्रष्टव्यम्॥ ७॥

## अरण्योर्निहितो जातवेदा गर्भ इव सुभृतो गर्भिणीभिः। दिवेदिव ईडचो जागृवद्भिर्हिविष्मद्भिर्मनुष्येभिरियः॥एतद्वै तत्॥८॥

अरण्योर्निहितो जातवेदा इति । अरण्योः अधरोत्तरारण्योः स्थितोऽिसः गर्भिणीभिः पानभोजनादिना सुभृतो गर्भ इव निहित इति पूर्वेणान्वयः । दिवेदिवे— अहन्यहिन, जागृविद्धः— जागरणशिष्टैः अप्रमत्तैः, हिवष्मिद्धः— आज्यादि-हिवःप्रदानप्रवृत्तैः ऋत्विभिः स्तुत्यः, अिसः अप्रनेता अरण्योर्निहेत इति योजना । एतद्वै तत्—एतत् अभिस्वरूपं तत्—पूर्वोक्तब्रह्मात्मकमित्यर्थः ॥ ८ ॥

## यतश्रोदेति सूर्यो अस्तं यत्न च गच्छति । तं देवास्सर्वेऽ पिंतास्तदु नात्येति कश्चन ॥ एतद्वै तत् ॥ ९ ॥

यतश्चोदेतीति । यसात् ब्रह्मणस्सकाशात् सूर्य उदेति यत च ल्यमेति, तं देवास्सर्वे अपिताः—देवास्सर्वे तिसान्नात्मिन प्रतिष्ठिता इत्यर्थः । तदु नात्येति कश्चनः—इत्यवधारणे । तत् सर्वात्मंकं ब्रह्म कोऽपि नातिक्रमते(१) । छायावदन्तर्या-मिणो दुर्लंघत्वादिति भावः । एतद्वै तत्—उक्तोर्थः ॥ ९ ॥

## यदेवेह तदुम्रुत्न यदमुत्र तदिन्वह। मृत्योस्स मृत्युमामाति य इह नानेव पश्यति ॥ १०॥

ननु परमात्मनः सर्वात्मत्वं न संभवति । अहमित्यहन्ताश्रयत्वेनानुसन्धीयमानो ह्यात्मा । स चाहमिहैवास्मीति देशान्तरव्यावृत्ततयाऽनुसन्धीयते । तस्य सर्वदेश-काळवर्तिसर्वपदार्थात्मभूततं कथिमत्याशङ्कयाह—यदेवेह तद्मुत्र यद्मुत्र तद-विह—यदेव परमात्मतत्त्वं इह अत्र लोके अहमित्यनुसन्धीयमानतया आत्मभूतं, तदेव लोकान्तरस्थानामपि आत्मभूतमित्यर्थः । ततश्च आत्मभेदो नास्तीत्यर्थः । अयमभिप्रायः । किं परमात्मतत्त्वविदामहमिहैवेति प्रतीतिस्सर्वदेशकालवर्तिपदार्थात्मन्ववाधकतयोपन्यस्यते । उत तद्रहितानाम् । नाद्यः । तेषामहमिहैवेत्यादिप्रतीते-रेवाभावात् । प्रत्युत 'अहं मनुरभवं सूर्यश्चे 'ति सर्ववस्तुवर्तितयैवानुभवात् । निहृतीयः । अतत्त्वविदामहंप्रतीतेजीवमात्रविषयत्वेन तत्र देशान्तरत्यावृत्त्वप्रतीतेः तदानीमप्रतीतपरमात्मनि सर्वदेशवर्तिपदार्थात्मत्वविरोधित्वाभावादिति । मृत्योस्स

मृत्युमाभोति य इह नानेव पश्यति—इह परमात्मिन भेदिमिव यः पश्यित स तु संसारात्संसारं प्रामोतीत्यर्थः ॥ १०॥

मनसैवेदमाप्तव्यं नेह नानास्ति किश्वन । मृत्योस्स मृत्युं गच्छति य इह नानेव पश्यति ॥ ११ ॥

नन्वस्माकं सर्वात्मभूतं परमात्मतत्त्वं कुतो लभ्यत इत्यताह—मनसैवेदमाप्तव्य-मिति । इदं आत्मस्वरूपं विशुद्धमनोग्राह्यमित्यर्थः । उक्तमेवार्थं दृढीकरणायाभ्यस्यति —नेह नानास्तीत्यादि । स्पष्टोर्थः ॥ ११ ॥

> अङ्गुष्ठमात्रः पुरुषो मध्य आत्मिन तिष्ठति । ईशानो भृतभव्यस्य न ततो विज्रगुप्सते ॥ एतद्वै तत् ॥ १२ ॥

अङ्गुष्ठमात्र इति । ईशानो भृतभव्यस्य—काळत्रयवर्तिनिखिळचेतनाचेत-नेश्वरः पुरुषः, मध्य आत्मनि—उपासकशरीरमध्ये, अङ्गुष्ठपरिमाणस्सन्नास्ते । भोग्यतया पद्यतीत्यर्थः । 'ननु प्राणाधिपस्संचरति स्वकर्मभिरङ्गुष्ठमात्रो रवितुल्यरूपः' ' अङ्गुष्ठमात्रं पुरुषं निश्चकषं यमो बळात् ' इत्यादिश्रुतिस्मृतिषु अङ्गुष्ठमात्रत्वेन प्रति-पादितस्य जीवस्यैवास्मिनमन्त्रे प्रतिपादनं किं न स्थात् । न च न तस्य भूतभव्येशा-नत्वादीति वाच्यम् । प्रथमश्रुतजीविलङ्कानुरोधेन चरमश्रुतभूतभव्येशानत्वस्य आपेक्षि-कतया योजयितुं शक्यस्वादिति चेन्न । 'शब्दादेव प्रमितः' इत्यधिकरणे एवमेव पूर्वपक्षं कृत्वा ' हृदयावच्छेदनिबन्धनाङ्गुष्ठपरिमाणस्य परमात्मन्यपि संभवात् 'अङ्गुष्ठमात्रः पुरुषोऽङ्गुष्ठं च समाश्रितः ' इति तैत्तिरीयके 'अङ्गुष्ठमात्रः पुरुषोन्तरात्मा सदा जनानां हृदये सिन्निविष्टः इति श्वेताश्वतरे चाङ्गुष्ठमालत्वस्य परमात्मन्यपि श्रवणात् असङ्कृचितभूतभव्येशितृत्वस्य अनन्यथासिद्धब्रह्मलिङ्गत्वाद्यं मन्तः परमात्म-पर एवे 'ति सिद्धान्तितत्वात् । यत्त्वत्र कैश्चिदुच्यते — अङ्गष्टमात्रत्वं जीविलङ्गमेव। अथापि 'अङ्गुष्ठमात्रः पुरुषो मध्य आत्मिन तिष्ठती 'ति पूर्वा धेन जीवमनूद्य ईशानो भूतभव्यस्येत्यनेन परमात्मभावो विधीयत—इति । तदसमञ्जसम् । तथाहि सति परमात्मन्यङ्ग्रष्टमात्रत्वसंभावनाप्रदर्शकस्य ' हृद्यपेक्षया तु मनुष्याधिकारत्वा ' दिति सूत्रस्यासङ्गतिप्रसङ्गात् । ननु नास्मिन्मन्त्रे जीवानुवादेन ब्रह्मभावो विधीयते,

आराग्रमालतया प्रतिपन्नस्य जीवस्य अङ्गुष्ठमालत्वे प्रमाणाभावादिति तटस्थशङ्कापिहारार्थं जीवस्याङ्गुष्ठमालत्वसाधनाय प्रवृत्तमिदं सूलमिति चेत्—तथाश्रयणस्य
क्षिष्ठस्वात् । ननु 'ईश्वररुवि ईशान ' इति निघण्टुपाठेन ईशानशब्दस्य देवताविशेषे रूढत्वात्, 'शब्दादेव प्रमित' इति सूत्रे 'ईशानो मृत्तमव्यस्ये'ति शब्दादेव ।
न च मृत्तमव्यस्य सर्वस्येशितृत्वं कर्मवश्यस्य जीवस्योपपद्यत्, इति भाष्यं व्याकुर्विद्धव्यासार्थैः 'ईशानशब्दस्येव शब्दशब्देन विवक्षितत्वात्' 'नाल लिङ्गान्निण्यः । किन्त्वीश्वरवाचिशब्दादेवेत्येवकाराभिप्राय ' इति व्याख्यातत्वात् ईशानशब्दस्य श्रुतित्वाभ्युपगमात् तयेव चेशानशब्दश्रुत्या जीवव्यावृत्तिवदेव नारायणस्यापि व्यावर्तितत्वेन रद्धपरत्वमेव स्यादिति चेन्न । योगरूदिमतः पदस्य सिन्नधाववयवार्थविशेषकपदान्तरसिन्नधाने रूढयनुन्मेषस्य 'पद्मानि यस्याप्रसरोरुहाणि प्रवोधयत्यूर्ध्वमुर्खेर्मयूर्वे '
रित्यादिषु दर्शनात् । तत्न हि सरोरुहपदावयवार्थसरोविशेषकात्रपदोपादानेन सरोरुहपद्रुदित्वम् । एतदस्वरसादेव व्यासार्थेरिप यथाश्रुतभाष्यानुगुण्येन यद्वेति पक्षान्तरस्य
आश्रितत्वादित्यल्यति चर्चया । प्रकृतमनुसरामः । एतद्वे तत् — उक्तोऽर्थः ॥ १२॥
आश्रितत्वादित्यल्यति चर्चया । प्रकृतमनुसरामः । एतद्वे तत् — उक्तोऽर्थः ॥ १२॥

अष्ठङ्गुष्ठमातः पुरुषो ज्योतिरिवाधूमकः । ईशानो भूत-भव्यस्य स एवाद्य स उ धः ॥ एतद्वै तत् ॥ १३ ॥

अङ्गुष्टमातः पुरुषो ज्योतिरिवाधूमक इति । शुष्केन्धनानस्वत् प्रकाशमान इत्यर्थः । स एवाद्य स उ श्वः—अद्यतनपदार्थजातं श्वस्तनपदार्थजातं कास्त्रय-वर्तिपदार्थजातमपि तदात्मकमित्यर्थः । एतद्वै तत्—पूर्ववत् ॥ १३ ॥

# यथोदकं दुर्गे चृष्टं पर्वतेषु विधावति । एवं धर्मान्पृथकपत्रयं-स्तानेवानुविधावति ॥ १४ ॥

यथोदक्रमिति । पर्वतम् धिं वृष्टं प्रत्यन्तपर्वतेषु नानाम् ततया पतित्वा पतित्वा धावित । एवं परमात्मगतदेवान्तर्यामित्वमनुष्यान्तर्यामित्वादिधर्मान् पृथगिकरण-निष्ठान् पश्यन् पर्वतिनिर्श्वरपातमनुकृत्य संसारकृहरे पततीत्यर्थः ॥ १४॥

## यथोदकं ग्रुद्धे ग्रुद्धमासिक्तं ताद्दगेव भवति । एवं मुने-विजानत आत्मा भवति गौतम ॥ १५ ॥ ॥ इति चतुर्थवही समाप्ता॥

सर्वत्रैकात्मत्वज्ञानस्य फलमाह—यथोदकिमिति । यथा शुद्धजले शुद्धजले योजितं तत्सदृशमेव भवति न कथिञ्चद्वि विसदृशं एवं—इत्थं विजानतः मननशिलस्य आत्मापि प्रभात्मज्ञानेत विशुद्धस्सन् विशुद्धेन प्रमात्मना समाना भवतीत्यर्थः । गौतमेति प्राप्यवभवं सूचयम् सहर्षं संवोधयति ॥ १५ ॥

॥ इति कठोपानेपादि चतुर्थवश्चीभाष्यम् ॥

#### ॥ अथ पञ्चमवही ॥

हरिः ओं ।। पुरमेकादशद्वारमजस्यावक्रचेतसः । अनुष्ठाय न शोचित विम्रुक्तश्च विमुच्यते ।। एतद्वै तत् ॥ १ ॥

पुरमिति । जननादिविक्रियारहितस्य ऋजुबुद्धेविकेन आत्मनः एकादशे-न्द्रियळक्षणबहिर्निर्गमद्वारोपेतं शरीराख्यं पुरं भवति । पुरस्वामिनः यथा पुरं विविक्तं भवति, तथा शरीरमपि स्वात्मनो विविच्य ज्ञातं भवति । अविवेकिनस्तु देह आत्मेव भवतीति भावः । अनुष्ठाय न शोचिति—विविच्य जानन् देहानु-बंधिमः दुःखैः कामादिभिश्च विमुक्तो भवतीत्यर्थः । विमुक्तश्च विमुच्यते— जीवद्शायामाध्यात्मिकादिदुःखरागद्वेषादिविमुक्त एव सन् 'भोगेन त्वितरे क्षपियत्वाथ सम्पद्यते ' इति न्यायेन प्रारब्धकर्मावसाने अर्चिरादिना विरज्ञां प्राप्य प्रकृतिसम्बन्ध-विमुक्तो भवतीत्यर्थः । एतद्वे तत्—एतन्मन्त्रप्रतिपाद्यमुक्तस्वरूपमपि परमात्मात्मक-मेवेत्यर्थः ॥ १ ॥

> हश्सक्छिचिषद्रसुरन्तरिक्षसद्धोता वेदिपदितिथिर्दुरोणसत्। नृषद्वरसद्दलसद्व्योमसद्ब्जा गोजा ऋतजा अद्रिजा ऋतं चृहत्।। २ ।।

पुनरप्यस्य सर्वात्मतामेव द्रव्यति—हँसश्युचिषदिति । हंसः सूर्यः युचौ व्राप्मतौं सीदित वर्तत इति युचिषत्—तेजस्वीति यावत् । वासयतीति वसुः वायुः अन्तरिक्षसत् अन्तरिक्षगतो वायुः । होता वेदिषत्—वेद्यन्तर्विद्यमान ऋति-िवशेषोऽमिर्वा । अतिथिर्दुरोणसत्—दुरोणं—गृहं, गृहागतोऽथितिः । नृषत्—गृष्वात्मतया वर्तमानं, वरसत्—वरेषु देवेषु च तथा वर्तमानं, ऋते सत्यलेके सीदतीति ऋतसत् । व्योभसत्—व्योम्नि परमपदे वर्तमानं च प्रत्यक्तत्त्वम् ; अब्जाः—जलजाः, गोजाः—म्जाः, ऋतजाः— यज्ञोत्पन्नाः कर्मफलम्ताश्य स्वर्गादय इति यावत् । यद्वा चिरकालस्थायितया ऋतशब्दिताकाशजा इत्यर्थः । अद्रिजाः—पर्वतजाः, एतत्सर्वं वृहत् ऋतम्—अपरिच्छिन्नसत्यरूपन्नह्यात्मक-मित्यर्थः ॥ २ ॥

#### ऊर्ध्वं प्राणमुन्नयत्यपानं प्रत्यगस्यति । मध्ये वामनः मासीनं विश्वे देवा उपासते ॥ ३॥

उद्धे प्राणिमिति । सर्वेषां हृदयगतः परमात्मा प्राणवायुम्ध्वेमुखमुन्नमयति । अपानवायुमधोमुखं क्षिपति । मध्ये हृदयपुण्डरीकमध्ये आसीनं वामनम्—वननीयं, भजनीयं, अथवा हृदयपुण्डरीकपरिमिततया ह्रस्वपरिमाणिमित्यर्थः । तं विद्वे देवाः—सत्त्वप्रकृतयः सर्वेपि उपासत इत्यर्थः ॥ ३ ॥

## अस्य विस्नंसमानस्य शरीरस्थस्य देहिनः। देहाद्विम्रुच्यमानस्य किमत्र परिशिष्यते॥ एतद्वै तत्॥ ४॥

एवं परमात्मानमुपासीनस्य 'तस्य तावदेव चिरं यावन्न विमोक्ष्ये' इति श्रुत्युक्त-रीत्या शरीरपात एवान्तरायः, न किंचित्कर्तव्यं परिशिष्यत इत्याह—अस्य विस्नं-समानस्येति । अस्य उपासकस्य देहिनः, शरीरस्थस्य शरीरप्रतिष्ठितस्य दृदशरी-रस्येति यावत् । एवं भृतस्य वा, विस्नंसमानस्य—शिथिलीभवद्गात्रस्य वा देहाद्विमुच्यमानस्य—कृतकृत्यत्वात् कर्तव्यं किमपि नावशिष्यत इति भावः । एतद्वे तत्—पूर्ववत् ॥ ४ ॥

> न प्राणेन नापानेन मर्त्यो जीवति कश्चन । इतरेण त जीवन्ति यस्मिन्नेतावुपाश्चितौ ॥ ५ ॥

तस्य सर्वप्राणिप्राणनहेतुत्वरूपं महिमानमाह—न—प्राणेनेति । केनेतरेण जीवन्तीत्यत्नाह—यसिन्नेतावुपाश्रितौ । यद्धीनं प्राणापानयोरिप जीवनं तद्धीनमेव सर्वेषां जीवनमिति भावः । स्पष्टमन्यत् ॥ ५ ॥

#### हन्त त इदं प्रवक्ष्यामि गुह्यं ब्रह्म सनातनम् । यथा च मरणं प्राप्य आत्मा भवति गौतम ॥ ६ ॥

हन्त त इद्मिति । गुह्यं — अतिरहस्यं सनातनं ब्रह्म ते पुनरिप प्रवक्ष्यामि । हन्तेति स्वगतम् आश्चर्ये । हे गौतम आत्मा मरणं मोक्षं प्राप्य यथा यत्प्रकार- विशिष्टो भवति तथा पुनरिप मुमुक्षवे रागाद्यनुपहताय उपदेशयोग्याय तुभ्यं वक्ष्यामीत्यर्थः ॥ ६ ॥

#### योनिमन्ये प्रपद्यन्ते शरीरत्वाय देहिनः । स्थाणुमन्येऽनुसंयन्ति यथाकर्म यथाश्रुतम् ॥ ७ ॥

अघिकारिविशेषिनिर्देशपरेण "हन्त त" इत्यनेन सूचितमर्थं विवृणोति — योनिमिति । अन्ये परमात्मतत्त्वश्रवणविमुखाः त्वद्विसदृशाः शरीरपरिम्रहाय ब्राह्मणादियोनिं प्रपद्यन्ते । अन्ये स्थावरभावमनुगच्छन्ति । स्वानुष्ठित्यज्ञादिकर्मो-पासनागतिक्रमेण "रमणीयचरणाः" "तं विद्याकर्मणी समन्वारभेते" इत्यादि-श्रुत्यनुरोधादिति भावः ॥ ७॥

य एष सुप्तेषु जागित कामंकामं पुरुषो निर्भिमाणः ।
 तदेव शुक्रं तद्वस तदेवामृतमुच्यते ॥
 तस्मिन् लोकाः श्रितास्सर्वे तदु नात्येति कश्चन ।
 एतद्वै तत् ॥ ८ ॥

एवं शिष्यं प्ररोचनयाभिमुखीकृत्य प्रकृतमनुसरित—य एष सुप्तेषु जागतीति। सर्वेषु सुप्तेषु जीवेषु कामंकामं णमुळन्तिमदम् । सङ्कल्प्य सङ्कल्प्येत्यर्थः । न तु "सर्वान् कामान् छन्दतः प्रार्थयस्वे"ति प्रकृताः पुलादयः कामशब्देन निर्दिश्यन्ते । अयं चार्थः सन्ध्याधिकरणभाष्यश्रुतप्रकाशिकयोः स्पष्टः । सङ्कल्प्य स्वच्छन्दानुरोधेन निर्मिमाणः पुरुषो योऽस्ति तदेव शुक्रं—प्रकाशकं तदेवानन्याधीन-

एकोवशीति । एकः समाभ्यधिकरहितः । वशः इच्छा सोऽस्यास्तीति वशी । जगद्धशे वर्तत ' इत्युक्तरीत्या वशवर्तिपपञ्चक इति वाऽर्थः । एकं बीजं 'तमःपरे देव एकीभवती ' ति श्रुत्युक्तरीत्या स्वेन एकीभृताविभागावस्थं तमोलक्षणं बीजं महदादिबहुविधपपञ्चरूपेण यः करोति तं य आत्मिनि तिष्ठन्नित्युक्तरीत्या स्वान्तर्या-मिणं ये पश्यन्ति तेषामेव मुक्तिरित्यर्थः ॥ १२ ॥

नित्यो नित्यानां चेतनश्चेतनानामेको बहूनां यो विद्धाति कामान् । तमात्मस्थं येऽनु पश्यन्ति धीरास्तेषां शान्तिः शाश्वती नेतरेपाम् ॥ १३ ॥

नित्यो नित्यानामिति । नित्यश्चेतन एक एव सन् बहूनां नित्यानां चेतना-नामपेक्षितार्थान् अनायासेन प्रयच्छति । शिष्टं स्पष्टम् ॥ १३ ॥

> तदेतिदिति मन्यन्ते निर्देश्यं परमं सुखम् । कथन्तु तद्विजा-नीयां किम्र भाति विभाति वा ॥ १४ ॥

एवमुक्तिक्शिष्य आह---तद्तिदितीति । तत्—अछौिककं परमात्मानमानन्द-रूपं ब्रह्म एतदिति—करत्नलामलकवत् अपरोक्षं भवाद्याः निष्पन्नयोगा मन्यन्ते । भवाद्याास्साक्षात्कर्तुं शक्नुवन्तीत्यर्थः । कथं रूपादिहीनं ब्रह्म ब्रह्मणासमर्थमानसोऽहं विज्ञानीयाम् । तत् किं दीप्तिमत्त्या आसते । तत्वापि विस्पष्टं प्रकाशते । उत्त तेजोन्तर-संवलनान्न विस्पष्टं प्रकाशते इति प्रश्नः ॥ १४ ॥

न तत्र स्र्यो भाति न चन्द्रतारकं नेमा विद्युतो भान्ति कुतोऽयमिः । तमेद भान्तमनुभाति सर्वं तस्य भासा सर्वमिदं विभाति ॥ १५ ॥

॥ इति पञ्चमवल्ली ॥

परमात्मनः योगयुगालम्बनाय 'आदित्यवर्णं तमसः परस्तात् ' 'सदैकरूपरूपाये ' ति प्रमाणप्रतिपन्नशुभाश्रयदिन्यमङ्गल्विग्रहोऽस्ति, तद्विशिष्टः परमात्मा विभाति सर्वोतिशायिदीप्तिमानित्याह— न तत्र सूर्यो भातीति । अयं च मन्त्रः 'ज्योतिर्दर्शना ' दिति सूत्रे 'सर्वतेजसां छादकं सर्वतेजसां कारणभूतमनुमाहकं च अङ्गुष्ठप्रमितस्य ज्योतिर्देश्यत 'इति भाष्येण विवृतः । इदं च भाष्यं-- 'न तल सूर्य इत्यादिमन्त्र पूर्वार्धस्यार्थमाह--- सर्वतेजसां छादकमिति । उत्तरार्धस्य पूर्वपादार्थ-माह—सर्वतेजसां कारणभूतिमति । अनुभानं पश्चद्धानम् । तेन कार्यकारणभावः सिद्धः । पौर्वापर्यनियमो हि कार्यकारणभाव इति भावः । चतुर्थपादार्थमाह---अनुम्राहकमिति । 'यस्यादित्यो भामुपयुज्य भाती ' त्यादिश्रतिश्चानुम्राहकत्वे प्रमाण-' मिति व्यासार्थैविंवृतम् । तदीयदीप्तिसाक्षात्कारसंभवे तेजोन्तराणामभिभूतत्वं प्रथमा-र्घार्थः । तेजोन्तरोत्पचौ तदुपादानद्रव्यानुमाहकत्वरूपं निमित्तत्वं तृतीयपादार्थः चाक्षुषरञ्ग्यनुमाहकचन्द्रातपादेरिव उत्पन्नस्यापि तेजसः स्वसंबंधेन स्वकार्यकरण-सामर्थ्याधायकत्वलक्षणानुमाहकत्वं चतुर्थपादार्थ इत्यप्यर्थस्तत्रेव द्रष्टव्यः । अधिष्ठान-ब्रह्मरूपभानव्यतिरिक्तभानशून्यत्वमध्यस्तपपञ्चस्य तृतीयपादार्थ इति यत्रौरुच्यते तद्युक्तम् । तथाहि सति भान्तमिति कर्त्रर्थशत्रप्रत्ययस्य 'शिष्यज्ञानं प्रकाशत ' इतिवद्भेदेपि कथञ्चित्संभवेपि अनुभातीत्यस्य शब्दस्यायोगात्। न हि देवद्त्त-गमनिक्रयाव्यतिरिक्तगमनिक्रयाशून्ये तिष्ठति यज्ञदत्ते, गच्छन्तं देवदत्तं यज्ञदत्तोऽन्-गच्छतीति प्रयोगो दृष्टचरः । ननु विह्नमेव दृहन्तमयोऽनुदृहतीति प्रयोगो दृष्टचर इति चेत्-न । अयसः पृथक् दुग्धृत्वाभावं निश्चितवतः तत्प्रतिपिपाद्यिषया तादश-प्रयोगस्य सम्प्रतिपन्नत्वाभावात् । ननु तदीयदीप्तिसाक्षात्कारसंभवे तेजोन्तराणामभि-भतत्वमिति भवद्भिमतार्थोपि न युज्यते, तदीयदीप्तिसाक्षात्कारवतामपि मुक्तानां तेजोन्तरसाक्षात्कारदर्शनेन सजातीयसंवळनाधीनाग्रहणळक्षणाभिभवस्याभावादिति चेदुच्यते । बद्धविषयमेवैतत् । बद्धानां तत्साक्षात्काराप्रसक्तेरिदं कथमिति चेन्न । बद्धानामेवार्जुनादीनां तत्साक्षात्कारदर्शनात् । यद्वा कालिदासकवौ परिगण्यमाने इतरः कुकविरकविरितिवत् भाति ब्रह्मणि परिगण्यमाने सूर्यादितेजोन्तरं न भाति । अतस्तदेव ब्रह्म अतिभास्वररूपशालीति पूर्वार्घार्थः । 'तदीयदीप्तिसाक्षात्कारसंभवे तेजोन्तराणामभिभूतत्व ' मिति व्यासार्यवचनस्याप्ययमेवार्थः । इममेवार्थं इतरतेजसां स्वरूपोत्पत्तौ फलजनने च परमात्मानुग्रहसापेक्षत्वप्रदर्शकेन तमेव भान्तमित्युत्त-राधेन द्रढयतीति न दोष इत्यवगन्तव्यम् । यद्वा पूर्वार्घस्य यथाश्रृत एवार्थः । नन्वतिभास्वररूपवति सूर्यादौ प्रत्यक्षेणानुभूयमाने न भातीति प्रत्यक्षविरुद्धं कथमभि- धीयत इत्यत्राह — तमेव भान्तमनुभातीति । इदं च परिहरयमानं भास्वररूपं न स्वाभाविकं, अपितु परमात्मदत्तं तदीयमेव तेजः । गीतं च भगवता 'यदादित्यगतं तेजो जगद्भासयतेऽिष्कुम् । यचन्द्रमिस यच्चामौ तत्तेजो विद्धि मामक 'मिति । विवृतं चैतद्भगवता भाष्यकृता— 'अखिळस्य जगतो भासकमेतेषामादित्यादीनां यत्तेजः तन्मदीयं तेजः । तैस्तैराराधितेन मया तेभ्यो दत्तमिति विद्धि इति । अतः अन्धकारे खद्योततुल्यानामेतेषां भास्वररूपशाळिनां न भातीति व्यपदेशो युज्यत इति भावः ॥ १५ ॥

॥ इति कठोपनिषादि पश्चमवङ्कीभाष्यम् ॥

# ।। अथ षष्ठत्रही ।।

हरिः ओं ।। ऊर्ध्वमूलो अवाक्शाख एषोश्वत्थः सनातनः । तदेव शुक्रं तद्ग्रह्म तदेवामृतग्रुच्यते ॥ तस्मिन् लोकाः श्रिताः सर्वे तदु नात्येति कश्रन । एतद्वै तत् ॥ १॥

उर्ध्वमूलो अवाक्शास्त्र एषोश्वत्थः सनातनः—अयं च मन्तरखण्डः 'ऊर्ध्वमूलमध्यशास्त्रमिति गीताव्यास्त्र्यानावसरे भगवता भाष्यकृता व्यास्त्र्यातः। इत्थं हि तत्र भाष्यम्। 'यं संसारास्त्र्यमध्य्यप्रध्वमूल्यमध्यशासमव्ययमध्यथं प्राहुः श्रुतयः ऊर्ध्वमूलो अवाक्शास्त्र एषोश्वत्थः सनातनः, 'ऊर्ध्वमूलमवाक्शास्त्रं वृक्षं यो वेद सम्प्रति ' इत्याद्याः। सप्तलोकोपरि निविष्टचतुर्भुखस्यादित्वेन तस्योध्वन्त्र्ल्यम्। पृथिवीनिवासिसकलनरपशुमृगिकिमिकीटपतङ्गस्थावरान्ततया अध्यशास्त्रत्वि। पृर्वमेव व्यास्यातोऽयं मन्तः।। १।।

यदिदं किंच जगत्सर्वं प्राण एजति निस्सृतम् । महद्भयं वज्रमुद्यतं य एतद्विदुरमृतास्ते भवन्ति ॥ २ ॥

य इदं किंच जगत्सर्वे प्राण एजति निस्सृतेम् महद्भयं वज्रमुद्यतं— अयं च मन्त्रखण्डः 'कम्पना'दिति सूत्रे भगवता भाष्यकृता व्याख्यातः । तत्रामुं मन्त्रं प्रस्तुत्य ' कृत्ख्वस्य जगतः अस्मिन्नङ्गुष्ठमात्रे पुरुषे प्राणशब्दनिर्दिष्टे स्थितानां सर्देषां ततो निरस्तानां तस्मात्संजातमहाभयनिमित्तमेजनं कम्पनं श्रूयते । तच्छास-नातिवृत्तौ किं भविप्यतीति महतो भयात् वज्रादिवोद्यतात् कृत्स्रं जगत्कम्पत इत्यर्थः। ' भयादस्याभिस्तपती ' त्यनेनैकार्थ्यात् । महद्भयं वज्रमुद्यतमिति पञ्चम्यर्थे प्रथमे 'ति भाषितम् । विवृतं चैतत् श्रुतप्रकाशिकायाम् 'प्राण इति सप्तम्यन्तपदसामर्थ्यात् स्थितानामित्यध्याहारः । कुतो निस्सृतानामित्यपेक्षायां प्रकृतस्यैवापादनत्वमाह—तत इति । एजनं कम्पनमिति । एज् कम्पन इति हि धातुः । प्रत्यवायभयात् स्वस्वकार्येषु प्रवृत्तिः कम्पनम् । उद्यतवज्रादिव परमपुरुषात्सञ्जातेन भयेन कृत्स्नं जगत् कम्पत इत्यर्थ, इति । अत्र महद्भयं वज्रमुद्यतमिति चत्वारि पदानि पञ्चम्यर्थप्रथमान्तानि । भाद्यं पञ्चम्यर्थप्रथमान्तपद्रद्रयं भयवाचि । उत्तरं तु पद्रद्रयं तद्धेतुभूतपाणशब्दित-परब्रह्मपरमिति द्रष्टव्यत् । केचित्तु विभेत्यस्मादिति भयम् भयानकमित्यर्थः । महा-भयानकोद्यतवज्जवत् स्वसान्निस्सृतं प्राणशब्दितपरमात्मा कम्पयति । एजतीत्यस्य ण्यन्तगर्भोप्यर्थ इत्यपि अमुमप्यर्थं वर्णयन्ति । य एतद्विदुरमृतास्ते भवन्ति – स्पष्टोऽर्थ: । 'अत एव प्राण ' इत्यधिकरणन्यायात् प्राण शब्दस्य परमातमपरत्वे न विवाद इति द्रष्टव्यम् ॥ २ ॥

> भयादस्याग्निस्तपति भयात्तपति सूर्यः । भयादिन्द्रश्च वायुश्च मृत्युर्घावति पश्चमः ॥ ३ ॥

भयाद्स्याग्निरिति । धावतिशब्दः इन्द्रादीनां स्वव्यापारप्रवृत्तिपरः । शिष्टं स्पष्टम् ॥ ३ ॥

इह चेदशकद्वीघ्दुं प्राक् शरीरस्य विस्नसः। ततस्सर्गेषु लोकेषु शरीरत्वाय कल्पते ॥ ४॥

इहचेदिति । शरीरस्य विस्नसः विस्नंसनात् पतनात्प्रागिह लोके ब्रह्म बोद्धं अशकचेत् अशक्तुवन् चेत् विकरणव्यत्ययः छान्दसः । तेतः—तसात् ज्ञाना- भावद्धेतोः स्रुज्यमानसर्वेलोकेषु जन्मजराप्तरगाद्धिमस्यलक्षणक्षीर्यमाणस्वाय भवतीत्यर्थः। तस्मात् शरीरपातास्त्रागेव आत्मज्ञानाय यतेतेति भावः॥ ४॥

# यथाद्र्भे तथात्मिन यथा स्त्रमे तथा पितृलोके। यथाप्सु परीत्र दृह्शे तथा गन्धर्वलोके छायातपयोत्रित ब्रह्मलोके॥५॥

आत्मनो दुर्बोधत्वमेवाह — यथे ति । यथा दशे चान्द्रकाया अभावात न स्पष्टः प्रतिभासः तथा इह लोके आत्मनीत्यर्थः । यहा—यथा आद्शे — दर्भणे प्रतीय-मानं वस्तु साक्षादृष्टवस्तुवत् प्रत्यङ्मुखत्वादिकिलिग्तार्थानवरुद्धत्या नोपलभ्यते, तथेहात्मविषयिणी प्रतीतिरित्यर्थः । लोकान्तरेषि तथेत्याह — यथा स्वभे तथा पितृलोके । यथा स्वभदर्शनस्य जाम्रदर्शनवत् सम्यक्तया संशयादिविरोधितया पुनरन्-सन्धानयोग्यत्वाभावः तथा पितृलोक इत्यर्थः । यथाप्तु परीव दृष्टशं तथा गन्धर्व लोके । यथा जलान्तस्थवस्तुनो नेतरवत् स्गष्टभक्ताशः, तद्वत् परिदृदशं इव न वस्तुतः परितो हस्यत इत्यर्थः । गन्धर्वलोकप्यापाततः प्रतीतिमालमित्यर्थः । लाम्धर्वलेके वस्तुतः परितो हस्यत इत्यर्थः । गन्धर्वलोकप्यापाततः प्रतीतिमालमित्यर्थः । लाम्धर्वलेकि वस्तुतः परितो हस्यत इत्यर्थः । गन्धर्वलोकप्यापाततः प्रतीतिमालमित्यर्थः । लाम्धर्वलेकि वस्तुतः परितो हस्यत इत्यर्थः । गन्धर्वलोकप्यापाततः प्रतीतिमालमित्यर्थः । लाम्धर्वलेकि वस्तुतः परितो हस्त्रतः । अतो दुरिधगममात्मतत्त्वमिति भावः । यद्वा— वस्तुलोके यद्यपि लाम्बन्ति विद्यान्तिमिति भावः । यद्वा— वस्तुलोके यद्यपि लाम्बन्ति । वस्तुलि हस्ति हस्ति । परिते । परिते । । । । ।

## इन्द्रियाणां पृथग्भावमुदयास्तमयौ च यत् । पृथगुत्पद्य-मानानां मत्वा धीरो न शोचति ॥ ६ ॥

इन्द्रियाणाभिति । पृथग्म्तानामुत्पद्यमानानामिन्द्रियाणाम् । इन्द्रियाणामित्ये-तद्देहादीनामप्युपलक्षणम् । उद्यास्तमयौ च यत्—यदित्यन्ययं यावित्यर्थे । यौ उत्पादविनाशौ यश्च परम्परवैलक्षण्यलक्षणपृथग्मावश्च तान् सर्वान् इन्द्रियादि-गतान्मत्वा धीरो न शोचतीत्यर्थः । परम्परवैलक्षण्योत्पादविनाशाः ज्ञानैकाकारे नित्ये आत्मनि न सन्तीति ज्ञात्वा न शोचतीत्यर्थः ॥ ६ ॥

> इन्द्रियेभ्यः परं मनो मनतस्तव्यञ्जवनः । सत्याद्धि महानात्मा महतोऽच्यक्तंग्रुत्तमम् ॥ ७॥

## अव्यक्तातु परः पुरुषो व्यापकोऽलिङ्ग एव च । यत् ज्ञात्वा मुच्यते जन्तुरमृतत्वं च गच्छति ॥ ८ ॥

देहिविविक्तप्रत्यगात्मयाथात्म्यज्ञानेऽपि भगवच्छरणागितरेवोपाय इति पूर्वोक्तं शरणवरणमेव प्रतिपादयति— इन्द्रियेभ्य परिमत्यादिना मन्त्रद्वयेन । इन्द्रियेभ्य इत्येतदर्थानामप्युपलक्षणम् । 'इन्द्रियेभ्यः परा ह्यर्था अर्थेभ्यश्च परं मन ' इत्यनेनेकार्थ्यत् । सत्त्वशब्दो बुद्धिपरः । 'मनसस्तु परा बुद्धि रिति पूर्वोक्तेः । अतिङ्गः—लिङ्गागम्यः । परत्वं च वर्शाकार्यतायां विवक्षितम् । परस्य च वशीकरणं शरणागितिरेव । शिष्टं स्पष्टम् ॥ ७ ॥ ८ ॥

# न सन्दशे तिष्ठति रूपमस्य न चक्षुषा पश्यित कश्रनैनम्। हृदा मनीषा मनसाभिक्लृप्तो य एत(नं वि)द्विदुरमृतास्ते भवन्ति॥९॥

न सन्दशे तिष्ठतीति । अस्य रूपं स्वरूपं विग्रहो वा व्यापकत्वादेव सन्दर्शन-विषये अभिमुखतया न तिष्ठतीत्यर्थः । अथवा दृश्यं नील्रूपादिकं नास्तीत्यर्थः । अत एव न चक्षुपा पश्यिति कश्चनैनिमिति । स्पष्टोऽर्थः । हृदा मनीपेत्यादि— अयमंशस्सर्वत्रप्रसिद्ध्यधिकरणे व्यासार्थैः 'हृदेति भक्तिरूच्यते । मनीपेति धृतिः । 'न सन्दशे तिष्ठति रूपमस्य न चक्षुपा पश्यित कश्चनैन 'मिति पूर्वार्ध-मेकरूपं पठित्वा 'भक्त्या च धृत्या च समाहितात्मा ज्ञानस्वरूपं परिपश्यतीहे 'ति महाभारते उक्तम् । अभिक्ल्रसः ग्राह्य 'इति विश्वनः । 'धृत्या समाहितात्मा भक्त्या पुरुषोत्तमं पश्यित । साक्षात्करोति प्राप्नोतीत्यर्थः । 'मक्त्या त्वनन्यया शक्य ' इत्यनेनैकार्थ्यादिति वेदार्थसङ्ग्हे प्रतिपादितम् । य एनं विदुरिति । स्पष्टोऽर्थः ॥ ९॥

## यदा पञ्चावितिष्ठन्ते ज्ञानानि सनसा सह। बुद्धिश्च न विचेष्टेत तामाहुः प्रमां गतिम् ॥ १० ॥

यदा पञ्चेति । ज्ञायते अनेनेति व्युत्पत्त्या ज्ञानानि—इन्द्रियाणीत्यर्थः । "सप्तगते"रित्यधिकरणे व्यासार्थेस्तथा व्याख्यातत्वात् । अध्यवसायोपेतं मन एव बुद्धिशब्देनोच्यते । अत एव तल भाष्यम् 'अध्यवसायाभिमानचिन्तावृत्तिभेदान्मन एव बुद्धग्रहंकारचित्तशब्दैर्व्यपदिस्यतं हित । शरीरान्तस्सञ्चरणं विहाय मोक्षार्थ-गमनं परमा गतिरिति तन्नैव स्पष्टम् ॥ १०॥

## तां योगमिति मन्यन्ते स्थिरामिन्द्रियधारणाम् । अत्रमत्तरता भवति योगो हि त्रभवाप्ययो ॥ ११ ॥

तां योगिमिति । तां पूर्वमन्त्रनिर्दिष्टां बाह्याभ्यन्तरकरणधारणां परमां गतिं योग इति मन्यन्ते । उक्तं च व्यासार्थेः । "परमा गतिर्योग इत्यर्थ " इति । अपमत्तस्तदा भवति इन्द्रियाणां निर्व्यापारत्व एव अविहतिचित्तता भवति । चित्तावधानं किमर्थमित्यत्राह योगो हि प्रभवाष्ययाविति । योगस्य प्रतिक्षणा-पायशालितया अवधानमपेक्षितमिति भावः । यद्वा इष्ट्रप्रभवानिष्टाप्ययलक्षणसर्वपुरुषार्थ-साधनत्वाचोगस्य तत्व अपमत्तत्या भवितव्यमिति भावः ॥ ११॥

# नैव वाचा न मनसा प्राप्त शक्यो न चक्षुषा । अस्तीति ब्रुवतोन्यत कथं तदुपलभ्यते ॥ १२ ॥

नैव वाचेति । स्पष्टोर्थः । प्राणपादे "सत गतेविंशोपितत्वोचिति । इन्द्रियाणि सतेव । "सत इमे लोका येषु चरन्ति प्राणा गुहाशया निहितास्सप्तसप्त इति सप्तानामेन परलोकगतिश्रवणात् । "यदा पञ्चावतिष्ठन्ते ज्ञानानि मनसा सह । बुद्धिश्च न विचेष्टेत " इति योगदशायामिन्द्रियाणां परिगणितत्वाच्च सप्तैवेति प्राप्ते उच्यते । "हस्ताद्यस्तु स्थितेऽतो नैवम् "—शरीरे स्थिते आदानादिख्क्षणकार्यो-पयोगित्वाद्धस्तादयोपि इन्द्रियाण्येव । अतो नैवम् । "दरोमे पुरुषे प्राणाः आत्मेकादशः " इति । आत्मशब्देन मनोऽभिधीयते, "इन्द्रियाणि दशैकं च" "एकादशं मनश्चात्र" इति श्रुतिस्मृतिभ्यां इन्द्रियसङ्ख्यानिश्चिता । न्यूनसंख्यावादा उपकारविशेषानिप्रायाः, अधिकसंख्यावादाश्च मनोर्गृत्तमेदा "दिति स्थितम् ॥ अमुमेवार्थमुपपादयति — अस्तीतीति । अस्तीति श्रुवतः शब्दादन्यत्रेत्यर्थः । तस्योपनिषदेकगम्यत्वादिति भावः ॥ १२ ॥

## अस्तीत्येवोपलब्धन्यस्तन्त्वभावेन चोभयोः । अस्तीत्येवोपलब्धस्य तत्त्वभावः प्रसीद्ति ॥ १३ ॥

अस्तीत्येवेति । तत्त्वं भावयतीति तत्त्वभावः अन्तःकरणं । तेन परमात्मा अस्तीत्येवोपळब्धव्यः । वेदान्तवाकृष्टैरस्तीत्युपळब्धस्य मनसाप्यस्तीत्येवं मननिदि-भ्यासने कर्तव्ये इत्यर्थः । उभयोईत्वोः उभाभ्यां शब्दमनोरस्पाभ्यां अस्तीस्येबोप- लब्धस्य ज्ञातवतः भुक्ता ब्राह्मणा इतिवद्यं निर्देशः । तत्त्वभावः प्रसीद्रित मनः प्रसन्नं भवति । निर्दुष्टं भवतीत्यर्थः ॥ १३ ॥

> यदा सर्वे प्रमुच्यन्ते कामा येऽस्य हृदि श्रिताः । अथ मर्त्योऽमृतो भवत्यत्र ब्रह्म समश्चते ॥ १४ ॥

यदा सर्वे प्रमुच्यन्त इति । कामाः—दुर्विषयविषयकमनोरथाः हृद्गताः यदा शान्ता भवन्ति । तदनन्तरमेवायमुपासकः अमृतो भवति — विश्विष्टा-श्विष्टपूर्वोत्तरदुरितमरो भवतीत्यर्थः । अत्र ब्रह्म समइनुते — अत्रैवोपासनवेलायां ब्रह्मानुभवतीत्यर्थः । 'समाना चास्रत्युपकमादमृतत्वं चानुपोप्ये' त्यत्र भाष्यम् — 'अनुपोप्य शरीरेन्द्रियादिसंबन्धमदग्ध्वेव यदमृतत्वं उत्तरपूर्वाधयारश्चेषविनाश- रूपं प्राप्यते तदुच्यते यदा सर्वे प्रमुच्यन्त इत्यादिकया श्रुत्येत्यर्थः । अत्र ब्रह्म समक्षुत इति च उपासनवेलायां यो ब्रह्मानुभवः तद्विषयमित्यभिप्रायः दिति ॥१४॥

यदा सर्वे प्रभिद्यन्ते हृदयस्येह (स्यैव) ग्रन्थयः । अथ मर्त्योऽमृतो भवत्येतावदनुशासनम् ॥ १५॥

उक्तमेवार्थमादरेणाभ्यस्य उपदेष्टव्यांशः एतावानेवेत्युपसंहरति— यदा सर्वे प्रमिद्यन्त इति । प्रन्थयः प्रन्थिवहुर्मोचा रागद्वेषादयो यदैव प्रमुच्यन्त इत्यर्थः । एतावद्नुशासनम्—अनुशासनीयं उपासकस्य कर्तव्यत्वेन उपदेष्टव्यमेतावदेव । वक्ष्यमाणमूर्धन्यनाडीनिष्कमणाचिंगदिगमनादिकं न साधकस्य कृत्यं, किन्तु उपासन-प्रीतभगवत्कृत्यमिति भावः ।। १५ ॥

शतं चैका च हृद्यस्य नाड्यस्तासां मूर्धानमभिनिस्सृतैका। तयोर्ध्वमायन्नमृतत्वमेति विष्वङ्ङन्या उत्क्रमणे भवन्ति ॥१६॥

'विमुक्तश्च विमुच्यत' इति पूर्वमुक्तां द्वितीयां परममुक्तिमाह—शतं चैका चेति । हृदयस्य प्रधाननाङ्यः शतं चैका च सन्ति । तासां मध्ये एका सुषुम्नास्या ब्रह्मनाडी मूर्धानमभिनिस्सता । तया नाड्या ऊर्ध्वं ब्रह्मलोकं गच्छन् देशविशेष-विशिष्टब्रह्मप्राप्तिपूर्वकस्वरूपाविर्भावलक्षणां मुक्तिं प्रामोतीत्यर्थः । अन्यास्तु नाड्यः विष्यक्ष्युक्तमणे नानाविश्यसंसारमार्गोत्कमणायोपयुज्यन्ते । विष्वक् वितता नाट्यः अन्योत्क्रमणे उपयुज्यन्त इति व्यासार्येर्व्यास्यातम् । इदं च वाक्यं भगवता वादरायणेन उक्तान्तिपादे चिन्तितम् । तथा हि—मूर्धन्यया शताधिकया नाड्या विदुषे गमनं, अन्याभिरविदुष इति नियमो नोपपद्यते । नाडीनां भूयस्त्वात् अतिस्कृत्मत्वाच्च दुर्विवेचतया पुरुषेणोपादानुमशक्यत्वान् । 'तयोध्वेमायन्नमृतत्वमेति विष्वङ्ङन्या उत्क्रमणे भवन्तीं ति याद्यच्छिकोमुक्तान्तिमनुवद्तीति युक्तमित्येवं प्राप्ते पूर्वपक्षे—'तदोक्रोप्रज्वलनं तत्प्रकाशितद्वारो विद्यासामर्थ्याप्तच्छेषगत्यनुस्मृतियोगाच हार्दानुगृहीतश्यताधिकयां इति स्त्रेण सिद्धान्तितम् । तस्य चायमर्थः । तदोकः—तस्य जीवस्य स्थानं हृदयं अग्रज्वलनं अग्रज्वलनं प्रकाशनं यस्य तदिद्मग्रज्वलनम् । तेन अग्रज्वलनेन प्रकाशितद्वारो भवति । 'तस्य हृदयस्यागं प्रद्योतते तेन प्रद्योन्ते आत्मा निष्कामिति चक्षुषो वा मूर्ध्नो वा अन्येभ्यो वा शरीरदेशेभ्य ' इति श्रुतेः । एतावद्विद्वद्वविद्वत्साधारणम् । विद्वांस्तु शताधिकया मूर्धन्ययेव नाड्या उत्कामिति । न चास्या नाड्या विदुषो दुर्विवेचत्वम् । विद्वान् हि परमपुरुषाराधनम्मूतात्यर्थप्रियविद्यासामर्थ्यात् विद्याशेषमृतत्तयाऽऽत्मनोऽत्यर्थप्रियगत्यनुस्मरणयोगाच प्रसन्नेन हर्दोन परमपुरुषेणानुगृहीतो भवति । ततस्तां नाडीं विज्ञानातीति तया विदुषो गतिरुपपद्यत् इति । प्रकृतमनुसरामः ॥ १६ ॥

अङ्गुष्ठमातः पुरुषोन्तरात्मा सदा जनानां हृदये सिन्नविष्टः। तं स्वाच्छरीरात्प्रवृहेन्मुङ्जादिवेषीकां धैर्येण।। तं विद्याच्छुक-ममृतं तं विद्याच्छुकममृतमिति ॥ १७ ॥

अङ्गुष्ठमात इति । स्पष्टोर्थः । तं स्वाच्छरीरादिति । यथा देवदत्तः स्वाच्छरीराद्विलक्षण इत्युक्ते स्वशब्दः समिभव्याहृतदेवदत्तसम्बन्धिपरामर्शी, एवं प्रविनिर्दिष्टान्तरात्मसंबन्धिपरामर्शी स्वशब्दः । ततश्चायमर्थः । तं जनानामन्तरात्मानं तच्छरीरमृतात् जनशब्दिताच्चतनात् प्रयृहेत्—विविच्य जानीयात् । 'जुष्टं यदा पश्यत्यन्यमीशः भिति श्रुत्युक्तरीत्या धारकत्वनियन्तृत्वशेषित्वादिना विरुक्षणं जानीयादित्यर्थः । मुज्जात्—तृणविशेषात् । इषीकां—तन्मध्यवर्तिस्थूरुतृणविशेषमिव । धेर्यण—ज्ञानकौशरुनेति प्रविणान्वयः । तं विद्याच्छुक्रममृतं तं विद्याच्छुक्रममृति । उक्तोर्थः । द्विवचनमुपदेशसमाह्यर्थम् ॥ १७ ॥

मृत्युत्रोक्तां निचकेतोऽथ लब्ध्वा विद्यामेतां योगविधिं च कृत्स्रम् । ब्रह्म प्राप्तो विरजोऽभृद्विमृत्युरन्योप्येवं यो विद्ध्यात्ममेव ॥ १८॥

आख्यायिकार्थमुपसंहरति मृत्युप्रोक्तामिति । निचकेताः मृत्युप्रोक्तां आत्मिवद्यां, यदा पञ्चेत्यादिना उक्तयोगिविधं च लब्ध्या प्राप्य 'परं ज्योतिरूप-सम्पद्य स्वेन रूपेणाभिनिष्पद्यत इति श्रुत्युक्तरीत्या ब्रह्म प्राप्य, आविभूतगुणाष्टकोऽ भूदित्यर्थः । अन्योप्येवं यो विद्ध्यात्ममेव अध्यात्मविद्यां यो अन्योपि वेति सोपि एवमेव निचकेता इव भवतीत्यर्थः ॥ १८ ॥

सह नाववतु । सह नौ भ्रुनकु । सह वीर्यं करवावहै । तेजिस्व नावधीतमस्तु मा विद्विषावहै ।। ओं शान्तिक्शान्ति-क्शान्तिः ।। हरिः ओं ।। १९ ।।

शि कठोपनिषदि षष्ठवल्ली ॥शि द्वितीयाध्यायस्समाप्तः ॥॥ कठवल्ली समाप्ता ॥

शिष्याचार्ययोः शास्त्रीयनियमातिळङ्घनकृतदोषप्रशमनार्था शान्तिरुच्तते । स ह नाववतु—सः विद्याप्रकाशितः परमात्मा । हशब्दः प्रसिद्धौ । नौ शिष्याच्यार्थौ अवतु—स्वस्वरूपप्रकाशनेन रक्षतु । सह नौ भुनक्कु—विद्याप्रचयद्वारा आवां सहैव परिपालयतु । यद्वा विश्लेषमन्तरेण आवां सहितावेव यथा स्याव तथा परिपालयत्वित्यर्थः । सह वीर्यं करवाहै—सनियमकविद्याप्रदानेन विद्यायास्मामर्थ्यं निष्पादयावहै । नियमाभावे विद्या निर्वीर्या भवतीति भावः । तेजस्वि नावधीत-मस्तु—नौ आवयोः यद्धीतं तत् तेजस्व अस्तु, वीर्यवत्तरं भवत्वित्यर्थः । मा विद्विषावहै—'यश्चाधर्मेण विद्वते यश्चाधर्मेण प्रच्छित । तयोरन्यतरः प्रैति विद्वेषं वािषणच्छितं । इति स्मृत्युक्तरीत्या अधर्माध्ययनाध्यापननिमित्तो द्वेष आवयोर्माम्दित्यर्थः । शान्तिश्वान्तिश्वान्तिः— त्रिर्वचनं सर्वदोषशान्त्यर्थम् ॥

इयं चोपनिषत् भगवत्परैवेति भगवता बादरायणेन समन्वयाध्याये सिमि-रिषकरणैर्निर्णीतम् । तत्र 'यस्य ब्रह्म च क्षत्रं चोभे भवत ओदनः । मृत्युर्थस्योपसेचनं क इत्था वेद यत्न सः' इति वाक्ये ब्रह्मक्षत्रयोरोद्नत्वरूपणेन भोज्यत्वस्य वा भोग्यत्वस्य वा प्रतीतेः तत्प्रतिसंबन्धी यस्येति षष्ठचन्तयच्छव्दिनिर्दिष्टो भोक्ता जीव एव स्यात् ; परमात्मनो भोक्तृत्वासंभवादिति पूर्वपक्षं ऋत्वा, 'अत्ता चराचरग्रहणात् , प्रकरणाच, गुहां प्रविष्टावात्मानो हि तद्दरीनात्, विरोषणाचि'ति चतुर्भिस्सूत्नै-स्सिद्धान्तः कृतः । तेषां चायमर्थः । 'उमे भवत ओदनः ' इति ओदनप्रति-सम्बन्धितया प्रतीयमानः अत्ता प्रमात्मैव । ब्रह्मक्षलशब्दगृहीतनिखिठचराचर-संहर्तृत्वस्यात मन्त्रे प्रतिपाद्नात् । अत ब्रह्मक्षत्राव्दयोः निखिठचराचरळक्षकत्व-प्रकारः, ओद्नशब्दस्य विनास्यत्वरुक्षकत्वप्रकारश्च एतन्मन्त्रव्यास्यानावसरे प्रति-पादितस्तत्वैवानुसंधेयः । 'महान्तं विभुमात्मान'मिति प्रस्तुतत्वेन तस्य ब्रह्मप्रकरण-मध्यगतत्वाच । ननु ऋतं पिबन्तावित्युत्तरमन्त्रे कर्मफलमोगान्वयिनोरेव प्रतिपादनात् , परमात्मनश्च जीववत् कर्तृत्वेन वा अन्तःकरणवत् करणत्वेन वाऽन्वयासंभवात्, परमात्मप्रकरणमध्यगतत्वं नास्तीत्यारांक्चोक्तं 'गुहां प्रविष्टावात्मानौ हि तद्दर्शना'दिति । गुहां प्रविष्टौ जीवपरमात्मानावेव । तयोरेवास्मिन्प्रकरणे गुहाप्रवेशद्र्शनात् । 'तं दुर्दर्शं गूढमनुप्रविष्टं गुहाहित'मिति परमात्मनो गुहाप्रवेशः श्रूयते । 'या प्राणेन संभवत्यदितिदेवतामयी। गुहां प्रविश्य तिष्ठन्ती'ति जीवस्यापि गुहा-प्रवेशो दृश्यते । अतः द्वयोरिप गुहाप्रवेशदर्शनात् तयोरेविपवद्पिवतोश्छितिन्यायेन 'ऋतं पिबन्ता'विति निर्देशस्य संभवात्, ऋतं पिवन्ताविति मन्त्रेण न परमात्म-प्रकरणविच्छेदरशकग्रशङ्कः । 'विशेषणाच '। अस्मिन्प्रकरणे 'ब्रह्मजज्ञं देवमीड्यं विदित्वे'ति जीवपरयोरुपास्यत्वोपासकत्वादिना विशेषितत्वात् तयोरेवोपासनसौकर्याय एकाधिकरणस्थत्वप्रतिपादनार्थत्वात् 'ऋतं पिचन्ता'विति मन्त्रस्य जीवपरप्रतिपाद-कत्वमेव । अतः यस्य ब्रह्म च क्षतं चेति मन्तः परमात्मपर एवेति निर्णीतम् ।

तथा ' अङ्गुष्ठमातः पुरुषो मध्य आत्मिन तिष्ठति । ईशानो भूतभव्यस्य न ततो विजुगुप्सते ' इति मन्त्रे अङ्गुष्ठमात्रतया निर्दिश्यमानो जीव एव; अङ्गुष्ठमात्रत्वस्य जीवधर्मतया ' प्राणाधिपस्संचरति स्वकर्मभिरङ्गुष्ठमात्रो रवितुल्यरूपः ' 'अङ्गुष्ठमात्रं पुरुषं निश्चकर्षं यमो बळात् ' इति श्रुतिस्मृतिप्रसिद्धत्वादिति पूर्वपक्षं कृत्वा—'शब्दादेव प्रमितः ' 'ह्यपेक्षया तु मनुप्याधिकारत्वात् ', 'कम्पनात् ' 'ज्योतिर्दर्शनात् ' इति र चतुर्भिस्स् त्रेः सिद्धान्तः कृतः । तेषां चायमर्थः । अङ्गुष्ठप्रमितः परमात्मा, शब्दादेव ' ईशानो भृतभव्यस्य इति ईश्वरत्ववाचकेशानशब्दादेव । ननु कथं तर्हि परमात्मनो अङ्गुष्ठमात्रत्विमत्यत्वाह—' हृद्यपेक्षया तु मनुप्याधिकारत्वात् '। हृदि हृद्ये परमात्मनो वर्तमानत्वात् तद्पेक्षया अङ्गुष्ठमात्रत्वमुपपचते । न च खरतुरगादीनामङ्गुष्ठशून्यानां हृद्यस्याङ्गुष्ठप्रमितत्वाभावात् तदन्तर्वितेनः परमात्मनः कथमङ्गुष्ठमात्रत्विमिति वाच्यम् । उपासनाविधायिशास्त्रस्य मनुष्याधिकारिकत्वात् तेषां चाङ्गुष्ठसंभवात् तद्धृदयवर्तिनः अङ्गुष्ठसमपरिमाणहृदयावच्छेदनिबन्धनाङ्गुष्ठप्रमितत्वे नानुपपत्तिः । 'कम्पनात् । ' पदिदं किंच जगत्सर्वे प्राण एजित निस्सत्तम् । महद्भयं वज्रमुद्यतःभिति समस्त-प्राणिकम्पनहेतुभयहेतुत्वस्यास्मिन्नङ्गुष्ठप्रमिते आञ्चानात् तस्य च परमात्मधमित्वस्य 'भीषासमाद्वातः पवत ' इत्यादिश्रुतिप्रतिपन्नत्वात् अङ्गुष्ठप्रमितः परमात्मा । 'ज्योति-देशनात् । ' न तत्र सूर्यो भाति न चन्द्रतारकिमि'ति अङ्गुष्ठप्रमिते सकळतेजश्चान्दक्त्योतिस्सम्बन्धप्रतिपादनात् तादशज्योतिस्सम्बन्धस्य आधर्वणे ब्रह्मसम्बन्धितया प्रतिपादितत्वाच अङ्गुष्ठप्रमितः परमात्मेत्यर्थः ॥

तथा—'इन्द्रियेभ्यः परा ह्यर्था अर्थेभ्यश्च परं मनः। मनसस्तु परा बुद्धिः बुद्धेरात्मा महान्परः। महतः परमव्यक्तमव्यक्तापुरुषः परः। पुरुषान्न परं किंचित् सा काष्ठा सा परा गितः।' इति वाकचे सांख्यपिक्रयाप्रत्यिभज्ञानात् पंचित्रंशाितिरिक्त-पुरुषिनिषेधाच्च सांख्यािभमतमब्रह्यात्मकं प्रधानमेव अव्यक्तशव्देनािभधीयत इति 'आनुमािनकमप्येकेषािमिति चेदिति स्त्रखण्डेन पूर्वपक्षं कृत्वा 'शरीररूपकिनिन्यस्त-गृहीतेर्देशेयति च' 'स्क्ष्मं तु तद्दित्वात्' 'तद्धीनत्वाद्धेवत्' 'श्रेयत्वावचनाच्च' 'वद्तिति चेन्न प्राज्ञो हि प्रकरणात्' 'त्रयाणामेव चैनमुपन्यासः प्रश्नश्च' 'महद्वचे'ति सप्तिमः स्त्रैस्सिद्धान्तः कृतः। तेषां चायमर्थः। आनुमािनकं नाव्यक्तशव्दािभरुप्यम्। उपासनोपयोगिवशीकरणाय 'आत्मानं रिथनं विद्धि शरीरं रथमेव चे'ति वाकये रिथरथादिमानेन रूपितेषु आत्मशरीरबुद्धिमनइन्द्रियविषयेषु रथरूपकात्मना 'शरीरं रथमेव चे'ति विन्यस्तस्य शरीरस्थैवाव्यक्तशब्देन ग्रहणसंभवात्। अस्मिश्च प्रकर्णे

इन्द्रियादिवशीकरणप्रकारस्यैव 'यच्छेद्राङ्मनसी प्राज्ञः' इत्यादिदर्शनान् , तदनुसारे-णाव्यक्तशब्देन शरीरमेव गृह्यते । ननु कथमव्यक्तशब्देन व्यक्तस्य शरीरस्याभि-धानम् । तत्राह—'सूक्ष्मं तु तद्हैत्वात्'। भूतसूक्ष्ममन्याकृतं ह्यवस्थाविशेषमापत्रं शरीरं भवति । ततश्च कारणवाचिना अन्यक्तःशन्देन स्थूलं शरीरमेवोपचारादुच्यत इत्यर्थः। ननूक्तराब्दस्य मुख्य एवार्थोऽस्तु, कुतः स्थूलशरीरे लक्षणाभ्युपगन्तन्ये-त्यत्नाह—तदर्हत्वादिति । स्थूळशरीरस्यैव कार्यार्हत्वान् , तस्यैव वशीकार्यत्वाय प्र'तिपादनस्यापेक्षितत्वात् , अन्यक्तशब्देन कारणवाचिना स्थूलशरीरलक्षणा उचितेति भावः । ननु यदि भूतस्क्षममन्याकृतमभ्युपगम्यते, कापिल्यतन्त्रसिद्धोपादाने कः प्रद्वेष इत्यताह—'तद्धीनत्वाद्र्श्वत्'। अस्मन्मते अव्यक्तस्य परमात्माधीनतया तदिधिष्ठितत्वेन प्रयोजनवत्त्वमस्ति । सांस्यमते तदनभ्युपगमात् तस्य निष्पयो-जनत्विमिति भावः। ' ज्ञेयत्वावचनाच्च '। यदि तन्त्रसिद्धमन्यक्तमेवाविविक्षिष्यत् , तदास्य ज्ञेयत्वमवक्ष्यत् । व्यक्ताव्यक्तज्ञविज्ञानान्मोक्षं वदद्भिस्तान्त्रिकैस्तेषां सर्वेषां ज्ञेयत्वाभ्युपगमात् । नचास्य ज्ञेयत्वमुच्यते । अतो न तन्त्रसिद्धस्येह ग्रहणम् । ' वद्तीति चेन्न प्राज्ञो हि प्रकरणात् '। ' अशब्दमस्पर्शमरूपमव्ययं तथाऽरसं नित्यमगन्धवच यत् । अनाद्यनन्तं महतः परं श्रुवं निचाय्य तं मृत्युमुखात्रमुच्यतेः इत्यव्यक्तस्य ज्ञेयत्वमनन्तरमेव श्रुतिर्वदतीति चेन्न । 'सोऽध्वनः पारमाप्नोति तद्विष्णोः परमं पद'मिति प्राज्ञस्य परमात्मनः प्रकरणात् स एव अशब्दमस्पर्शमिति मन्त्रे ज्ञेयत्वेन निर्दिश्यते, न तन्त्रसिद्धमव्यक्तम् । 'त्रयाणामेव चैवमुपन्यासः प्रश्नश्च '। अस्मिन्प्रकरणे हि उपायोपेयोपेतॄणां त्रयाणामेव ज्ञेयत्वोपन्यासः 'अन्यत्र धर्मादन्यत्रा-धर्मादि'ति प्रश्नश्च दृश्यते । नाव्यक्तादेः । 'महद्भच्च'। यथा 'बुद्धेरात्मा महान्परं इत्यवात्मशब्दसामानाधिकरण्यात्र तन्त्रसिद्धं महत्तत्त्वं गृह्यते, एवमव्यक्त-

> क्षेमाय यः करुणया क्षितिनिर्जराणां भूमावजृम्भयत भाष्यसुधामुदारः । वामागमाध्वगवदावदतूळवातो रामानुजस्स मुनिराद्रियतां मदुक्तिम् ॥ ॥ इति कठोपनिषदि षष्ठवळ्ळीभाष्यम् ॥ ॥ इति श्री रङ्गरामानुजमुनिविरचितं कठोपनिषद्भाष्यं समाप्तम् ॥

मध्यात्मनः परत्वेनामिधानान्न कापिळतन्त्रसिद्धं गृह्यत इति स्थितम् । अत इयमुप-

निषरसर्वापि परमात्मपरेति त्रिभिरधिकरणैर्निणीतम् ॥

## कठोपनिषद्भाष्यटिप्पणी

### श्रीनिवासं परं ब्रह्म प्रणिपत्य प्रणीयते । रङ्गरामानुजमुनिकठभाष्यस्य टिप्पणी ॥

---0<del>/8/</del>-0---

### प्रथमवल्ली

श्रिया महाल्क्म्या सादरसंश्रितवक्षस्थलम् । अञ्चनाचलश्क्षारं शेषाचलगरुडाचल-नारायणाचलाञ्चनाचलिसहाचलकृषमाचलवेद्वटाचलाः सप्त अचलाः । तदुपलक्षणार्थमिह अन्य-तमस्य अञ्चनाचलस्य ग्रहणम् । तस्मिन् भासमानं सर्वहृदयाह्वादकं उत्तमरसं भगवन्तं श्रीनिवास-मिखर्थः ।

- १. स्वस्तये तार्स्यम् ऋवे. १०-१७८-१.
- ५. "स एवमुक्तः पुत्र एकान्ते परि देवयाश्वकार । कथिपिति । उच्यते । बहूनां शिष्याणां पुत्राणां दा एमि प्रथमः सन् सुख्यया शिष्यादिष्ठत्येखर्थः । मध्यमानां च बहूनां मध्यमयैव षृत्या एमि । नाधमया कदाचिदिषे । किंस्विद्यमस्य कर्तव्यं प्रयोजनम् । मया प्रतेन करिष्यति यरकर्तव्यमद्य । नूनं प्रयोजनमनपेक्येव कोधदशादुक्तदान् पिता" । [इति] ॥
  - ''तथापि तत् पितुर्वेचो मृषा माभूदित्त्येवं मत्वा परिदेवनापूर्वकमाह पितरं शोकाविष्टं कि मथोक्तमिति । (अनुपत्येति)'' इखन्यत्र ।
- ६. तथिति चार्थे । यथे सनुषद्भावज्यते । तदाह यथा चिति । पूर्वे यथा आसन् तदनुपस्य । परे च यथा भवन्ति तत्प्रतिपस्य । कथमासन् कथं भवन्ति । तत् स्पष्टयनाह सस्यमिवेति । पूर्वे सर्वेऽप्रियन्त । इदानीन्तना अपि म्रियन्ते । ते सर्वे पुनर्जनिष्यन्ते । तस्मात् सर्वजन्तुसाधारणोऽयं जननमरणधर्मः । स चेन्ममापि तत्र कः शोकः इति कृत्स्रो मन्त्रः (मृषाबाद्प्रसङ्गं चिना) शोकापनोदनार्थ पितुराश्वासनपरोऽपि भवितुमर्हतीति भाति ।
  - ७. " प्रोष्यागतं यमममाला भार्या वा ऊचुर्वोधयन्तः । "
  - ८. ''अनिर्ज्ञातप्राप्येष्टप्रार्थना आशा । निर्ज्ञातप्राप्यार्थप्रतीक्ष्णं प्रतीक्षा । '' इखन्यत्र 🔭
- ५. ''यद्यपि भवदनुम्रहेण सर्व मम स्यात तथापि त्वद्धिकसम्प्रसादनार्थमनशनेनोपोषि-तामेकैकां रातिं प्रति त्रीन् वरान् वृणीष्व अभिश्रेतार्थविशेषान् प्रार्थयख '' इखन्यत्र । तस्मादिति तच्छब्देन अनश्रतो रात्रित्रयवासं परामृत्य तस्मात्प्रति तत्स्थाने इखर्थे 'प्रतिनिधिप्रतिदाने च यस्मात् ' इति स्त्रेण पश्चमीति किं युक्तमिति पर्थाखोचनीयम् ।
  - ९०० " प्रतीतः लब्धस्मृतिः । ्स एवायं पुत्रो ममागत इति प्रस्विमानिन्नसर्थः । "

- ११. एतदनुरोधेन 'त्वां दशिवान्' इति मूलपाठेन भाव्यमिति भाति। तदैव छन्दः-सामजस्यमपि । यथास्थिते द्वादशाक्षराणि भवन्ति । तिष्ठुमि एकादशमिरेव भाव्यम् ।
- १४. तत् मया उच्यमान अग्नि तद्नुबन्धि चान्यत् । "खर्गाय हितं खर्गसाधन-मग्नि प्रजानन् विज्ञातवानह्नं प्रव्रवोमि । तित्रवोधेति च शिष्यवुद्धिसमाधानार्थं वचनम् । अग्नि स्तौति—अनन्तलोकाप्तिं खर्गलोकफलप्राप्तिसाधनम् । अथो अपि प्रतिष्ठामाश्रयं जगतः विराङ्क्पण । तमेतमग्नि मयोच्यमानं जानीहि । निहितं स्थितं—गुहायां विदुषां बुद्धौ निविष्टमित्यर्थः"।
  - १५. ' लोकादिं लोकानामादिं प्रथमशरीरित्वादग्निम् । ''
- १६. अस्य तुष्ट इति तोषहेतुःतं पूर्वमुक्तम्। आदरव्यक्षनाय वचनकर्मत्वं वक्तुं मृत्योर्महातमत्विविशेषणं दातुं च पुनिरह 'तमज्ञनीत् श्रीयमाणो महात्मा' इत्युच्यते । सृङ्क्ताम्। अन्यत्र
  काप्यरष्ट्रमन्युत्पादितिमदं पदम् । स गतौ कैशब्दे इति धातुद्वयात् सरत्का—प्रसरच्छव्दा इत्यर्थे
  पृषोदरादित्वेन सङ्किति रूपं भवतीति कृत्वा शब्दवतीं रज्ञमालामिति व्याचक्षत इति भाति ।
  "यद्वा सङ्काम् अकुत्तितां गतिं कर्ममयीं गृहाण । अन्यदिष कर्मविज्ञानमनेकफलहेतुत्वात्
  स्वीकुर्विखर्थः ।" इत्यर्थान्तरमप्यन्यत्रोक्तम् । परन्तु अनन्तरवद्ध्यां 'नैतां सङ्कां विक्तमयीमवातः दिति पुनःप्रयुक्तस्यास्य पदस्य "नैतामवासवानिस सङ्कां सर्ति कृत्तितां मृहजनप्रशृत्तां
  विक्तमयीं धनप्रायाम् " इति कुत्सिता गतिरिति व्याख्यानं हस्यते । स्थातोः स्वतिरर्थः ।
  'का दित कापुरुष इत्यादाविन कृत्सितार्थे । परिनपातादि पृषोदरादिपाठात् इति निष्यत्तिरिमित्तते भाति । एवं कुत्सिता गतिरिति उपरि व्याख्याने स्थितं कथिमह अकुत्सिता गतिरिति व्याख्यानं युक्तमिति विमृत्यम् । कुत्सितामित्येव स्थितःपाटः वरदानप्रकरणे अयमर्थो न
  सङ्गत इति वुख्याऽन्यथाकृतः स्थादिष । कृत्सितत्तां ज्ञानमार्गापेक्षयाऽवरत्वम् । न तु गहितत्विमिति ।
- २०० किश्चेति । 'अन्यद्प्याह एतमग्निमिति 'इखर्थः । 'एतमिमिनिति । किश्च ' इति वा पाठः ।
  - २२. " अतिस्रज विमुख एनं वरं मा मां प्रति "।
- २३. अत्र देवैरिप विचिकित्सितम्। "किलेशि । भवत एव नःश्रुतम्।" तादश-मिदम्। किञ्च त्वं यत्र सुविज्ञेयमात्थ तादशम्। किञ्च त्वादगन्यो वक्ता दुर्लभः। अतिदुर्लभ-वक्तृकम्। किञ्च यो यो वरो दीयेत तस्मात्सर्वस्मादधिकम्।
- २४· यावदिति सामान्ये नपुंसकम् । यावतीः शरदो जीवितुमिच्छसि तावतीःशरदो जीवेस्रर्थः ।
- २५. काम्यमानानामिति । कामानां मध्ये ये कामाः उत्क्रष्टा इखर्थः, तद्भाजमिखस्मा दर्थाद्विशिष्टोऽयमर्थः ।
- २७. ''श्वो भविष्यन्ति न वेति सन्दिह्यमान एव येषां भावो भवनं त्वयोपन्यस्तानां भोगानां, ते श्वोभावाः ''।

- २९. ''अजीर्थतां वयोहानिमप्राप्तुवताम् अमृतानां सकाशमुपेख उपगम्य ''। इत्येवं व्याख्यानेऽपि अजीर्यताममृतानाभिति मुक्तावस्था याह्या। यमस्यापि मुक्तेः ।सिद्धत्वात् भविष्यन्ती-मिप दशां वर्तमानवद् भूतवच्चोपचरति । 'अनिखेईव्यैः प्राप्तवानस्मि निखम् द भूतवच्चोपचरति । 'अनिखेईव्यैः प्राप्तवानस्मि निखम् द भूतवच्चोपचरति । 'अनिखेईव्यैः प्राप्तवानस्मि निखम् द भूतवच्चोपचरति ।
- ३०० योऽयमिखादि श्रुतिक्चनं, यतः 'नान्यं तस्मादहं वृणेंं हित उत्तमो न श्रूयते । अपि तु नान्यं निचकेता वृणीत इति प्रथमः । अत एव वर्तमानानुपपत्तेः स्मेति पुरणिमिति भावः ।

यावत् निविकेतःसंज्ञिमदं शरीरं ध्रियते तावत् नान्यं वरं वरिष्ये इति दढमात्मनोऽध्यकः सायं व्यञ्जयितुकामः स्वयमेवात्मानं प्रथमपुरुषवद् व्यवहरतीस्यिप युक्तं भाति ।

### द्वितीयवही

- १. प्रेय उत्तव प्रेयोऽपि च।
- २. ताबिति पुङ्किन्नोपपत्तये श्रेयःप्रेयः पदार्थाबिति । श्रुनौ पुङ्किन्न कर्तृत्वोपपत्तये तयोः-स्वातन्त्र्यस्थानगयः ।
- ३ 'सङ्काञ्चेमामनेकरूपां गृहाण 'इति पूर्वमधिकतर्त्वेन यमेन दत्तां रह्ममालां निच-केता न प्रतिजन्नाह । तत इदिमदानीमाह यमः 'नैतां सङ्कां वित्तमयीमवाधः' इति । इस्रिप युक्तम् ।
- ५. घनीभूते तमसि वर्तमाना इव । आत्मानं पण्डितं मन्यमाना इत्यध्याहृत्य योज्यम् । तात्पर्यगत्या धीरं मन्यमाना इत्यपि दिवक्षितम् । द्रम गतौ ।
- ६. ''सम्परेयत इति सम्पराय परलोकः । तत्प्राप्तिप्रयोजनः साधनविशेषः शास्त्रीयः साम्परायः ॥ '' भावप्रकाशिका— ''सम्यगवश्यम्भावेन परा परस्तात् देहपातस्य पश्चात् ईयते गम्यत इति सम्परायः । तत्प्राप्त्यर्थः साधनविशेषः साम्परायः ''— इति ॥
- ' दुर्मानीखर्थः ' इस्रस्यानन्तरं 'तस्यायं लोकः परश्व लोको नास्तीखर्थं'इस्यधिके विषयं-वाक्यदीपिकायां पठवते ।

उतपदस्य योजनाऽत्र न दर्शिता। पर उत पर श्रेख्यिभग्रये चशच्दाध्याहारो नोक्तःस्यात्। उत अपि च मानी पुनःपुनिरिसुपर्यन्वयाभिग्राय उचित इति भाति। पाठान्तरं वा। संयमने त्वनुभूमेति स्त्रे श्रुतप्रकाशिकायां नायं पाठः कष्ठोक्तो दर्यते। 'अयं लोको नास्ति न पर इति'इति भाष्यो- द्वृतस्य मन्त्रस्य नञ्द्रयघितं प्रतीकं धृत्वा 'अत्रामुत्र च सुखं नास्तीलर्थः' इत्यर्थवर्णनमात्रं तु कृतं दर्यते। अयं लोको नास्तिलंशस्य अत्र सुवं नास्तीति विवरणम् , न पर इति मानी पुनःपुनर्वशानपायते मे 'इलविशिष्रंशस्य असुत्र च सुखं नास्तीति विवरणम् इति यद्यपि स्थितं कथि व योजियतुं शक्यम्, तथापि एतैरुपनिषद्भाष्यकारैः श्रीभाष्ये वा विवरणे वा अवलोकितःपाठः 'अयं लोको नास्ति पर 'इति द्वितीयनजूरहित एवेति तदनुसारेणैव भावप्रकाशिकायां व्याल्यायि—

"अयमेव लोकः स्त्यन्नपानादिरूपोऽस्ति । न परः परलोकः इति मानी इति मननशीलः तदनुरूपभाचरन् पुनःपुनः जन्ममरणप्राप्त्या मम दशमाग्यत इत्येवमयं लोक इत्यत्र एवशब्दमभ्याहृत्य व्याख्यातं परैः । आचार्यास्तु च-शब्दमभ्याहृत्य व्याख्यातं परैः । तेहान्तरोप भोग्यमिति शेषः" ।

इति । अत्र परेषामिमसतो यः पाठः तमेत्र कृत्वा आचार्या व्याचक्षत इत्येतेरुच्यत इति स्फुटम् । तत्र चकारध्याहारेण अयं परश्च छोको नास्तील्यें सित अस्यार्थस्य इतिमानीत्युत्तरत्र कथमन्वय । न हि कश्चिद्यं छोको नास्तीति मन्येत इति शङ्कापरिहारायोक्तं देहान्तरेति । वर्तमाने देहे अयं छोको नास्तीति सत्यं न कश्चिन्मन्येत । देहान्तरोपभोग्यं तु ऐहिकमामुष्मिकं वा सुखं नास्तीति देहातिरिक्त आत्मा नास्तीत्यज्ञानन् सर्वो मन्येतैव । ऐहिकसुखमेव चायं छोक इत्युच्यते, इत्याशयः । एवं मावप्रकाशिक्षयामेकथा व्याख्याने कृते करिमश्चित्र श्रीमाध्यकोशे 'अयं छोको नास्ति पर उत्त मानी ' इति स्थितं पाठं स्यू तद्नुसारेणेह प्रकारान्तरेण व्याख्यातमिति भाति ।

- ८. "न हि नरेण मनुष्येण अवरेग प्रोक्तः अवरेण हीनेन प्राकृतवुद्धिनेत्येतत् । उक्तः एषः आत्मा यं तवं मां पृच्छिति । न हि सुष्टु सम्यक् विज्ञेयः विज्ञातुं शक्यः । यस्मात् बहुधा अस्ति नास्ति कर्ताऽकर्ता छुद्धोऽशुद्ध इत्याद्यनेकथा चिन्त्यमानः वादिभिः । कथं पुनः सुविज्ञेय इति । उच्यते । अनन्यप्रोक्ते अनन्येन अपृथयद्शिंन।ऽऽचार्येण प्रतिपाद्यब्रह्मात्मभूतेन प्रोक्ते उक्ते आत्मिन गतिः अनेकथा अस्तिनास्तीत्यादिरुक्षणा चिन्ता गतिः अत्र अस्मिन्नात्मिन नास्ति न विद्यते । सर्वविकत्यगतिप्रत्यस्तमित्रह्भरत्वादात्मनः । अथ वा स्वात्मभूते अनन्यस्मिन् आत्मिन प्रोक्ते अनन्यप्रोक्ते गतिरत्र अन्यावगतिर्नास्ति । ज्ञेयस्थान्यस्यामावात् । ज्ञानस्य ह्येषा परा निष्ठा यदात्मैकत्वविज्ञानम् । अतो गन्तन्याभावात्र गतिरत्राविश्वष्यते । संसार्गतिर्वाऽत्र नास्ति अनन्य आत्मिन प्रोक्ते नान्तरीयकत्वात् तद्विज्ञानफरुस्य मोक्षस्य । अथ वा प्रोच्यमानब्रह्मात्मभूतेनाचार्थेण अनन्यतया प्रोक्ते आत्मिन अगतिः अनक्वोधोऽपरिज्ञानमत्र नास्ति । भवत्येवावगतिस्तिद्वषया श्रोतुः तदनन्योऽहमिस्याचार्थस्येवेस्यर्थः । एवं सुविज्ञेय आत्मा आगमवताऽऽचार्येणानन्यतया प्रोक्तः । इत्रस्था अणीयान् अणुतरः अणुप्रमाणादिप सम्पद्यत आत्मा । अतक्ये अतक्ये अतक्ये अणुप्रमाणो न तक्येः स्ववुध्यम्यूहेन केवलेन तर्केण । तर्क्यमाणेऽणुप्रमाणे केनचित् स्थापिते आत्मिन ततोऽणुतरमन्योऽभ्यूहिते । ततोप्यन्योऽणुतरमिति । न हि तर्कस्य निष्ठा कचिद् विद्यते । "
  - ९. आपनीयेति स्थाने आपनेयेति ।
- १० अनिस्रमिति लिङ्गव्यस्यश्र्वान्दसः । शेवधिः अनिस्रं वस्तु इति जानामीति वा । शेवधिरिति शेवधिरित्येतत् अनित्यं जानामीति वा ।
- ११. एतन्मन्त्रपर्यन्तमौपोद्धातिकमेकं प्रकरणम् । तत्र प्रथममन्त्रे—हितं प्रियमिति मार्गद्वयमर्थानर्थकलमस्तीति, द्वितीये —तत्र धीरेण विविच्य प्रियपरिखागेन हितं परिप्राह्यमिति, तृतीये—नचिकेतसा विवेकिना प्रियं परिखौक्तमिति, चतुर्थे—प्रियस्य अविवेति नाम, हितस्य

विद्यति, तत्र नचिकेतसा हितरूपा विद्या परिग्रहीतेति, पञ्चमषष्ठयोः—अविवेकिनां प्रिय एव आसक्तिर्भवति न तु विद्यामार्गप्रतिभानमपीति, सप्तमे— परतत्त्वविषये समीचीन आचार्यो वा शिष्यो वाऽतिदुर्लभ इति, अष्टमे—असमीचीनाचार्योपदेशाद्वा आचार्यमनपेक्ष्य स्वप्रज्ञयैव वा तद्ज्ञानं दुर्लभिमिति, नवमे—एवंविष्यो दढो निश्चयो नचिकेतसो जात इति, दशमे—केवरु-कर्मणां परमपुरुषार्थप्राप्त्युपायकोटिप्रवेशविरहिऽपि पूर्वोपदिष्टो नाचिकेतागिनस्तदौपयिको भवतीति तद्नुष्ठानेन स्वस्य आचार्यकोपयुक्तज्ञानसम्पत्तिरस्तीति, एकादशेऽस्मिन्—नचिकेतसः परतत्त्व-जिज्ञासा सुद्देति श्रवणाधिकारः पूर्ण इति च ज्ञापितम् ।

" स्तोमं स्तुलम् । महत् अणिमावैश्वर्याद्यनेकगुणसंहतम् । स्तोमं च तन्महच निरितशयत्वात् स्नोममहत् ।" इति स्तोममहिद्दित्येकपद्पाठं कृत्वाऽन्यत्र व्याख्यातम् ।

- 'येयं प्रेते विचिकित्सा मनुष्ये 'इति पूर्वं निचकेतसः तृतीये प्रश्ने मरणानन्तरं मनुष्यस्य किमालन्तिक उच्छेदः अथ वाऽस्लानुवृत्तिरिति साक्षात् पृष्टम् । तथापि न तावदेव तस्य जिज्ञासितम्। 'अभिध्यायन् वर्णरतिप्रमोदाननतिदीर्घे जीविते को रमेत ।' 'यत् साम्पराये महति ' इत्यादि वदतो हि नचिकेतसो बुद्धौ ' जातस्य हि ध्रुवो मृत्युर्धृवं जन्म मृतस्य च ' इति प्रसिद्धजननमरणप्रवन्धव्यतिरिक्तेन केनचिद्धैंन स्थितेन भाव्यम्। यदा चरमं मरणं भवति यतःपरं पुनरपि जननादिक्केशो नास्ति ताहशे मरणे वृत्ते ततः परं मनुष्यः कथं भवतीति प्रश्नार्थः। एतेन मोक्षखरूपं पृष्टं भवति । एवं प्राप्ये मोक्षे पृष्टे तत्साधनमि पृष्टमेव । यमश्र नचिकेतसो विषयवैराग्यातिशयं दृष्ट्रा जिज्ञासिते मोक्षखरूपे अस्ति तस्य कश्चन विवेक इति जानन् 'स्तोमं महदुक्गायं दृष्ट्रा घृत्या वीरो निविकेतोऽखस्राक्षीः 'इति मोक्षे प्राप्यं वस्तु निवकेतसा गृहीतत्वेन प्रस्तुल तं प्रशेशंस । एवं नचिकेत:प्रशंसाया अवसितत्वात्, तेन दृष्टतया उक्तं वस्तु 'तं दुर्दर्श 'मिति तच्छब्देन परामृज्य अत्र विशेषणात् , प्रश्नोत्तरतया यद् वक्तव्यं तदस्मिन् मन्त्रे -उक्तमिति अनन्तरमन्त्रे 'एतच्छूत्वा'इसादिना प्रतीतेश्च तृतीयप्रश्नस्य इदं प्रतिक्चनमिति ज्ञायत इति वेदितव्यम् । अत्र हर्षशोकौ जहाती सनेन प्रेतो मनुष्योऽस्ति न वेति सन्देहस्य " अस्ति, संश्व हर्षशोकरहितोSस्ती" ति परिहारोSभिप्रेतः। 'अध्यात्मशोगाधिगमेन देवं मत्वा ' इत्यनेन स्वात्मवेदनपुरस्सरं भगवदुपासनं तादशमोक्षस्योपाय इति ज्ञापितम् । उपासनानु-रूपत्वात् प्राप्तेः उपास्यमानभगवत्सान्निध्यतदनुभवाविष मुक्तस्य स्त इलापे व्यक्तितम्। 'एतमाप्य ' इत्यनन्तरमेवेदं स्पष्टीकियते ।
- १३. प्रवृह्य । वृह् उद्यमने । उद्यमनं प्रहणम् उपादानम् , प्रत्युपसर्गः प्रतिष्ठत इत्यादाविव विपरीतार्थः । तदुक्तं पृथक्कृत्येति ।

नचिकेतसं हे नचिकेतः त्वां प्रतीत्यर्थः ।

१४. तद्वदेति प्रार्थनात् प्रार्थियतुर्निचिकेतस इदं वचनिमिति स्पष्टम् । िकं पुनिरह पृच्छयत इति तु न स्पष्टम् । तथापि प्राप्यस्य ब्रह्मणः प्राप्तुर्जीवस्य प्राप्त्युपायस्योपासनस्य चोपिर यमेन विश्वादमुपदिश्यमानत्वात् तदर्थ एवायं प्रश्न इति ज्ञायते । प्रसिद्धाभ्यां भर्माधर्माभ्यां कारुत्रयवृतितया ज्ञातपदार्थेभ्यश्च यथा विरुक्षणं प्राप्यत्रह्मादि भवति तदुपदेष्टव्यमिरार्थः ।

२०. माष्ये '६भयत्रान्वये 'इसत्र 'गुहायामप्यन्वये 'इति 'उत्तरत्राप्यन्वये 'इति वा उनितः पाठः ।

ज्यायानेभ्यो लोकेभ्य इलादिष्विति। इलादिषु परमात्मधर्मतयाऽकगतत्वादिलयों बोध्यः। इलादिभिरित्येवं पाठान्तरेण वा केनचिद् भाव्यम्।

- २१. मादशजनादिति । मदन्य इस्राम्भच्छादः ब्रह्मविद्रपलक्षणार्थे इति तालर्यम् ।
- २२. निख्रत्वेनेति । अवस्थितमित्यत्र अवेत्युपसर्गाभिप्रेतोऽयमर्थः । अत्रापि पाठः शोध्य इति भाति ।
  - २४. यस्तु पुरुषार्थंमपीति । अपिर्भिनकमः । अतिलङ्घवापीति ।
- २५. किश्चित् प्रतीति । ब्रह्म वा. तदितरद्वां प्रतीत्यर्थः। किश्चित् प्रतीति किं पाठोऽस्ती-स्यन्वेष्टन्यम् ।

असाधारणरूप एव प्राह्य इति । असाधारणगुण एवेति युक्तम् । असाधारणाकार एवेति पाठान्तरम् ।

तं दुर्दर्शिमिखादिचतुर्दशमन्त्रात्मकिमदं साक्षादुपदेशपरं । प्रकरणम् । तत्र प्रथमे उपदेष्टव्यस्यातिसङ्ग्रहेणोपदेशः । अनन्तरे उ ग्संहारः । तृतीये विस्तरेणोपदेशाय नचिकेतसः प्रश्नः । तत्र उपासनकाले अनुसन्धेयस्य प्रणवस्योपदेशः स्तुतिश्च सर्वे वेदा इत्यादिषु त्रिषु मन्त्रेषु । अथ जीवात्मस्वरूपोपदेशो द्वयोः । अथानन्तरे परमात्मोपदेशः । तत्र गुहायां निहित इति यदुक्तं तस्योपपादनं 'आसीनो दूरे त्रजति ' 'अशरीरं शरीरेषु ' इति द्वयोः । धातुप्रसादादिति यदुक्तं तत् किश्चिद् विवृतं 'नायमात्मा प्रवचनेन रूप्यः ' इत्यनेन । अनेनानुष्ठेयोपायिवशेष शाक्षिप्यते । एतदङ्गतया वर्जनीयान्याह 'नाविरत ' इत्युक्तरमन्त्रेण । परस्य ब्रह्मणो दुर्ज्ञीनत्व-कथनपूर्वकमुपदेशोपसंहारः चरमेण 'यस्य ब्रह्म चे 'स्यनेन ।

#### ---

### **तृतीयव**ङ्की

१. सलपदवाच्येति । ऋतपदवाच्येति युक्तःपाठः । ननु एवम्भूता ब्रह्मविद इत्ययुक्तम् । ब्रह्मविदामत्र अश्रवणादिखलाह—केवलपद्यांत्रीति ।

अप्रकाशत्वप्रकाशत्वयोरिति । अनयोः रूपवद्चेतनधर्मत्वादिति भावः । उपष्टम्भकसुवर्णे इति । द्वन्द्वः । प्रथमाद्विवचनम् ।

- ११. अत्र अन्ते 'भाषितम् ' इसनन्वितं पठचते ।
- १३. 'इमं मन्त्रं प्रस्तुत्य 'इत्यस्यानन्तरं 'इत्थं हि ' इति स्थितमनन्वितम् ।

१५. अत्र अवतारिका—उपसंइरतीति । उत्तरमन्त्रेऽप्येवं दक्ष्यते । तेनेह स्थितेय-मवतारिका किं युक्तेति विमर्शनीयं भवति । परमातमोगदेशोपसंहार इह । उपाख्यनोपसंहार उत्तरवेति वा जैयम ।

अस्यां बह्नचां योगः प्राधान्येन प्रतिपाद्यत इति स्फुटमेतत् । मन्त्राणां सङ्गतिराप स्पन्न ।

## चतुर्थवही

पूर्वोक्तार्थविशदीकरणार्थमेवास्य द्वितीयाध्यायस्य प्रवृत्तिः ।

तत्र " अणोरणीयान्महतो महीयानात्मा " इत्यत्राभिष्ठतं सर्वोत्मत्वं अस्यां चतर्थ-ब्रह्मां प्राधान्येन प्रकारयते ।

तत्र परमात्मविज्ञानार्थप्रवृत्तौ अधिकारिदौर्रुभ्यं, परमात्मेतरस्य सर्वस्य हेयत्वं, तस्य सर्वेन्द्रियनियन्तृत्वं, मनोनियन्तृत्वं, शरीरनियन्तृत्वं, सर्वजगत्स्रष्ट्रबह्मनियन्तृत्वं, सामान्यतः सर्वजीवात्मनियन्तृत्वं, अप्रिनियन्तृत्वं, तदितरसूर्योदिसर्वदेवतानियन्तृत्वं, सर्वपदार्थीत्मत्वं, एवंविधत्वस्य मनोमाह्यत्वं, शरीरे स्थितस्यापि निरवयत्वं, निस्यत्वं, ज्ञानिनासनर्थापातं तत्त्वज्ञानवतां नि श्रेयसं च क्रमेण पञ्चदशसु मन्त्रेषु प्रतिपाद्यन्ते ।

किंतु ईश्वरवाचिशब्दादेवेति । ईशत्ववाचीति श्रत्रप्रकाशिकापाठः । अत ईशान-शब्दस्य न श्रुतित्विमिति । श्रुतित्वे नारायणव्यात्रित्तिर्भवतीति वादिनः प्रतिपक्षिणः सर्वेथाऽत्र अवकाशो न देय इत्यभिप्रायेणेदम् । वस्तुतंस्तु रुद्रप्रतीतिहेतुरूढिपरिंत्यागेन केवलयौगिकोऽपि सन् अयमीशानशब्दः श्रुतिर्भवति । अङ्गुष्ठमात्रः जीवः परमात्मा वेति संशयः । परमात्म-सर्वेश्वर इति नार्थमेदोऽस्ति । 'जीवो व सर्वेश्वरो वा ' इत्यपि हि संशयप्रदर्शनं युज्यते । तत्र सर्वेश्वरत्वस्य साक्षाद्वाचकःशब्दः श्रूयमाणः श्रुतिः कथं न भवेत्? सर्वेश्वरत्वातिरिक्तेन केनचित् धर्मेण परमात्मात्मत्वे साध्यमाने स धर्मः लिङ्गपदाभिधेयो भवति । रूढिरेव श्रतिरिति त नियमो निर्निबन्धनः । निर्पेक्षावाचकस्यैव श्रुतित्वात् ।

कालत्रयेति । अद्य श्र इति भूतकालस्याप्युपलक्षणमिति भावः ।

~~0**5850**0~~

### पद्धसवली

- पुरस्वामिनो यथा पुरं विविक्तं भवतीति । जीवात्मनः शरीरमत्यन्तं बाह्यमिति भावः ।
- पुनरप्यस्य सर्वोत्मतामेव द्रढयतीति । 'देवं मत्वा ' 'तमक्तुः पश्यति ' इत्युक्तो-पासनोपयोगितया पूर्ववञ्ज्यां प्रतिपादितमपि सर्वात्मत्वं पुनःप्रवारान्तरेणोच्यत इसिप्रायः। शरीरादत्यन्तिविक्तः तदन्तःस्थो जीवात्मेति पूर्वस्मिन् मन्त्रे उक्तम् । तस्याप्यन्तरात्मा परं ब्रह्मेति इह विवक्षितमिति विभाव्यम् ।

- ३. नियमनित्रोषप्रदर्शनेन अन्तरात्मत्वं दृढीकर्तु प्रवृत्तः ऊर्ध्वमिति मन्त्रः। सत्त्व-प्रकृतयः सर्वेऽपीति । विश्वे वदा उपासत इत्यस्य यद्यपि चतुर्मुवादिसर्ववेददन्द्यादमथां दक्तुं शक्यतं, तथापि उपासनस्येह मोक्षायभक्तिरूपत्वौचित्वात् तत्र च मनुष्याणामप्यिषकारित्वात् साधारण्येन सत्त्वप्रकृतय ६ति व्याख्यानं कृतमिति बोध्यम् । 'देवसम्बन्धिनी सम्पद् देवी । देवा भगवदाज्ञानुवृत्तिशीलाः' इति श्रीमद्गीतामाष्यसुक्तिरिह अनुसन्धेया ।
- ४. एवं परमात्मानमुपासीन स्थेति । एतन पूर्वमन्त्रप्रस्तुतोपासनपर्यालोचनया तदनु-गुणार्थविवरणमिह कियत इति ज्ञापितम् । यदा तु पूर्वत्र प्रधानप्रतिपाद्यस्य अन्तरात्मत्वस्य पर्यालोचनं कियते तदा अन्यथाऽपि योजनं शक्यम् । वहीं जीवातमा देहवान् जायते । शरीरस्थः अथ शरीरे वाल्ययोवनादिकमेण वर्तते । विसंसमानः जरया प्रशिथिलगात्रो भवति । देहाद् विमुच्यमान म्नियमाणो भवति । किमत्र पिशिच्यते देहादुत्कान्ते जीवात्मनि अत्र तेन त्यक्ते भूतपूर्वदेहे कि परिशिच्यते तदीयत्वेन व्यपदशाई कि इस्तु अविष्ठते । न किमपी-त्यथः । भूतपूर्वशरीरिषण्डस्य तत उत्कान्तस्य जीवात्मनश्च न कश्चन सम्बन्धोऽस्तीति भावः । पुरमेकादबाद्वारिमिति पूर्वमुक्तो विवेक इह हढीकृतः । एवं शरीर जीवस्य आत्मत्वमापितिक-मस्थिरम् । जीवं प्रिण परमात्मन आत्मत्वं तु स्वाभाविकमनिवर्यं यावत्स्वरूपभावीति वेदितव्यमिति।
- ५. तस्य...महिमानमाहे।ति । ऊर्ष्वं प्राणिमस्यत्र अन्यपरतया व्यङ्गवतया च स्थित-मिह तारपर्थेण स्पष्टमाहेति भावः ।
  - ६. आश्चर्य इति । विलक्षणाधिकारिलाभजनितविस्मयाभिव्यञ्जकमित्यर्थः ।
- इन्त त इत्यनेन सूचितमर्थामिति । परमात्मतत्त्वश्रवणविमुखसामान्यजनवृत्तान्त-मित्यर्थः ।
- ८. प्ररोचनयति । विरुक्षणिकारितां बोनयित्वा उत्साहजननेनेसर्थः । प्रकृतमनुसर-तीति । पूर्वमन्त्रे विच्छिनं तरमात्मोपदेशं पुनः परिगृह्णातीस्पर्यः ।

इदमत्र भाति जीवातमनो जीवनावस्थायां जीवनहेतुत्वरूपं माहात्म्यं न प्राणेन 'त्यनेन मन्त्रेणोच्यत इति स्थितं भाष्य एव । जीवनप्रतिद्वन्द्विमरणप्राप्तवनन्तरावस्थायां तद्वस्थान् हेतुत्वरूपं माहात्म्यं वक्तुं हन्त त इत्यादि मन्त्रद्वयप्रश्चातिः । हन्तेति प्रचागिनविद्योक्तरीत्। इदं परमं रहस्यभिति व्यक्षयति । "गुद्धं सनातनं ब्रह्म प्रवक्ष्यामि । मरणं प्राप्य आत्मा यथा अब्रिति तच प्रवक्ष्यामि " इति योजना । मरणानन्तरभाविनीं जीवात्मावस्थां वक्ष्यामि । तत एव तद्भेतुत्वरूपमाहात्म्यविशेपवत्त्तया ब्रह्मोपदिष्ठं विद्वीति भावः । एवं जीवनप्रतिद्वन्द्वितयोग-स्थितमरणोत्तरावस्थाहेतुत्वं प्रतिपाद्य अथ जीवनावस्थायामेव स्वाप्रार्थनिर्मातृत्वरूपं माहात्म्यमाह- य एव स्रोपेविति ।

- ९. प्रुनरप्युपदिशतीति । दशन्तप्रदर्शनेन वैशद्यं सम्पादयतीखर्थः ।
- १०. उदाहरणान्तरमिति । अग्निदशन्तेन तस्य दुरुपगमत्वं मा शंकि । सूपगम एवं सः तेजस्वित्वातिशयेऽपीति श्रुतिहृदय।मिति भावः ।

- ११. आत्मत्वाविशेषेऽपीति । पूर्वमन्त्राविध अन्तरात्मत्वं सामान्यतो विशेषतश्चोपदिष्ठम् । ततः अन्तरात्मत्वे जीवात्भददस्य दोषसंस्पर्शः स्थादिति शङ्कापरिहारायास्य मन्त्रस्य प्रवृत्तिरिति भावः।
- १२-१३ ये पश्यन्ति तेषामेव मुक्तिरिति । तमात्मस्थं येऽनुपश्यन्तीति धात्वर्थो विधेय
  इति भावः । मत्वेति पूर्वमुक्तं दर्शनाकारमेव मननिमिति हृदयम् । शाश्वतं सुखं नित्य आनन्दः ।
  तदुक्तं मुक्तिरिति । शाश्वती शान्तिः मोक्षप्रतिबन्धकस्य सर्वस्य आत्यन्तिकी निवृत्तिरित्यर्थः ।
- १४ एवमुक्तः शिष्य आहेति । परमात्मखरूपिषयमुपदेशं समाप्य तदुपासनप्रस्तावं कृतं श्रुत्वा पुनरिप खरूपिवपययैव संशयिवशेषस्य परिहरणाय तद्विषयं प्रश्नं करोतील्र्यः । एतिदितीति । तत् मन्यन्ते । कर्षयायाः । कथं मन्यन्ते । एतिदिति निर्देश्यं मन्यन्ते । एतिदिति निर्देश्यमित्यस्य कोऽर्थः । करतलामलकददपरोक्षामिल्र्यः । परेत्वत्र अनिर्देश्यमिति पठित्वा व्याचक्षते । कथं रूपादिहीनिमिति । रूपादिहीनत्वात् चक्षुषा तावन्न गृह्यते । चक्षुषा अगृहीत्तस्य मनसा भावनमसम्भवि । मनसा तदीयं रूपं विभाव्य भाविषतुं शक्यमिति चेत्-तदूरं कथं विभावनीयम्—कि घटपटादिवदप्रकाशस्त्ररूपम् ; अथ वा दिपादिवत्प्रकाशस्त्ररूपम् । उत्तर्प्रापि कि दीपादिवदेव साधारणप्रकाशस्त्ररूपं उतादिल्यादिवत् विशिष्टप्रकाशस्त्ररूपम् ? इति प्रश्नामिप्राय इति भावः ।
- १५. एवमत्र पूर्वीर्धे मन्यन्त इखन्न अधिकारिविशेषपरतया योजनं कृतम् । ये पुरुषार्धे विशेषसाधनाय प्रवर्तन्ते ते तत्साधनप्रवृत्तेः पूर्वे अयं पुरुषार्थः एवंविध इति निर्देश्यं विविच्य क्षातव्यं लोके जना मन्यन्ते। न हि साध्यस्य निष्कृष्टं ज्ञानं विना साधने प्रवृत्तिर्भवति । अतो मयापि तद् ज्ञातव्यम् । कथं तद् विजानीयामिति समानाधिकारिकमिप योजयितुं शक्यमिति भाति ।

समोतिशायिदीक्षिमानिति । किमादिस्यादिवत् विशिष्टभानवदिति प्रस्नः । यथा तत्सन्तिधौ आदिस्यादीनां भानमेव नास्ति तथा विशिष्टभानवत् । न परमेवं तदपेक्षया आदिस्यादीनामस्यस्यं भानम् , किन्तु इदमेषामस्यं भानमपि तदनुत्रहायत्तमित्युत्तरमिति भावः ।

### षष्ठवल्ली

योगोपदेशपरेयं चरमा वल्ली।

- तद्विलक्षणमेव ब्रह्मेति दर्शयतीति । वृक्षत्वरूपणेन तस्य छेदात्वनश्वरत्वासारत्वादि-इतंपनद्वारा तत्र वैराग्यजननिमहाभित्रेतिभिति भावः ।
- २. प्राणशब्दितपरमात्मा कम्पयतीति । तथाच 'परमात्मनि यो रक्तः' इत्युक्त-रीखा परमात्मनि अनुरागातिशयं जनियतुं अस्मिन् अनन्तरे च मन्त्रे तस्य माहात्म्यं वर्ण्यत इति भावः ।
- ३. पूर्वमन्त्रे सामान्यत उक्तं जगत् कम्पत इति । तद् विशेषत इह दिशदीक्र स्ते अग्न्यादीनां देवेषु प्रधानानां भये उक्ते इतरस्य सर्वस्यैव कैमुतिकेन तत् सिध्यति ।
  - तस्मात् शरीरपातात्प्रागेवेति । तथाच उपाये त्वराजननमस्मिन् मन्त्रे क्रियत इति भावः।

आत्मज्ञानायेति । मन्त्रे बोध्दुमिति । शास्त्राधीनः परमात्मबोधो विवक्षितः । सोऽपि निद्धिःथासनपर्यवसाय्यभिप्रेतः ।

५. आत्मनो दुवोंधत्वमिति । 'क इत्था वेद यत्र सः ' इत्यादिना तस्य दुवोंधत्वं पूर्वमुक्तम् । तथा सति कथमिह तस्य बोधः सम्पाद्यततयोच्यत इति शङ्कायाः परिहारोऽत्र क्रियते । दुवोंधत्वं यदुक्तं तत् तथैव । अथापि शास्त्राद् यावद् बोढुं शक्यते तावद् बुध्वा तदुपासने कार्यमिति तात्पर्यमिति भावः ।

प्रखड्मुखत्वादयो ये कल्पितार्थाः तैः अनदरुद्धतया अनाकुलतया ।

- ६. आत्मनि न सन्तीति ज्ञात्विति । योगो वक्तव्यः । तत्र खात्मज्ञानमप्यज्ञम् । तिदृष्ट उपदिश्यत इति भावः ।
- ७-८. दहिविविक्तेति । ज्ञानेऽपीर्खापना तत्पूर्वकयोगः समुचीयते । अनेन मन्त्रद्वयेन योगविरोधिसवेप्रतिबन्धकनिवर्तनपूर्वकतदुपकारकसर्वोङ्गसम्पादनमभिष्ठेयत इति भावः ।
- ९. माह्य इति विवृत इति । पूर्वार्धे प्रकारान्तरेण दुर्प्रहत्वमनूख उत्तरार्धेन भक्तियोगो विभीयत इति भाव. ।
  - १०. योगस्बस्पिम्ह विशदीकियते ।
  - ११. अप्रमत्ततया भवितव्यमिति । प्रमादवर्जनयक् इह विधित्सित इति भावः ।
- 1२-१४. गमीरोऽयमथों मनिस प्रतिष्ठायै असकृत् प्रतिपादनमहैती सिम्रायेण पुनर्भ-क्रथन्तरेण थोगप्रवृत्तिक्रम उच्यते । तत्र शास्त्रेतराबोध्यत्वं 'नैव वाचा ' इसनेन मन्त्रेणोच्यते । प्रथमं शास्त्रतो ब्रह्म ज्ञातव्यमित्युक्तं भवति । अथानन्तरमन्त्रे ''अस्तीत्येबोपल्ब्धव्यस्तत्त्वभावेन च '' इसनेनांशेन श्रवणानन्तरभाविनी मननिदिध्यासने उच्येत । अवशिष्ठांशेन मनसो नैर्मल्य-प्रतिपादनद्वारा दर्शनसमानाकारअस्ययोऽभिभेतः । अनुष्ठानकाल एव जायमानं योगाभ्यासफलं 'यदा सर्वे प्रमुच्यन्ते ' इस्तेन मन्त्रेणोच्यते ।
- १५. उक्तमेवार्थमिति । कामाः प्रमुच्यन्त इति योऽर्थ उक्तः स एव कामानां दुर्मोचत्वं तथापि उपासनेन अत्यन्तं निवर्तन्त इति योगवेभवं च प्रतिपाद्यितुं 'अन्थयः प्रमिचन्ते ' इत्यतिशयोक्तिभक्तया उक्तः, न त्वर्थान्तरमिति भावः ।
- १६, द्वितीयां परममुक्तिमिति । पूर्व 'अथ मत्योंऽमृतो भवस्यत्र बद्धा समञ्जेते 'इति इतिरपातात् प्रागेव भवन्ती मुक्तिरुक्ता । इह तु तत्पातादनन्तरं गतिविशेषेण देशविशेषं गत्वा प्राप्या निवृत्तिप्रसंगरहितनिरतिशयानन्दस्थामरूपा मुक्तिरुच्यत इति ।
- १७. यथा उपक्रमे 'तं दुर्दर्शं गूढमनुप्रविष्टं' इति तत्त्विहतपुरुषार्थसङ्गृहः कृतः सथेह उपसंहारेऽपि कियत इति बोध्यम् ।
- १८. **आख्यायिकार्थमिति । अध्यायद्वयस्य वक्षीषट्कस्य चायमुपसंहार इति भाषः ।** तत्र विद्यामेतामिति प्रथमाध्यायस्य प्रधानप्रतिपाद्यार्थनिर्देशः । योगविधिक्रेति द्वितीयाध्यायस्य।

## पा ठान्तराणि.

#### ~~~<del>```````</del>

कोशसकेतविवरणम् — श्रीवेकटेश्वरप्राच्यकोशागारस्थ लिखितपत्रात्मकः — क. पूना-आनन्दाश्रमसुदितः ख.

षु. पं

४ १७ प्रार्थयस्व क.

,, २५ प्रयुइक्ताम् क

६ २ त्वमेतिन खा.

,, १४ पुनराह ख.

, २१ द्दानि क. 💩

७ १ किंव दिचित्रा ख.

८ त्रिभि अभिभि क,

., ११ मन्त्रखण्ड ख.

८ ९ प्रस्यियततमै **क.** 

११ १८ विशेषे उत्कर्ष क.

१४ ११ अन्ये वित्ति ख.

१५ २४ सुज्ञेय ख.

१६ ६ सुहोय ख.

१८ १२ तत्रलान्-वर्णरतिप्रमोदान्-वर्णा स्व.

२० २ बहवोऽलोळुपन्त ख.

२० ६ नालोलुपन्त ख.

,, ८ अद्भावश्च ख.

,, २५ चश्च्यश्वाध्याहर्य इति स्थाने 'क' कोशे 'इतिशब्दश्वार्थ. 'इसस्ति। तत्र 'इतिशब्द ' इतिस्थाने उत-शब्द इति कि स्यादिसालोच्यते।

२५ ७ विलक्षण उपाय क.

२६ ७ दर्शनादधर्मादन्यत्रेति प्रसि-द्धोपायिवरोधिविलक्षणिवरो-म् विप्रश्न

१७ **न शहा**- अपितु स्व.

२८ १ तत्रैव गुडातम स्त.

,,

यु पं.

३१ ९ द्वाभ्यां सूत्राभ्या ख,

३३ २४ प्रतिपाद्यं दुर्विज्ञा ख.

,, २६ दुर्विज्ञानत्व ख.

३४ ८ तद्वलात् न जायते क.

३५ १० प्रक्चनशब्देन प्रवचनसाधनं मनन छक्ष्यते । उत्तरत्र ' न मेधया न बहुना श्रुतेने 'ति वक्ष्यमाणध्यानश्रवणसमभि-व्याहारबळेन प्रवचनशब्देन मननस्यैव गृहीतु सुन्वितत्वाद् स्व.

३७ ७ इमासाधारणाकार एव ख.

,, १९ सूपासत्व क.

३८ ११ कर्मफलमो जुल ख.

३९ २५ दुरुपासत्व क.

४१ ..२५ पुरुषार्थसाधन ख.

४८ ९ इवेत् सुभृतो क.

४ पूर्वेणान्वयः। इदिखवधारणे। क.

,, १२ नातिकामति ख.

,,

५७ ६ याचितकमण्डित पुरुष**तु**रुया-नामेतेषा **स्त्र**.

५८ १४ स्वस्मान्निस्सृत सकलं जगत् खः

,, २० स्वस्तृव्यापार ख.

६३ ८ जीवस्य ओक.-स्थानं क.

९ तस्य हैतस्य हृदयस्य **क.** 

६४ ८ इवैव क.

६५ ३ निरूपणेन ख.

७. ५ नन्वव्यक्तशब्दस्य कृ.

# शुद्धिपत्रम्.

તુ.	पं.	)	<b>g.</b>	પં.
٩	२४	हितकाम	<b>33</b>	१५ सिद्ध <b>व</b> ति
२	ર	<b>धे तुर्द</b> क्षिणे	३२	२० सर्वेषाम
४	৩	इष्टं यागादि	४१	६ प्रापयति
ч	৩	विगतमन्यु	४२	२४ मिन्द्रियादीनां
,,	90	मत्प्रेषितम्	19	२५ <b>मन</b> सी
<b>હ</b>	98	परमात्मकत्वपर्यन्तोऽर्थः	४३	<b>१</b> २ <b>निर्दे</b> ष्टव्ये
6	૪	ब्र <b>ह्मात्मकस्वस्वरू</b> प	,,	१४ दात्मनि वर्तमान इति विशेषणस्य
9	રૂ	सूर्यान्तरं	88	४ क्षयं
,,	२६	किंच ''श्वोभाषा	४५	१३ परानम्बन्तीति
99	9 €	ज्योतिष्टोमस्याह	"	१५ खानि
93	92	स्मर्थेते	४६	१९ स्वाप्तप्रपन्नं
94	৩	मर्त्यः	४७	1 गुप्तिज्किक्क्य
,,	99	'पुह्लिंगतच्छब्देन परामर्शदर्श-	४८	१२ 'इलवधारणे' इलिधकम् ।
		नात् 'गुहां प्रविष्टावात्मानौ हि		तथाच <b>'कश्चन-</b> तत् सर्वात्मकं'
		तद्दर्शनात् 'इत्यत्रापि प्रविष्टाः		इत्येव पाट्यम् ।
		विति उपसर्जनतया निर्दिष्टस्य	५१	१५ आत्मैव
		प्रवेशस्य तृहर्शनादिति तच्छब्दे-	५३	२४ सङ्कल्प्य सङ्कल्प्य
		न परामर्शदर्शनात् 'सर्वनाम्रा-	48	२०-२१ चक्षुर्मलादिमि
"	23	सन्धिर्नृत्ति	५५	२ वार्थः । " इमौ स्म मुनिशार्दूल
96	Ę	वर		किंकरी समुपस्थिती ' इत्युक्त-
२१	२७	त्रभ्य इ		रीत्या भक्तवस्य इति वार्थः। एकं
२२	99	कामस्याप्ति		बीजं
२४	90	स्वरूपंच	€ 0	४ इन्द्रियेभ्यः
,,		भ्रियते	६१	९ प्राप्तुं
२५	२६	साँमानाधिकरण्य	६२	२४ स्वस्वरूपा
२६	ţ,	्र मानसो -	६३	१४ हार्देन
२८	Ę	भावात् 'न तत्र सूर्यो :		

## कठोपनिषद्भाष्योदाहृतप्रमाणानां आकरस्रची

-----

पु g इन्द्रियाणि दशैकं च अङ्गप्रमात्रः पुरुषो तै. ना ३६-५३ ४९ गी, १३-५ 59 इमी स्म मुनिशार्द्ल रामा, बा. ३१-४ अङ्ग्रहमानं पुरुषं महा. भार ४९ 44 ब्र. सू. ३-३-३४ अङ्गुष्ठमात्रः पुरुपोन्तरात्मा श्वे. ३-१३ इयदामननात् ४९ 36 **ई**श्वरक्शवे ईशान अङ्ग्रहमात्रो रवितुल्य श्वे. ५-७-८ ४९ अमर १-१-३० 40 ईश्वरः सर्वभूतानां अत एव प्राणः ब्र. स् १-१-२४ गी. १८-६१ ४२ 40 अत्ता चराचरप्रहणात् ब्र. सू. १-२-९ **उ**त्रत्त्यसंभवात् ब्र. स. २-२-३९ १४ 39 ऊर्षमूलमधः अधिष्ठानं तथा गी. १५-१ गी, १८१४ ४२ 40 ऋग्यजुस्साम महा.भ.शा.मो ३४३-४१ अनेन जीवनात्मना छा. ६-३-२ ३२ ३९ अप एव ससर्ज एकदेशेनि यो मनु. १.८ तन्त्र वा १-४-७ 99 ४७ एकाद्गं मनश्रात्र वि, पु १-२-४७ अपहत्य पाम्पानं केन. ४.९ 93 ६१ एतस्माज्जायते प्राणः अभिवदति नाभिवादयते मुण्ड. २-१-३ ३9 ૪ अमेदव्यापिनो वायो: वि.पु.२ १४-३२ एवं तत्त्वमिदं कृतसम् २८ ३२ एष म आत्मान्तहृद्ये ते काठ. ३ छा. ३-१४-४ अयं वाव यः पदते 38 v अविन।शि तु एष ते दायौ पू. सी.९-३-३ 93 गी. २-१७ २७ एष लोकाश्विपति अव्यक्तोक्षर इत्युक्त गौ. ८-२१ 93 २७ अस्मात्प्रवक्ष्यते महा.भा.शा.मो. ३४३-४५ ३२ एष संत्रसादो ন্তা, ১-३-४ २३ कौ. ३-६४ एषद्येव साधुकर्म अहं मनुरभवं षृह. ३-४-१० 93 86 ओं तत्सदिति निर्देशः अहः खःकतौ पाणि वा. गी १७-२३ २८ 99 ओसिखनेनाक्षरेण तै आ १ प्रश्न. ५-५ 26 आकाशाद्वायुः ३० तै- आ. १ औदुम्बरस्सोमतचमसो पू.सी,१०-३ १९ आत्मन आकाशः ३० २ आदिखवर्णं तमसः श्वे.३८ ब्र. सू. १-३-४० ५५ कम्पनात् 46 क्यन्तो युः पाणि, लिङ्गा, ४१ ३१ आदि खश्चश्चर्भत्वा ऐ. उ. १-२ 48 कौ. ३-८ क्षेत्रज्ञं चापि मां गी. १३.२ आनन्दोऽजरो १३ ४७ ब्र, सू. १-४-१ आनुमानिकमप्येकेषां गुपतिज्ञिकद्भय पाणि, सू. ३-१-५ ६६ ४७ गुहां प्रविष्टौ आभूतसंप्रवं स्थानं ९ ब्र स. १-३-११ २४ इदं महोप महाः भाःशाः मो ३४८-६२ प्रसिष्णु प्रभविष्णुं च गी. १३-१६ ₹ ₹ २७ इदं शतसहस्राद्धि महा, भा, शा. महिज्या पाणि, सूर ६-१-१६ ٩ छन्दस्यभयथा पाणि, सू. ३-४-११७ मो. ३५३-११ ३१ ٩ इदं श्रेय इदं महा भा शा मी ३४३-३३ ३२ं जगद्वशे वर्तते महा. भार, ५५ जीवेशावाभासेन 39 नृ. ता. ९ जुगुप्साविराम पाणि.वा. २-३ २८ ४७ जुष्टं यदा पश्यति श्वे. ४-७ 38 ज्योतिर्दर्शनात् त्र सू. १-३-४१ ५६ ज्योतिषामपि तत् गी. १३-१७ 36 ज्ञाज्ञोद्वावजौ श्वे १.९ ३० **ज्ञेयत्वावचना**च ब्र. सू. १-४-४ ६६ तदधीनत्वादर्थंवत् ब्र, सू. १-४-३ ६ ६ तदोकोग्रज्वल्यं ब्र. सू. ४-२-१६ ६३ गी. १८-६२ तमेव शरणं ४२ तमेव शास्त्रकर्तारः महा. भा. शा. मो ३५९-७० ३२ तमःपरेदेवे एकी प्रइन, ४-२ ५५ तयोरन्यः पिप्पलं **पै**ङ्गि**रहस्**य 36 तस्मिन् जज्ञे खयं मनु, १-९ ४७ तस्य तावदेव निरम **জা.** ६-१४-२ 43 तस्य धेन् रिति गवाम् पू.मो.सू.१०-३-५६ २ तस्य घेनुदक्षिणा पू. मी १०-३-१४ ₹ तै. उ. तस्यां हिरण्मयःकोशः 92 तस्य हैतस्य हृदयस्य बृह, ६-४-२ ६३ तस्यैष आत्मा विशति मुण्ड, ३-२-४ २३ शिस्त्र एव साहस्य 9 3-3-6 99 तिष्ठन्तं परमेश्वरं गी. १३-२७ २७ तेन धीरा अपियन्ति बृह् , ६-४-८ 92 ते ब्रह्मलोके तु मुण्ड. ३-२-६ तै. अम्भ २-१०-२२ तोयेन जीवान् व्यससर्ज तै, अम्भ, १-१ ३० तं देवा ज्योतिषां **ब्रह.** ६-४-१६ ४६ तं विद्याकर्मणी समन्वा बृह. ६-४-२ ५३ त्रयाणामेव चैवम ब्र सू. १-४-६ ৩ दशेमे पुरुषे प्राणाः 夏夏. 4-9-8 ६१ देवतापारमार्थं च बि. प्र. १-१-२६ ४४ देहयोगाद्वा ब्र. सू. ३-२-५ 94 द्वासुपर्णा मुण्ड. ३-१-१ 36

Ч. द्वितीयं विष्णुसंज्ञस्य वि. प्र. ६-७-५५ र ५ ध्रवसूर्यान्तरं यत्त् वि.्यु. २-७-१८ 9 न केसरिणो ददाति पू. मी ३-४-१४ 93 न च कर्तुःकरणं ब्र. स्.२-२-४० 39 मनु. २-९४ न जातुकामः कामानां नारदपरिव्राजकोपनिषत् ३-३७ 90 न तद्भासयते स्र्यः गी. १५-६ २८ न प्रेत्य संज्ञास्ति बृह. ६-५-१३ 88 नवनीतं यथा सहा.भा.शा.मो३५३-१२ 39 न सन्दश्चे तिष्ठति महाभारत ξo नातमा श्रुतेः ब्र. स्. २-३-१८ şφ नास्य नरयैतज्जीर्यति **ভা. ८-१-५** २९ निर्व्यापारमनाख्यैयम् २७ नेतरोनुपपत्तेः ब्र. सू. १-१-१७ 38 पद्मानि यस्याप्र कुमारसं १-१६ 40 परं ज्योतिरुप छा. ८-१२-२ فو परातु तच्छ्तेः ब्र. सू. २-३-४० ४२ पराभिध्यानांतु ब्र. सू. ३-२-४ 94 पाप्यसानाय्य पाणि. सू. ३-१-१२९ 99 पृथ्रपाजदत्यो पू. सी. ५-३-३ 99 प्रजापतिः प्रजा असूजत यज्, अष्ट २ 3 o प्रसीद सच्युतस्तस्मिन् 38 प्रसूति**नाश**स्थिति २७ प्राणस्तथानुगमात् १३ ब्र. सू. १-१-२९ प्राणाधिपः संचरति श्वे. ५-७ ४९ प्राणी त चेतनो जन्मी अमर १-५-३० 33 प्रैत होतश्वमसः पू. सी. ३-३-६ 99 ब्राह्मणैः क्षत्रियैः महा. भा. भी. ६६-३९-४० ३२ गी. ११-५४ Ęο भक्तयात्वनन्यया भविष्यति प्रमाणं म.भा.शां.मो३४३-४५ ३२ तै. आ. ८-१ भीषास्माद्वातः ६६ भूयसां स्यात्सधमेरवम् पू. मी. सू. 90

/ **y**. भोगेनतिवत**रे** त्र. स्. ४-१-१९ 49 मनुष्याणां सहस्रेष्ट गी. ७-३ २१ पू. मीं. स्. १२-२-२५ मुख्यं वा 90 म्ळत.शाखां परिवास्य पू.मी. ४-२-३ 33 य आत्मनि तिष्ठन् बृह् माध्य ५-७-२२ ४२ यदादिखगतं तेजो गी, १५-१३ ۍ پی यदि तु ब्रह्मणः पू. मी. स्. १०-३-७२ 3 यद्येकं यूपं पू. सी. ९-३-३ 93 यथाधर्मेण बिन्ते महा.भा.शां.३३५-५ ६४ यस्मिन्नोब्णम 90 यस्यादिखो भां 48 यावतोऽश्वान् पू. मी. ११-४-११ 93 यावन्नविभ्रमाः ३२ यपो वै यहस्य पू. सी. ९-३-३ 93 ये चामुष्मात्पराश्चो लोकाः छा. १-६-८ ξξ योगिनः प्रतिस्मर्येते ब्र. सू. ४-२-२० 93 यो देवानां प्रथमं ४७ रमणीयचरणाः 31. 4-9 o-w ५३ रश्मिभरेषोऽस्मिन 98 4-4-2 48 पाणि. सू. ३-१-३४ **छ**पसद 38 लौकिके दोषसंयोगात पू. मी. ९-३-३ 93 वदतीति चेन्न बृ. स्. १-४-५ € € वायुश्चन्तरिश्चनैतद्मृतं <u>a</u> 8-3-3 ₹ • वासदेवात्संकर्षणो परमसंहिता 39 विज्ञानादिभावे वा ब.स. २-२-४१ 39 विप्रतिषेधा च ब्र. स. २-२-४२ 39 विशेषणाच ब्र. सू. १-२-१२ ও वैदिकी वैदिक्तवैन शासदी पिका 93 ब्यवहिताश्व पाणि, स्. १-४-८२ Ę शब्द देव प्रसितः ब्र. सू १-३-२३ ४९ सङ्गर्षणात् प्रद्युम्नसंज्ञं परमसंहिता 39 स तत्र पर्येति 到。 と-9マ-3 २३ सदैकरपरपाय ५५

પુ. संनिदग्धेषु वाक्यशेषात् पू.मी.१-४-२४ सप्त इमे लोकाः मुण्ड. २-१-८ ٤٩ सप्तगतेः ब्र.सू २-४-४ ξo समानाचार ति ब्र. सू. ४-२-७ समिधमानवतीं सिगध्यवतीं पू.सी.सू. 99 समं सर्वेषु भूतेषु गी. १३-२७ २७ स यदि पितृलोक छा. ८-२-१ 93 सर्वतः पाणिपादं गी. १३-१३ २८ सर्वनाम्रानुसन्धि काव्या स ५-१-११ 94 सर्वस्य चाहं गी. १५-१५ ४२ सर्वे प्रमाणं हि तथा महा, भा. शा. मो. ३४३ ४४ 33 सर्वेषु च नृपश्रेष्ठ महा, भा, शा मो. ३५९-६९ ३२ सह ब्रह्मणा विपश्चिता तै. आ. १-१ ३४ सह्यनादिर्नन्तश्च परमछंहिता 39 सांख्यं योगः पाश्वरात्रम् महा. भा. शां. मो. २५९-६४ 3 ₹ पाणि. स्. ७-१-३९, खपां सुछक्. ४२ स्क्रितवात्तद विश्वेयं गी. १३-१५ 20 स्क्मं तु तद्हीत्वात् ब्र. स. १-४-२ ६६ संयमनेत्वनु भूय **म**. सू. ३-१-१३ २० सःस्वर्गःस्यात् पू. मी. स् ४-३-१५ ٩ स्तर्गादिभ्यो यद्वक्तव्यः पाणि, स्. वा. 4-9-999 ٦ खर्गापवर्गमार्गा स्थां 9 खर्गापवर्गयो रेकं 9 खगैंपि पातभीतस्य वि. प. ६-५-५० 92 खस्तये तार्श्यम् ऋ वै. १०-१७८-१ 9 **हस्तादयस्तु** ब्र. सू. २-४-५ ٤9 हिरण्यगर्भ पश्यत क्वे. ४-१२ 80 हृद्यपेक्षमा त ब्र. सु. १-३-२४ ४९

#### HARIH OM

### KATHOPANISAD

### I. 1.

Usan ha vai Vājasravasah sarvavedasam dadau | tasya ha Naciketā nāma putra āsa ||

Once, the son of Vājaśravas, desiring (some fruit) gave away all his possessions. He had a son named Naciketas.

#### COMMENTARY:

- 1. Let my anjali go to the Beauty of Anjanadri, of the colour of common flax(atasi)-flower-bunch, with His chest adorned by Śri.
- 2. Bowing to Vyāsa, Rāmānuja and other teachers, I shall write this comment, according to my intelligence, on the Kaṭhopaniṣad, for the delight of the learned.

usan: Desirous. The word comes from the root vasa meaning desire with the suffix satr, which gets samprasārana (Pānini I. i. 45) as enjoined in the sūtra beginning with grahijya (Pānini. VI. i. 16).

ha vai: These two are particles, (used to) indicate things that have transpired. 'The fruit' is to be supplied.

 $v\bar{a}ja\dot{s}ravasa\dot{n}$ : son of Vājaśravas. Vājaśravas is one who has attained fame through gifts of food  $(v\bar{a}ja)$ . His son is Vājaśravasa $\dot{n}$ . Or it may be a name, merely, Vajaśravas.

The meaning is that the sage indeed performing the sacrifice called Viśvajit (conqueror of the world)<sup>3</sup> in which every

- 1. Beauty of Anjanadii 18 Śri Venkateśvara.
- 2. of Sri Krishna Piem Yoga of the Kathopanisad p. 14 . meaning of Vājaśravasa.
- 3. The Viśvajit-sacrifice was performed by Mahābali when Vāmana came and asked for three paces of ground symbolically the earth, Heaven and Self, thus completing the sacrifice fully, Vāmana is stated to be the subject dealt with by this Upaniṣad. cf. Viṣṇusahaṣranāma . viśvam (1).

possession is to be offered as fees for performance  $(daksin\bar{a})$  (to Rtviks), gave away in that sacrifice all his possessions. By the word 'uśan' is indicated that the sacrifice, being one that is performed for getting some fruit, the fees, must necessarily be in every way correct (and proper).

 $\bar{a}sa:babh\bar{u}va:$  there was. Here  $(bh\bar{u})$  has not replaced the root 'as' as in 'svasthaye  $t\bar{a}rksyam$ ' (for the well-being, the Garuḍa), since lit (Perfect tense) is a  $s\bar{a}rvadh\bar{a}tuka$  ( $P\bar{a}nini$  III 4. 113) as enjoined in Chandasy  $ubhayath\bar{a}$ .

#### I. 2.

tam ha kumāram santam dakṣināsu nīyamānāsu śraddhāviveśa somanyata.

While the fees were being distributed, Śraddha overtook him, who was still young. He reflected thus:

### COMMENTARY:

tam: Him, Naciketas

kumāram santam: who was still young1

dakṣināsu niyamānāsu: at the time of distribution of fees, namely, cows to the rtviks (the officiating priests)

śraddhā: devotional mentality due to his wishing well for his father (pitur-hita-kāma-prayuktā)

āviveśā: overtook.

Even though the thing which helps in procuring consent (to officiate as priests) is called fee(s) (dakṣiṇā), and the consent is (given) only once in a sacrifice, since (the word fees) gains its significance on account of that consent, therefore the word fees (dakṣiṇā) has to be only a singular number; wherefore (ata eva) it is stated by Jaimini (Mīmāmsā-sūtra X. iii. 56) "Omilch cows in the passage cow is the fee of it" (tasya dhenūr iti gavām), that in the passage 'the milch cow is its fee' read in

- 1. cf. Krishna Prem "boy as he was."
- 2. Krishna Prem translates it as Faith, but it is not "blind faith' accompanied by hidden doubt" It is true faith or "fair faith," which is a form of Knowledge.

the context of the one-day sacrifice called bhū, all the fees, the cows (112), the horse, & etc., belonging to the original sacrifice (brakrtasya) are to be substituted by it (dhenu), this word fee vere signifies some wealth (bhrti). And it is used with referone to action as in "In this action this is the wage". It is also used with reference to the agent (kartr) as in "In this action, this is the wage to this person (agent)." Since therefore the fees are many on account of the officiating priests being many, the plural 'fees' (daksināsu) is proper. Therefore, it is said in the adhikarana in Chapter X beginning with the sutra "If the gift is to the brahman (priest) the fee (to the Rk priest) will be less by it, with the modification the fee will be the same" that, if the passage occuring in the sacrifice called Rtapeya "The fee is the Soma-vessel made of Udumbara (fig-wood)," it must be given to the Brahman-priest. who is filendly and of the same gotra," is to be one single sentence (which it is not), then, the Brahman-priest's share alone is to be substituted, since the word 'daksina' could signify it with its primary significance without any resort to the secondary significance (laksanā) in respect of the portion.

Now therefore it can be seen that even though the fee in reference to this (Viśvajit) sacrifice be one, there may be differences in the fees in reference to the *rtviks*, and as such, there is no impropriety in the (use of the) plural 'fees'

### I. 1. 3.

pītodakā jagdha-trnā dugdha-dohā nirindriyāh | anandā nāma te lokās tān sa gacchati tā dadat ||

He who gives these (cows) by which the water has been drunk, the grass has been eaten, the milk has been given (and) which have become barren, goes to those worlds that are well-known as delightless.

### COMMENTARY .

The manner of this devotional mentality (on the part of Naciketa.) is thus shown

<sup>1,</sup> Yadı tu brāhmanāh tad, mama tad-vikārasyāt Jaimini. P M S X, ili. 72.

pitodakāh: Those (cows) by which (last) water has been drunk'

jagdhatrnāh: Those by which (last) grass has been eaten dugdhatohāh: Those from which (last) milk has been drawn

nirindriyāh: Incapable of future progeny, worn out. In other words, 'useless.' He who gives (the cows) of this nature to the officiating priests deeming them as dakṣiṇā (fees) (goes to delightless worlds).

 $anand\bar{a}$ : delightless. Are there not worlds known from scriptures which are delightless?

 $t\bar{a}n$ : to those (worlds)

sah: He, the sacrificer, goes to. Thus he (Naciketas) reflected: this is the meaning.

### I. i. 4.

sa hovāca pitaram tata kasmai mām dasyasīti dvityam tritīyam. Tam hovāca Mṛtyave tvā dadāmīti.

He spoke to his father: "Father, to whom will you give me," for the second (time), for the third (time). To him spoke (the father) thus: "To Death I shall give you"

### COMMENTARY:

sahovāca pitaram: The most faithful Naciketas who thought that the fees that are being given are defective (bad) and who wished to make the sacrificial performance of his father good, even through giving himself away (as fees), approached his father and said:

tata: O Father!

kasmai: to which Rtvik by way of sacrificial fee

 $m\bar{a}m:$  me

dāsyasi: will you give.

[sah:] He (Naciketas) not having been paid any attention to by his father though addressed by him thus,

1. The idea is that these cows had drunk water for the last time, eaten grass for the last time etc. and which therefore are dying, are absolutely useless as gifts, for gifts must be of good cows and not of worthless ones.

dvitīyam: for the second time, (and)

tṛtīyam api: for the third time also spoke to him "To whom will you give me?"

The father, pressed very much, became angry and told his son that he would give him away to Death.

### T. i. 5.

bahūnām emi prathamo bahūnām emi madhyamah | kim svid yamasya kartavyam yan mayādya karişyati ||

I go ahead of many, I go in the midst of many. What is that Death has to do, which he would have done by me?<sup>1</sup>

### COMMENTARY:

Though thus spoken to (Naciketas) without fear or sorrow told his father thus: Of all those who go to the abode of Death I shall be either in the forefront or in the middle but never at the end. The intention is "I am not at all worried about going to the abode of Death." (If it be asked) what is it that (you are worried) about? he replies:

himsvid: What purpose has Death got which He is going to have done by me? Is there any advantage with one so tender as me to Death of fulfilled desires, where the gift of me to Him will be of some use, as (the gift of me) to Rtviks (will be). Therefore it is about this alone that I am worried. This is the intention.

#### I. i. 6.

anupasya yathā pūrve pratipasya tathā pare | sasyam iva martyah pacyate sasyam ivā jāyate punah ||

Reflect on how the ancestors (were); ponder how the others (present ones are). Man ripens like corn; he is again born.

### COMMENTARY:

(Naciketas) looking at the father of remorseful heart who thought on hearing these words of such a son, who was free

1. Krishna, Prem: "What is the task that Yama, Lord of Death, will accomplish on me today ?"......

from any fear or anger, "I said, I give you to Death 'out of anger' but I do not wish to give away such a son to Death," said:

pūrve: grandfather and others, as they were without any false speech; so also,

apare: the good men that are now even after them: in the same manner must you behave. This is the meaning.

sasyam iva: like coru

martyah: the man becomes like corn worn out in a short while and, becoming worn out, dies, and like corn is he born again. In this mortal world which is transitory, what is the use of uttering falsehood. Keeping to truth send me to Death. This is the meaning.

### I. i. 7.

vaisvanarah pravisaty atithir brahmano grhan l tasyaitam santim kurvanti hara Vaivasvatodakam ||

The fire, the brahman-guest, enters the house. To him (they) this appearement make. O son of the Sun! take water (unto him, Naciketas).

#### COMMENTARY:

That son (Naciketas), having been thus sent away, stayed at the gates of Death who was away, eating nothing for three nights. Then an old man at the gates (of Death's abode) told Death (Yama), who returned after having been away, thus:

Verily the God of Fire himself in the form of Brāhmanguest enters the house. To that fire good men perform this appeasement of the form of water for feet-cleansing and offering of seat, so that they may not be burnt by their disrespect to him. Therefore, O Vaivasvata! bring to Naciketas water for feet-cleansing.

hara means ahara: bring. This is the meaning.
I. i. 8.

āśāpratikse samgatam sūnrtāñ ca
istāpūrte putrapasūms ca sarvān
etad vrnkte purusus vi pamedhaso
yasyānusmus vusum brūkmaso yrks!

Hope and expectations, contact (with good men), the true and kind words, sacrificial good deeds, beneficence, the sons and cattle of the unintelligent person at whose house a brāhman dwells starving, this (sin) destroys.<sup>1</sup>

#### COMMENTARY:

And they showed that failing to do it (honouring the guest) results in sinfulness (pratyavāya).

yasya alpamedhasah purusasya grhe: In the house of which unintelligent person

anasnan: starving Brāhmaṇaḥ: guest vasati: dwells tasya: of him

 $\bar{a} s \bar{a} prat \bar{i} k s e$ : desire and will; or else,  $\bar{a} s \bar{a}$  means desire for the unaccomplished, and  $prat \bar{i} k s \bar{a}$ , desire to get things which are existing.

samgatam: contact with the good

sunrtam: speech that is true and pleasant

iṣṭāpūrte: iṣṭa means sacrifice and others and pūrta means construction of tanks etc.

putrān paśūmś ca: sons and cattle etad: the sin of the form of starving

vinkte: deprives, destroys is the meaning: from vrji varjane: to deprive. (VIII conjugation śnam) or else this is a form from the root vrja varjane (II conjugation) which omits the conjugational sign śah.)

#### I. i. 9.

tisro rātrīr yadavātsīr gṛhe me
'naśnan brahman natithir namasyaḥ |
namas te'stu brahman svasti me
'stu tasmāt þrati trīn varān vṛnīṣva' ||

1. This translation is in accordance with the commentary. But the instrument to be capable of another interpretation also.—"Hope and expectations etc. etc., (all) this of the unintelligent person at whose house a braining starving, the (starving brahmen) destroys."

O Biahman! since you, the respectful guest, have stayed without food in my house for three nights, let my salutations be to you, O Brahman! Let there be well-being to me In retuin, therefore, choose three boons

### COMMENTARY

Thus addressed by the elders, Death said to Naciketas me grhe in my house, O Biahman fit to be revered, thou, the guest have spent three nights without food. This is the meaning

namas te the meaning is clear tasmāt. therefore, for this reason

me: to me

svastı well-being, so that I may be well trīn varān prati three boons in return vrnīsva choose

Even though you are desireless, you should choose three boons corresponding to the three nights of fasting to favour me This is the meaning

I 1 10

śāntasamkalpah sumanā yathā syād
vītamanyu: Gautamo mābhi mrtyo |
tvatprasrstam mā'bhivadet pratītah
etat trayānām prathamam varam vrne ||

O Death! (please make) Gautama (my father) free from all anxieties, well-disposed, free from anger to wards me, (and) well pleased let him speak to me, sent back by you This is the first of the three boons I elect

#### COMMENTARY.

Thus being requested, Naciketas said

Mrtvo · O Death!

santasankalpah free from anxiety of the form "having reached Death, what will my son do?"

sumanāh with his mind settled in peace mābhi: towards me.

Gautamah: Gautama, my father vitamanyuh: freed from anger (roṣa)

vathā syāt: (make him) so as to be thus.

And

tvat prasṛṣṭam: sent back (home) by you

mābhi: towards me

pratītaḥ: pleased as before

vadet: would speak.

Or else

abhi vadēt: will bestow (on me) blessings, since the abhivādana is used to signify the giving of blessings in Śmṛti-texts such as abhivadata, nābhivādayate

etad...: the meaning is clear.

I. i. 11.

yathā purastād bhavitā pratītaḥ
Auddālakir Āruņir mat prasṛsṭaḥ \
sukham rātrīḥ śayitā vītamanyus
tvām dadrśivān ¹mrtyumukhāt pramuktam ||

Son of Uddālaka of Aruṇa-family, influenced by me will be well-disposed (towards you) as before. On seeing you released from the mouth of Death, free from anger. he will happily sleep in the nights.

### COMMENTARY:

Asked thus Death replied: he will become as before well-disposed towards you. Auddālaki is to be taken as Uddālaka; Āruņi means son of Aruņa. Or else he is a descendent of the two families, or else the son of Uddālaka and of the family of Aruņa<sup>2</sup>

mat prasistah: influenced by me or as favoured by me, the meaning is 'due to my blessing.'

sukham: Having become free from anger in respect of you, he will sleep happily in the future nights. Lut (1st Future) signifies that he will get (thereafter) good sleep.

- 1. darśivān: Nir. Sa. Ed.
- 2. Dvyāmusyāyana means the son of a lady who was given in marriage to an individual on the condition that her issue is to be deemed to be the son of the giver (of her). (Anandagiri's Commentary).

You of such nature, O Death, knowest the Agni leading to Svarga Teach it to me who have much faith. Those who exist in Svarga-world attain immortality. This is the second boon I choose.

### COMMENTARY:

sa tvam: You whose omniscience is well-known in Purāṇa, You know the fire which is helpful in the attainment of Svarga.

yat (ya) is the suffix signifying prayojana (utility) according to the passage "yat comes as suffix after Svarga and others" (Gaṇapātha Pāṇini V. i. 111). It will be clear later on that the utility of Agni of the form of fire-altar in attaining Svarga is through meditation.

śraddudhānāya · to one who has ardent desire for liberation. What is that which you will get attaining the Svargaworld? The reply is:

Svargalokāḥ (amṛtatvam): those whose world is Svarga; this means those who have attained the supreme world, since the immortality which is called liberation (mokṣa) which is of the form of the manifestation (or revealment) of (ones) own nature comes only after the attainment of the Brahman in that particular place (here called Svarga) as in the passage "Having attained the Supreme Light one stands revealed with one's own nature."

etad ... This is clear.

### I i. 14.

pra te bravīmi tad u me nibodha Svargyam agnim Naciketah prajānan \ anantalokāptim atho pratisthām viddhi tvam enan nihitam guhāyām |

I shall explain to you fully: know that of me, O Naciketas! Knowing that fire which leads to Svarga (one gets) the attainment of the world of the infinite (Viṣṇu) and permanence, Know thou this (fire) placed in the cave.

### COMMENTARY:

Thus spoken to, Death speaks:

pra te bravīmi: I shall tell you who have requested. The use of the particle pra separated from the toot is in accordance with Pāṇini Sūtra: 'They can be used separately from roots' (I. iv. 82).

me: From me: from my teaching do you know This is the meaning. The goal of knowledge is now made known.

anantalokāptim: the attainment of the world of the Infinite Viṣṇu, because of the subsequent passage (I. iii. 9) "That is Viṣṇu's Supreme Abode"

atho: After the attainment of that

pratisthām: Non-return also. '()ne gets' is to be supplied. Thinking that Naciketas may have a doubt as to how that knowledge could have such a power (Death) says:

viddhi: Know This nature of knowledge that is the cause of liberation due to its being a subsidiary to the meditation on Brahman placed in the cave, others do not know. (Therefore) do you know it, is the idea.

Or else, the relation of cause and effect is determined when it is said "Knowing Agni do thou get attainment of the world of the Infinite and Permanence" since the root vid meaning 'to know,' could mean 'to get.' The suffix (satr) in prajānan is in accordance with Pānini (III. 2.126) "The suffixes satr and sānac come after the root whose meaning signifies or is the cause of another action."

I. i. 5.

lokādim agnim tam uvāca tasmai yā iṣṭakā yāvatīr vā yathā vā | sa cāpi tat pratyavadad yathoktam arthasya Mṛṭyuḥ punarevāhatuṣṭah ||¹

To him, Death taught that fire, the means of (attaining) the world (Svarga). (He also taught him)

1. Prem tushtim, satisfied.

which and how many are the bricks and how (they are to be arranged). He (Naciketas) too repeated it as it was taught. Then pleased with (his) ability Death spoke again

### COMMENTARY.

Then the Veda speaks

lokasya ādim means to the world, the meaning is the same as Svargya (leading to Svarga).

tam Agaim uvāca taught that fire The meaning is that he taught him all this, the bricks, of what nature, of what number and the manner in which they have to be piled The assimilation in yāvatī (yāvatī as yāvatyah must be the proper form) is due to the exception in Vedic grammar

sa cāpı He too: and Nacıketas too

tat all that he heard exactly repeated, this is the meaning arthasya ca. Death pleased on seeing the ability to grasp (the instruction given) of his disciple spoke again

### I. i 16

tam abravīt prīyamāno mahātmā
taram tavehādya dadāmī bhūyah (
tavarva nāmnā bhavītāyam agnīh
srnkām cemām anekarūbām grhāna (

The Mahātman (Death) being pleased told him. Now I give you here one more boon. This Fire verily shall be known by your name. Also take this resonant necklace of many hues

#### COMMENTARY.

High-minded Death well pleased told Naciketas thus "I shall grant you a fourth boon.' What it is he explains.

tavaiva This fire I have been teaching you will be known by the name of Nācıketa.

vicitram srnkam many-colouied resonant necklace of precious stones. This do you accept is the meaning.

1 Kiishin Prem gives a very interesting occult interpretation of this Garland of many forms in his work

### I. i. 17.

trināciketas tribhir etya sandhim trikarmakrt tarati janma-mṛtyu | brahmajajñam devam īdyam viditvā nicāyyemām śāntim atyantam eti ||

One learning these three anuvākas dealing with Nāciketa and performing three actions, attaining contact (with brahmopāsana) with the three, (by means of it) crosses over birth and death. Knowing and realising the soul born-of-Brahman and conscient as the worthy Lord, one attains thorough peace for ever.

#### COMMENTARY.

Again He (Death) refers to Karma:

trināciketaḥ: One leanning the three anuvākas (Tait. Brāh. III. xi. 7, 8 & 9) beginning with "ayam vāva yaḥ þavate" This verily which blows (is the Fire-Naciketas).

trikarmakrt: One that does the actions of sacrificing and learning and giving, or else one who performs the pāka-yajña (seven domestic sacrifices), havir-yajña (corn-sacrifices) and somayajña (soma-sacrifices)

tribhir: with the fires thrice performed (constructed), sandhim: contact with meditation on the Supreme Self etya: attaining

janma-mṛtyu tarati: Crosses over birth and death, This is the meaning. Since this has to have the same sense as the passage karoti tad yena punar na jāyate occuring in the next mantra(d), and since this mantia has been interpreted in this manner by Vyasārya (author of Śruta-Prakāśika)¹ under the Vedānta Sūtra: I. iv. 6 "trayānām eva caivam—and of three only there is this mention and question."

Thereafter he speaks of the meditation upon the Supreme Self which is the principal (angi) mentioned in the first half of this verse tribhir etya sandhim.

1. Commentary on the Śrī Bhāsya of Śrī Rāmānuja.

This mantra has been explained in the Bhāsya under the Sūtra (I. ii. 12) "Because of the specification" as follows:—Brahmajajna is soul, since he is born of Brahman and is conscient, knowing Him as the worthy Divine. The meaning is "Knowing the soul who meditates as that which has Brahman as its self."

deva: What is meant by the Bhāṣya is this: "The significance of the word deva goes up to one who has the Supreme Self as one's self, since the word deva signifies the Supreme Self and since identity cannot be between the soul and the Supreme.

nicāyya: Realizing one's own self as one whose self is Brahman.

imam: this is mentioned in the earlier part of this mantra as trikarmakṛt tarati.

śāntim: means the abolition of the miseries of the form of samsāra (the cycle of births and deaths).

## I. i. 18.

trinaciketas trayam etad viditva ya evam vidvāns cinute Nāciketam | sa mṛṭyupāṣān puratah praṇodya śokātigo modate Svargaloke

He who, having mastered the performance of the three sections dealing with the Nāciketa (fire) and knowning in this manner, performs the piling of the Nāciketa-altar after knowing the three, that person, even prior to casting away the fetters of death, transcending sorrow, enjoys in the celestial world.

#### COMMENTARY.

trināciketa: has already been explained.

- I, Krishna Prem discusses the views of Śańkara and Madhwa and inclines to the view that Madhwa's view is more revealing, as *Krama mukti* is true of the occult development.
- 2. Sri Śankara considers this to be Father, Mother and Guru: Premidentifies them as Atma-Buddhi-Manas.

trayam etad: The nature of Biahman and the nature of the soul having the former as its self mentioned in the mantra brahmajajñam devam idyam: (I i. 17) and the nature of the fire (altai) mentioned in the passage "Tribhir etya saindhim" (ibid.)

viditvā: having known through the instruction of gurus or from sacrifices

evam vidvān: The knower who with this knowledge of these three, constructs the Nāciketa-fire-altar

sah: That person (casting away) the Death's ferters of the form of attachment and hatted etc.,

puratali: even prior to leaving the body

pranodya: Casting away. The meaning is being free from the attachment and hatred even while living

sokātigah: transcending sorrow; this has been already commented upon.

### I. i. 18b.

This mantra is not in other texts, and is special to Rāmānuja's Bhāṣya ou the Upanisads.

yo vā' pyetām brahmajajītātmabhūtam citim viditvā cinute Nāciketam | sā eva bhūtvā brahmajajītmabhutaḥ karoti tad yena punar na jāyate a

Whoever knowing this piling up which is the self-born of Brahman and sentient constructs Nāciketa (fire-altar), that very person becoming the born of Brahman and sentient, does that by which (he) is not born again.

yah: Whoever, knowing this piling up, knowing this to be of the form of his self, constructs the fire-altar-Naciketa with Brahman as his Self,

sa eva: that same person,

brahmajajñātmabhūtah bhūtva: Having the knowledge of his own self with Brahman as his Self, performs that  $\Xi$  editation of God which is the means of non-rebirth.

Therefore the intention is that what was mentioned in the previous mantia "tribhin etva samdhim trikarmakrt tarati janmamrtyu. One leaining these three anuvākas dealing with Nāciketa and performing three actions attaining contact (with Brahmopāsana) the three by means of it crosses over birth and death," as the means of liberation through the meditation upon the Lord, is the piling up of the fire-altar preceded by the knowledge of his own self having God as his soul and not anything else.

This mainta is not seen in some texts and was not commented upon by some. Since however, this is commented upon by such most reliable (authorities) as  $Vy\bar{a}s\bar{a}rya$  (author of the  $\acute{S}rutapiak\bar{a}\acute{s}ika$ ) and others, the doubt as to its being an interpolation is not proper

### I. 1 19

esa te'gnir Naciketah svargyah yam uvinīthā dvitīyena varena † etam agnim tavaiva piavaksyanti janāsah tritīyam vaiam Naciketo vrnīsva †

This is the fire, O Naciketas! that leads to heaven which you chose as your second boon. People will call this fire as yours alone O Naciketas! choose a third boon

esa This etc: 'has been taught' has to be supplied. yam which the meaning is clear And besides people will call this Agni by your name alone.

tritiyam varam. third boon . the meaning is clear

If it be asked as to what authority there is for taking the word sverga frequently used in this context, to mean liberation (or the abode of the liberated, we

1 Madhva considers this to be the highest abode of Brahman and it is after attaining this which is a it were immortal or eternal, one goes to Mukti, Liberation. The second book thus refers to the created world on Brahman whereas the third refers to the highest Bliss of Sri Kiishna Prem 1b d. p. 36 ff

state thus: There is no room for any doubt (in this matter since the Bhasyakara (Ramanuja) himself has explicitly and impliedly explained with reference to the mantra containing the words svargyam and agnim (Katha. I. i. 13) as follows " It is the (abode of) liberation which is the highest end, that is meant by the word svargya here, because the text "Those that live in svarga attain immortality" (Katha. I. i. 13) states that one who is there has neither birth nor death: because the reply is "One learning the three anuvākas dealing with Nāciketa and performing three actions, attaining contact with the three, by means of it crosses over death and birth" (Katha. I. i. 17), and because it cannot be that Naciketas whose face is turned away from perishable ends can ask for the means for attaining a svarga that is transitory, as he scorns at the transitory ends when asking for the third been; and because the liberation of the form of the unbounded bliss can be meant by the 'svarga' signifying the supreme Happiness

(Pūrvapaksa) Objection: If it be asked: The word 'svarga' is repeated four times in the two mantras concerning the second boon (Katha. I. 1.12 and 13). If it means (the abode of) liberation, is it true through primary significance or through secondary significance? The first (alternative) is not acceptable, because the svarga which is well-known in the Vedic and worldly usage means the opposite of liberation (apavarga) in such passages as "Through the two paths of svarga and apavarga..."; "One of the two, svarga and abavarga, ". " Neither the svarga nor the non-birth"... and "It may be svarga, since it is common to all (to be desired)" P.M.S. IV. 3.15), and because, according to the (Paurānic) passage1 "the distance of fourteen lakhs (of miles) between ' the Sun and the Pole Star is spoken of by those who have studied the arrangement of the worlds as the svarga," the word 'svarga' can signify that particular space that lies between the Sun and the Pole star and to that alone the usages of the Vedic and worldly usages have reference; and that is

I. Śrī Bhāsya: I. iv. 6.

not the abode of liberation. Nor is the second alternative that is (that it means that) through secondary significance acceptable, because the primary significance has nothing to contradict it. If there can be anything to contradict it, what is it? (i) is it the mention of the absence of old age, death, the attainment of immortality etc., stated in the text of the question (praśna-vākya) or (ii) (is it) the crossing over old age etc., contained in the reply or (iii) (is it) the transitory 'svarga' that cannot be asked by Naciketas who is indifferent to all worldly desires?

Not the first (alternative), because the word 'svarga' which precedes (the word amrta) in the sentence which cannot be explained otherwise and signifies the principal (visesya) of the sentence, cannot be interpreted according to the word 'amrta' (immortal) which is well-known in Vedic and worldly usages as signifying relative immortality and which stands at the concluding part of the passage; because in the Puranic contexts explaining the nature of the svarga, it is seen that those that dwell in the world of svarga are free from old age, death, hunger, thirst, sorrow etc.; because there is the Smrti (passage) "Immortality is spoken of as existence till deluge (pralaya)"; because in this Upanisad itself the word 'immortal' is used in respect of Death in the passage "Having approached those that do not grow old and that are immortal" (K.U. I. i. 28); and because the statement (passage) that "they whose world is svarga attain immortality" can be explained as stated in (the passages) "These in the world of Brahman at the time of final departure." (Tait. Nar.) and "Those that live in the world of svarga can attain immortality through meditation upon Brahman " (Mund. U. 111. ii. 6).

Not the second (alternative), because the mantra  $Trin\bar{a}ciheta$ .'.....(K. U. I. i. 17) may be taken to mean that the fire ( $N\bar{a}ciheta$ ) that leads to svarga (Heaven) performed thrice is the means to the Brahmen-Knowledge that helps the crossing over birth and death, and thus it need not be in contradiction

with the primary meaning of the word 'svarga.' For the same reason, the passage (K. U. I i. 18d) which has the same meaning as the passage already stated, does not contradict the primary sense of the word svarga.

Nor the third alternative, according to which it is stated that it is impossible for Naciketas who is indifferent to any other goal, to ask for the transitory svarga. Yama (Death), the beneficent, replying to the question regarding the fire that leads to svarga, introduced the topic on the nature of liberation, though not asked for (by Naciketas) in the passages "The attainment of the world of the Infinite (K.U. I. i. 14c) and "One, learning the three anuvakas dealing with Naciketa and performing the three actions, attaining contact with the three, by means of it crosses over birth and death" (K.U. I. i. 17ab), which created in Naciketas the desire to get freed (liberated). Thus he was made stronger by Yama's reluctance to teach (as in the passage) anyain varain Naciketo vrnīsva" "O Naciketas! ask for a different boon" (K U. I. i. 21c). How can his (Naciketas's) scorn at the transitory ends made at that stage contradict his earlier prayer for svarga? Besides, it is seen in the passages śvobhāvā martyasya yad Antakaıtat sarvendriyanam jarayanti tejah | api sarvam jīvitam alpam eva tavaiva vāhās tava nrtvagīte | (K.U. I. i. 26) "O Death! (all those enjoyable things) of man will be non-existent to-morrow. These will wear out whatever power these senseorgans have. All the life is but brief. Let the conveyances, dance and music remain only for thee" and others, that the human enjoyment alone is scorned at, and svarga is not spoken of derisively. If the word 'svarga' refers to liberation (abode of liberation) it cannot be the result of fire (of Naciketas), it being the result of knowledge alone. The word 'svarga' repeatedly used at the beginning, the middle and the end will get a strained meaning.

Or let there be contradiction in the reply. Still the word 'svarga' that is found in the question-passage that precedes (the reply), is strong enough according to the principle of

Upakramādhikaraņa (PMS. III. 3.1-7). Nor can it be said that "for the sake of many" the few found in the beginning are to be rejected according to the principle enunciated in the Sūtra "Those of the larger number must have their common subsidiaries." (PMS. XII ii. 2); because in the Sūtra (PMS. XII. ii. 23) it is said that "that alone which is first" is stronger than those at the end, though they are larger in number. Therefore thereis no reason at all for over-riding the primary sense of the word svarga.

Siddhānta: The reply (to the above objection) is as follows: The word svarga signifies liberation (abode of liberation) only through its primary significance. The Mīmāmsakas have stated in the Svargakāmādhikarana (PUS VI. i. 1) that the word svarga according to the principle Nāgṛhūta-v seṣananyāya (that the cognition does not grasp the principal without grasping the attributes), signifies only delight (prīti) and not the substance that grants delight (prīti-viśiṣṭa-dravya).

Further they raise the issue that though it is true that the word svarga signifies delight according to the principle above-mentioned it is yet to be established that it signifies that delight which is enjoyable in another body at another place. It cannot be said that the supplementary passage "That in which there is no heat etc.," leads to the conclusion that the word svarga used in the injuncting texts, signifies the particular kind of delight, because here there is no room for the principle "That which is doubtful is to be determined with the help of the rest of the passage" (PMS. I. iv. 25), since there is no doubt here as to the significance which has been already determined as having reference to delight in general (pritimātra) to which issue they (themselves) reply as follows:

"No doubt it is true the word svarga has its significance determined according to common usage itself. If, however, the word svarga is taken to mean the limited delight known to us, then the sacrifices such as Jyotistoma will have to be means to that (limited) delight. Consequently, the

injunction regarding Jyotistoma and other sacrifices will become impotent on account of there being no intelligent person to perform these sacrifices which are full of hindrances and requiring much money, men and labour, when there are easier worldly means to achieve it, requiring comparatively little money, men and labour. When accordingly the significance of the word svarga is determined only as having reference to the unlimited delight which is mentioned in the supplementary passage the meaning is the same even in places where there is no such supplementary passage as in the case of the words "Wheat, pig, etc." There is no need to accept any other significance regarding the worldly limited delight, since secondary significance alone is sufficient.

Nor can it be stated that the word svarga may have its significance only in respect of delight in general, while yet in the Veda it may mean the unlimited delight; because the part of the meaning (limitless) is not known otherwise, and therefore the power of signifying has to include that part also necessarily, and this will lead to accepting the word as having two meanings viz. (i) in the worldly usage and (ii) in the Vedic usage. When, however, the significance is in respect of the limitless delight known through the Vedic usage, then the worldly limited delight is indicated through secondary significance on account of its also having the common nature of being delight.

Since thus the Mimāmsakas have established that the word svarga means the unlimited delight alone, it is not reasonable to question the equation of svarga with liberation (abode of liberation). Just as the word 'Pārtha' is (not) frequently used in respect of other sons of Kunti as in respect of Arjuna and yet signifies others also through its primary significance, so also, though the word svarga is not frequently used to denote anything other than the delight obtainable in the world lying between the Sun and the Pole Star, yet it does not lose its primary signifying power to signify that thing (i.e. abode of liberation).

The words barhis, ājya and others, though they are not used by Āryas in respect of unpurified grass, ghee and others, yet they retain their primary significance in respect of them, because their non-usage by some (persons) cannot establish the absence of their signifying power. Therefore it is established in the Barhirājyāahikarana (PMS. I. 4.10) that the words barhis etc., have their signifying power in respect of the genus, trṇatva, grassness. This is stated in the Vārtika.¹ "In respect of a word which is seen at some places at least determined in its genus-sense, there is no reason to postulate¹ any other condition (nimitta), that word not losing that condition (i.e., genus-sense)."

23

Therefore the word svarga is verily a common general term denoting liberation also.

Pūrvapaksa (Objection): If it be asked: though the words barhis, ajva and others are not used by Aryas so as to indicate unpurified grass, ghee and others, still they may have their signifying power in respect of the unpurified things also, since there is such a use by non-Aryas. But in the case of svarga, its signifying power is to be accepted so as to exclude anything other than that delight that is obtainable in the world lying between the Sun and the Pole star, since the word is never used to signify anything other than that. Otherwise' the conclusion arrived at in the Prodgatradhikarana (PMS, III. v. 23-26) is that the word Udgātr nominally means the particular rtvik who is the head of the four rtviks officiating as Samansingers, since it is never used in respect of any other of the group. But that particular rtvik being only one, the plural in the mantra "Let the Hotr's cup come forward, also the Brahman's · cup, the Udgātr's cup. the Yajamāna's cup, and the sadasya's cup" is inconsistent. Therefore we have to assume for it a secondary significance based upon the nominal significance, so

<sup>1.</sup> Kumārila's Tantra-Vārtīka (p. 343 Poona ed.)

<sup>2</sup> Sat. B. J. IV. 2.1.29 & Apastamba Sr. S. XII. 23.13 cf. The text here of this sloka has the variant gamika instead of the word kalpana in the Vārtika text.

as to make the word to mean the three in the group excluding Subrahmanya, or including Him, all the four of the group. Further in the Ahinādhikarana. (PMS. III. iii. 15-16) it is: stated that the number twelve relating to Upaşad-sacrifices enjoined in the sentence "Twelve for the Ahina" which occurs in the context of Jyotistoma, is however to be taken away to a particular group of sacrifices to be performed for many days (ahargana-viśesa) because the word ahina is to be etymologically explained as having been formed according to Vartika under Panini IV. 2.43, with Kha-suffix after the base ahan and is to be taken as meaning through nominal significance (rūdhi) some particular group of sacrifices performed during a number of days, since it is not at all used in respect of Sattra sacrifices, and therefore it cannot refer to Jyotistoma, it not being an ahina (group of sacrifices performed in a number of days).

Further though the word 'dhayiya' is formed according to Pānini (III. i. 129) and used to mean the rks called samidhāni (Rks used at the time of kindling the sacrificial fire), yet it does not mean the Samidhani Rks in general, nor does it mean according to etymological explanation, namely, that which is used (dhiyamana) in all the Rks that are used (in any rite), for it (the word) is not used in respect of the Rks that are utilized for praise with sāman and praise without sāman (stotra and śastra) as it is not used in respect of samidhāni Rks in general. But it means some particular rks such as "Those with the terms 'Prthu', 'Pāja' which are specially mentioned in Vedic passages" "The rks with Prthu and Paja are the dhāyiya ones". This is found in the Adhikarana having the sūtia (PMS V. iii. 3). All this will get contradicted if the word. svarga could have its primary significance (in respect of liberation or abode of liberation) as stated by you (the siddhantin). There would be no need to accept the words Udgātr etc., as having nominal significance in respect of a particular Rtvik and others.

### 1. Gangenath Jha's translation.

Reply (Siddhānta):—

True. If the word 'svarga' was never used in respect of anything other than the ordinary svarga (that is the place between the Sun and the Pole star), then it would be necessary to accept a nominal significance for it, so restricted as not to apply to it (liberation). But it is used to denote that (liberation) also. For it is found to be used in the following passages in the spiritual scriptures (adhyātma sāstreṣu such as the Taittirīya Āranyaka I. 27.3. Brhadāranyaka and Talavakāra

# Upanisads:-

- 1. In that city there is a world-sheath of golden colour surrounded by light. Whoever knows that city of Brahman surrounded by nectar (bliss), to him the Supreme Self and Brahman grant long life, fame and progeny.
- 2. "By it the wise, the knowers of Brahman, go up hence to the heavenly world, released1". (Brh. U., 4.48)
- 3. "He verily who knows it, thus striking off evil becomes established in the most excellent, endless heavenly world ... 2" (Kena U., IV. 9.)

The nominal significance (of the word 'svarga') postulated by the Paurāṇikas must be disregarded even like that of the word 'avyakta' postulated by the Sāmkhyas, since in the very context (of the Katha Up.) in the mantra (I. i. 18) the word 'svargaloka' which signifies the resultant of the combined jñāna and karma is explained even by others as meaning the 'abode called Vairāja,' which is distinct from the world lying between the Sun and the Pole star.

Now, it may be said "The principle of signification (i. e. being a world that is above the world of the Sun) being the same with regard to the place of  $Vair\bar{a}ja$ , it is not a secondary meaning. (It is a primary one)." (To this we reply), the world of Brahman (abode of liberation) being the one that is above is not other than the primary sense. The usage such as "By the two paths of svarga and apavarga (liberation)", can be

<sup>1.</sup> Hume's trans.

<sup>2.</sup> Hume's trans.

justified on the principle of  $Br\bar{a}hmana-parivr\bar{a}ja:a$  (i. e. the general term gets restricted on account of the particular).

Or else let it be a secondary meaning since there is something to (contradict) its primary sense. that contradicts it? Listen attentively. In the first of the question mantras "svarge loke na bhayam kiñcanāsti; there is no fear whatsoever in the world of svarga", there is mentioned the complete riddance of sin by the words 'There is no fear whatsoever'. The absence of such fear of the form 'when through what sin would I fall' indicated by the passage "There is no comfort even to one who is in svarga it being transitory and having the fear of fall always," is meant here. Indeed it will happen to one that is altogether free from sin. By the passages "There you are not" (K.U. I. i. 12b) and "One does not fear old age" are indicated freedom from old age and By the passage "Having crossed over freedom from death. thirst" (K.U I. i. 12 c) are mentioned both hunger and absence of hunger and absence of thirst. And so freedom from sorrow is mentioned by the word "sokātigah" getting beyond sorrow (K.U. I. i. 12d); by the words "One enjoys in the world of Svarga" (K.U. I. i. 12) are mentioned the nature of being one whose desires get always realized and whose volition is always true (satya). This is (also) mentioned in the scriptural passage "If he becomes desirous of the world of the fathers, verily through his volition there come his fathers (samuthistanti) (He) attaining that world of fathers gets glorified" (Ch. U. VIII, 2. 1.) Since therefore the manifestation of the eight Brahman-qualities (freedom from sin etc) taught in the scriptures is found here, it is not proper to hold (that the word svarga means) attainment of relative absence of death and birth available in the 'Svarga'-world of the Puranas.

For the same reason, in the Vidhyantādhikaraņa (of the seventh Chapter of Jaimini's Pūrva Mīmāmsā Sūtras, it is stated that vikṛtis (sacrifices that take subsidiaries from prakṛtisacrifices which have their own established subsidiaries), such

<sup>1.</sup> It is very similar to the Go-bahvarda nyāya.

as saurya (sacrifice enjoined in the passage: sauryam carum nirvap t brahmavarcasakāmah (PMS. VII. iv. I), which have no subsidiaries enjoined in their contexts, which therefore, need subsidiaries and only those (subsidiaries) that are Vedic and belong to Darśapūrnamāsa-sacrifices on account of there being the similarity of enjoinment by the three Vedas, the purpose of which is to enjoin actions to be done with the help of sacrificial fires (vaitanika). This is stated in the Śāstra Dīpika (of Pārthasārathi Miśra) thus: "The group of Vedic subsidiaries presents itself through similarity of being Vedic (to the vikṛtis) but the group of non-Vedic (laukiki) sacrifices being dissimilar cannot present itself".

It cannot be said: "Now for the recitation of formula (mantra) "Esa te Vāyo iti brūyāt: This is thine, O Vāyu!" which is enjoined by the passage" If one touches one sacrificial post, one should utter (the mantra) "This is thine O Vāyu!", the cause must be 'the touch of the post', that is made according to Vedic injunction, on account of the similarity of being Vedic. Now one cannot accept this view as this will refute the adhikarana of the ninth chapter beginning with the sūtra" (It is) in connection with ordinary (touch) since it is connected with sin (doṣa). .." (IX 3.9.),

(Reply): The recital enjoined as stated above in the passage "If one touches the sacrificial post he should say 'This is thine O, Vāyu!', is preceded by the prohibition 'Verily the sacrificial post puts on itself what went wrong during the sacrifice. Therefore the sacrificial post must not be touched.' Therefore the recitation enjoined must have reference to ordinary touch which is prohibited and requires expiation." Though thus there is no possibility of having anything to do with things that are Vedic, it is only reasonable to accept reference to things that are Vedic where there is nothing going against it.

For this very reason, it is concluded asvapratigrahesty-adhirarana that the sacrifice (isti) enjoined in the passage (Yajurveda Sam. Kṛṣṇa II. iii. 12) "As many horses as he accepts (as gifts), so many (oblations cooked on four pans)

(offerings) to Varuna should he offer" is on account of only the gift of horse during the performance of Vedic sacrifies and not in respect of gift of horses to friends out of love etc. which is forbidden by the passage "One should not present animals with manes - mā kesariņo dadāti", and so requires expiation. Similarly it has been said by others in the sūtra "The rules as to dying by day and so on in order not to return are given by Smrtis for Yogins only. And those two viz. Yoga and Sāmkhya are mere Smrti, not of scriptured character"2, that there is no recognition in Vedanta of what is enjoined in the Smrtis. Now therefore in the mantra beginning with "Svarge loke" it is only proper to take it to mean only the eight qualities of Brahman, voidness of sin etc., which are established by the mystic literature (adhyātma śāstra) Further, (i) since in the second question the attainment of immortality is mentioned thus "svargalokā amṛtatvam bhajante: those living in the world of svarga attain immortality," (ii) since the word amrtatva-" immortality" is used in the Upanisadic (adhyātma) literature, the word 'immortal' in the passage (K.U. I. i. 28) "Having gone to those who never grow old and are immortal," means only the freed (souls) and therefore could not mean 'relatively immortal' (beings) (iii) since later on in the passages "Therefore the Fire (altar) Nāciketa was piled by me. I have attained the eternal by means of the transitory things' (K.U. I. ii. 10); and "Let us be capable of meditating upon the fire that to which Nācıketa (altar) leads, which is the fearless shore for those who want to cross (the ocean of samsāra)" (K.U. I. iii. 2); and only the Brahman is said to be attained by means of the Nāciketa Fire-altar, the word 'svarga' cannot mean the ordinary svarga'.

<sup>1.</sup> PMS. III iv. 28 and 29. This is the translation that one can make but according to PMS III. iv. 31 the word 'pratigrhniyāt' in the passage means 'would give' rather than 'accept'. The oblations are to be offered by him who gives and not by him that receives or accepts. The above is the translation of MM Ganganatha Jha

<sup>2.</sup> The Vedanta Sūtra IV. ii. 21 Sankara Bhāsya.

Moreover it is not consistent on the part of Naciketas who is described as one who has turned away from everything other than Brahman- 'Naciketas would not choose anything but that "(K.U. I. i. 29)—to ask for this 'svarga' that lis transitory. Further it is firmly established by the Mimāmsakas in the Sūtra "Mukhyam va pūrvacodana lokavat; on the other hand, the first, by reason of the first command as in ordinary life" (PMS. XII ii. 25) that what is mentioned first is strong only where there is mutual contradiction between things that are equal in number, since the enjoinment (prayogavacana or prayoga-vidhi: does not permit non-performance of greater number of subsidiaries when it is possible to abandon only a smaller number of subsidiaries. Where therefore things that are mentioned at the end are of greater number the principle that is taught in the Sūtra "Bhūyasam syāt svadharmatvam" alone is to be accepted. And it is said in the Vedānta Sūtra (I i. 34) regarding the characteristics of the Soul, (jiva linga), mentioned in the text, that it is to be renounced on account of there being many more qualities pertaining to the Supreme Self which are mentioned in the text (of the Pratardana Vidya) at the end. "He verily makes one do the right act"; "This is the Over-Lord of the world"; "This is the protecter of the world"; "The bliss, the Unaging, the Immortal". Enough now of this lengthy exposition.

### I. i. 20.

yeyam prete vicikitsā manuşye 'stītyeke nāyam aslīti caike | etad vidyām anusistas tvayāham varānām esa varas trtīyah !!

The doubt which exists in respect of the mansome hold he is and others he is not—I wish to know this taught by you. This is the third of the boons.

#### COMMENTARY

Let me take up the subject. Naciketas says "Ye-yam prete..." What follows is stated by Bhagavan Ramanuja

touching this mantia in the adhikarana beginning with "The eater because of the mention of the mobile and the immobile' (Śrī Bhāṣya I. ii. 9). He writes1 "It is evident that his question is prompted by the desire to acquire knowledge of the true nature of the highest Self - which knowledge has the form of meditation on the highest Self-, and by means thereof knowledge of the true nature of final Release which consists in obtaing the highest Brahman. The passage, therefore, is not merely concerned with the problem as to the separation of the soul from the body, but rather with the problem of the Self freeing itself from all bondage whatever, the same problem, in fact, with which another scriptural passage also is concerned, viz "when he has departed there is no more knowledge" (Brh. Up. II. 4. 12).2 The full purport of Naciketas's question, therefore, is as follows: When a man qualified for Release has died and thus freed himself from all bondage, there arises a doubt as to his existence or non-existence—a doubt due to the disagreement of philosopher's as to the true nature of Release; in order to clear up this doubt I wish to learn from thee the true nature "of the state of Release." Philosophers, indeed, hold meny widely differing opi. ions as to what constitutes Release. Some hold that the Self is constituted by consciousness only, and that Release consists in the total destruction of this essential nature of the Self. Others, while holding the same opinion as to the nature of the Self, define Release as the passing away of Nescience (avidyā). Others hold that the Self is in itself non-sentient, like a stone, but possesses, in the state of bondage, certain distinctive qualities, such as knowledge and so on. Release then consists in the total removal of all these qualities, the Self remaining in a state of pure isolation (kaivalya). Others, again, who acknowledge a highest Self free from all imperfection,

<sup>1.</sup> The entire passage is quoted from  $Sr\bar{\imath}$   $Bh\bar{a}sya$  I. ii. 12. It is here extracted from Thibaut's translation of the  $Sr\bar{\imath}$   $Bh\bar{a}sya$  (pp. 270 - 271)

<sup>2</sup> Thibaut has wrongly translated the Brh. Up. quotation, for it should be translated visistadvaitically as 'There is no more confusion of the individual soul with its body "

maintain that through connexion with limiting adjuncts that Self enters on the condition of an individual soul. Release then means the pure existence of the highest self, consequent on the passing away of the limiting adjunct Those, however, who understand the Vedanta, teach as follows: There is a highest Brahman which is the sole cause of the entire universe. which is antagonistic to all evil, whose essential nature is infinite knowledge and blessedness, which comprises within itself numberless auspicious qualities of supieme excellence, which is different in nature from all other beings, and which constitutes the inner Self of all Of this Brahman, the individual soils—whose nature is unlimited knowledge, and whose only attribute is the intuition of the supreme Self-are modes in so fa, namely as they constitute its body. The true nature of these souls is, however, obscured by Nescience, ie the influence of the beginningless chain of works; and by Release then we have to understand that intuition of the highest Self, which is the natural state of the individual souls, and which follows on the destruction of Nescience When Naciketas desires Yama graciously to teach him the true nature of Kel ase and the means to attain it, Yama at first tests him by dwelling on the difficulty of comprehending Release, and by tempting him with various worldly enjoyments".

It is also stated under the  $S\bar{u}tra$  "And of three only there is this mention and question" (Ś. B. I. iv. 6) thus: "As his third boon he, in the form of a question referring to final release, actually enquires about three things, viz. the nature of the end to be reached, i.e. Release, the nature of him who wishes to reach that end, and the nature of the means to leach it, i.e. of meditation assisted by certain works."

And also in the Śrutaprakāśika, it is stated thus: "The question of the nature of liberation is expressly stated as contained in the question-passage "Yeyam." (K. U. I. i. 20).

The question relating to meditation and others is implicit in it from the manner of the answer given. If liberation is the

<sup>1</sup> p. 361 Thibaut.

attainment of a qualitiless state, the means to it would be the cognition of the sentence-meaning (vākyārtha). If the attainable is that possessing two characteristics (ubhayalingaka) the means would be the meditation of it as such. Therefore knowledge of the nature of liberation requires the knowledge of what is related to it."

Therefore the mantra "Yeyam prete..." has reference only to the question pertaining to the nature of the freed but not merely to the nature of the individual soul, who is the agent and enjoyer required for the performance of actions, which have results enjoyable in the other world, and (who is) distinct from its body. Otherwise it must be noted that the test of allurings, offers of provisions, manifold enjoyments and the showing that the object of his (Naciketas's) quest is extremely difficult to attain, will be foiled. Verily, what Naciketas means here is as follows: Having heard from good souls that the individual soul on departing from its last body, becomes as one manifest with eight qualities of freedom from sin, & etc, "I questioned (Yama) about the Fire leading to liberation by the two questions beginning with 'There is no fear at all in the world of Svarga'. But now owing to contradictory statements of the disputants there arises the doubt in respect of it. Some maintain there is that soul of the form of one that is free from sin which is described in the mantra "Svarge loke...". But there are others who assert "He is not"., Taught by vou I would know this." This is the interpretation with which the passage in the reply "having heard of this nature of this Self and well studied it the knower enjoys abandoning his body which is the result of his actions, attaining this subtlest (anumatra), and attaining his own nature with the eight characteristics of freedom from sin and etc." (K.U. I. ii. 13), is in full accord. The meaning therefore is the same as is given below.

But some say that it is seen "In the Vedānta Sūtra (III. ii. 4): It (the nature of the individual soul) is hidden on account of the Will of the Supreme. Bondage and its reverse are truly due to It", that the concealment subordinately

mentioned in the past participle (tirohitam-hidden) in the Sūtra is seen to be subsequently referred to in the next Sūtra (III. ii. 5) "Or it is through conjunction with its body", by the pronoun in the masculine gender. In the Vāmana's Sūtra (Yavyālankāra V. i. 11) "Reference by a pronoun to what is hidden in samāsa (compound) (is permissible)", the reference to that which is subordinately mentioned in words formed with Krt and Taddhita and other rrttis is accepted. Therefore let there be reference by the word' I am this' in the passage "Nāyam asti..." (K U. I. i. 20) to prāyaņa, liberation, subordinately indicated in the past participle 'pieta'. in the mantra Yeyam prete..." etc. It cannot be said that the raising of such a doubt as to whether there is liberation or not in respect of one who is liberated is self-contradictory, even as the doubt as to whether there is or is not eating in the man who has eaten, because we can accept that there is liberation in general but we can reasonably raise a doubt in respect of the particular nature of liberation; and so the word 'this' can refer to that particular nature. Now if it be asked where is the word 'prayana' seen as signifying liberation, as it signifies only departure from one's body. In the Śrutaprakāsika, the word prāyana is interpreted as signifying departure from the final (or last karmic) body, accepting the word as signifying departure from its body (in general). (We reply) Be it so. However let the doubt be only in respect of the departure from one's final body, since the word 'this' could refer to it. If further it be said that it having been well determined already there can be no doubt about it. (We reply) True. But it would be reasonable to raise the doubt as to whether departure from its final body happens just before the manifestation of the nature of Biahman (in him) (or after).

I. i. 21.

devair atrāpi vicikitsītam purā nahi suvijneyam aņurcsa diarmah i anyam varam Naciketo vrņīsvu mā moparotsīr ati mā srjainam i In respect of this, even by Gods doubts were entertained before. Verily this is not easily comprehensible. This truth (dharma) is subtle. O Naciketas, ask for a different boon. Press me not. Please press me not. Leave me please.

### COMMENTARY:

Having been thus asked (to explain) the nature of the freed (mukta), Death (Mṛtyu), deeming that one is not capable of reaching it since the thing to be taught was very difficult (to understand) but is likely to fall down in the middle (of the stream), speaks thus "Devair atrāpi..."

devair api: Even by those Gods who know much atra asmin: in respect of the soul that is freed, vicikitsitam: doubts were entertained, namely,

nahi: the truth about the soul is not easily comprehensible.

anuresa dharmah: (because it is) a very subtle truth

(dharma). Dharma (truth) in general itself is difficult to
comprehend. This is particularly very much so. This is the
intention.

anyam varam: different boon. The meaning is clear.

mā moparotsīr: mā mā means prohibition. Duplication signifies vīpsā, emphasis. Do not press further.

Enam ati sṛja: This, leave me.

#### I. i. 22.

devair atrāpi vīcikitsitam kila
tvalī ca mṛtyo yan na suvijneyam āttha |
vaktā cāsya tvādṛganyo na labhyo
nānyo varas tulya etasya kaścit. ||

Did not even the Gods entertain doubts about this, as Thou sayest? O Death, that which thou sayest is not easily comprehensible, none else like. Thee to teach could be got. No other boon whatever is equal to this.

#### COMMENTARY:

Spoken to thus Naciketas says:

devair atrāpi: the meaning is clear.

tvan ca: even you O Death who have spoken of the nature of the soul as not easily comprehensible

tvadrk: Like you, such as you. The rest is clear.

I. i. 23.

śatāyuṣah putrapautrān vṛṇṣva
bahūn paśūn hastihiranyam aśvān |
bhūmer mahadāyatanam vṛṇṣva
svayañ ca jīva śarado yāvad icchasi | 23 |

Choose sons and grandsons that live a hundred years; a great number of cattle, elephants, gold, and horses. Choose big empire on the earth. You yourself live as many years as you wish.

#### COMMENTARY:

Thus spoken to by Naciketas, Death (Mrtyu), having made it certain that he (Naciketas) will not be leaving it in the middle on account of the subject being difficult and thinking that in spite of his having (the power or) ability to understand, the truth of the treed soul such as this is not fit to be imparted to one whose mind is bent on worldly pleasures, spoke seductively so that the desire to be liberated (on the part of Naciketas) may get confirmed and steady.

śatāyuşah: the meaning is clear

bhumeh: of the earth

āyatanam: wide area or kingdom

vrnisva: choose.

or bhumeh: on the earth

mahad ayatanam: abode with beautiful halls and stairs

vrnīsva: choose.

svayam ca.. : for yourself: as many years as you wish to live is the meaning.

### I. i. 24.

etattulyam yadi manyase varam
vṛṇīṣva vittam cirajīvikāñ ca |
mahābhūmau Naciketas tvam edhi
kāmānām tvā kāmabhājan karomi || 24 ||

If you think of any boon on a par with this, choose wealth and long life. O Naciketas! Be you on the wide earth. I shall make you the object of desire of all desirable ones.

### COMMENTARY:

etat tulyam: If you think even of any other boon similar to the one mentioued, ask for that also. Enormous gold, precious stones and the like and long life; this is the meaning.

edhī: Become; 'a king' has to be supplied. (This is the form of) Second Person singular of the Imperative (lot) of the root as: to be.

 $k\bar{a}m\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ : Objects that are desired, that is, divine maids etc.

kāmabhājam!: kāma means desire; kāmabhāk means one who comes into contact with desire as its object. The meaning is "I shall make you one who will be the object of desire on the part of those such as divine maids who are themselves objects of desire."

# I. i. 25:

ye ye kāmā durlabhā martyaloke
sarvān kāmāmschandatah prārthayasva |
imā rāmāh sarathāh satūryā
na hīdṛṣā lambhanīyā manuṣyaiḥ |
ābhir matprattābhih paricārayasva
Naciketo maraṇam mānu prākṣīḥ || 25 ||

Whatever desirable things there are rare in the mortal world, ask for all those desirable things freely; these damsels with chariots and with musical instruments. Verily, things like these could hardly be

attained by men. With these given by me get yourself served. O Naciketas! do not put any question regarding after-death.

### COMMENTARY:

chandatah: As you please is the meaning.

imā rāmāḥ: Damsels with chariots and musical instruments given by me are difficult to get by men. This is the meaning.

ābhiḥ: with these servant-women gifted by me get service done, such as shampooing the feet, etc.

maranam anu: After-death. In other words, the nature of the freed soul. It may be seen that there is no harm if the word 'death' though signifying departure from one's body in general, signifies the particular one (departure) according to the context.

#### I. i. 26.

śvo'bhāvā martyasya yad Amłakaitat sarvendı iyāṇām jarayanti tejaḥ api sarvam jīvitam alpam eva tavaiva vāhās tava nrtyagīte # 26 #

O Death! (all those enjoyable things) of man will be non-existent tomorrow. These will wear out whatever power the sense-organs have. All life is but brief. Let the conveyances, dance and music be only for Thee.

#### COMMENTARY:

Though thus allured Naciketas with unswerving heart speaks:

śvo 'bhā vā: O Death! expatiated by thee these enjoyable things of men are such that they will become non-existent tomorrow. They will not last for two days. This is the meaning. Whatever power all the sense organs have, they will destroy. The enjoyment of celestial maids etc. will bring about weakress of all the senses. This is what is meant here.

api sarvam: even the life of Brahman is very brief, not to speak of the (tenure of) life of those like ourselves. The intention is that even the longest life is not fit to be courted.

vāhān: chariots etc.

Let be; this is to be supplied.

### I. i. 27.

na vittena tarpaṇīyo manuṣyo lapsyāmahe vittam adrāksma cet tvā | jīviṣyāmo yāvad īśiṣyasi tvam varas tu me varaṇīyah sa eva || 27 ||

Man is not to be satisfied with wealth. We shall have wealth if we saw Thee. We shall live so long as Thou art lord. But the boon to be chosen by me is that alone.

#### COMMENTARY:

na vittena: Truly no satisfaction is seen in any on account of wealth amassed since there is the axiom "Never has desire been quenched through enjoying the desired objects". This is meant. Besides,

lapsyamahe vittam: If we have seen you we shall get wealth. What is meant is if there is seeing of you what difficulty is there in getting wealth? Then, if it be said that long life may be sought, he (Naciketas) replies,

jīviṣyāmo...During which time you sit (preside) as the Lord on the seat of Yama. (The Parasmipadi) iśisyasi is according to Vedic exception (vyatyaya). All that period our life will last. Verily there is nobody who transgressing your command will bring about termination of our life. What is meant is that life will be the same whether a boon is got or not (to this effect). Therefore the boon mentioned already in the mantra 'yeyam prete...' is the only one to be sought.

#### I. i. 28.

ajiryatām amṛtānām upetya
jīryan martyaḥ kva tadāsthaḥ pra jānan i
abhidhyāyan varṇaratipramodān
anatidīrghe jīvitz ko rameta || 28 ||

Having become aware (of the nature) of those that never age and are immortal, how can a man that ages have consciously any desire for that (which is transient enjoyment)? Who realising the splendour and ecstacies (of the immortal) will have delight in life that is none too long?

### COMMENTARY:

ajīryatām: knowing the nature of the freed who have neither old age nor death

prajanan: discriminating

jīryan martyah: afflicted with old age and death; this being

tadāsthah: Desirous of the objects such as divine damsels, which get afflicted with old age and death and others

kva: How can be? is the meaning

abhidyāyan varṇa.....: The splendours of the form of those of the solar orb

ratipramodān: Different sorts of ecstacies caused by the enjoyment of Brahman; all these

abhidhyāyan: intelligently understanding

anatidirghe: atyalpe: too brief

aihike jivite: with (or in) this worldly life (which is too brief) who can be pleased? is the meaning.

# I. i. 29:

yasminnidam vicikitsanti Mṛtyo yat sāmparāye mahati brūhi nas tat | yo'yam varo gūḍham anupraviṣṭo nānyam tasmān Naciketā vṛṇīte | 29 ||

O Death! Tell me that regarding which (they) have doubts thus and which exists in the great After-death. Naciketas will not elect anything other than the boon which concerns the most esoteric.

### COMMENTARY:

yasmin: About which: concerning which the great and other-worldly nature of the freed souls, (they) have doubts that alone teach me

yo'yam: Other than the boon which relates to the esoteric truth of the truth, Naciketas did not elect (to have) (na vṛnīte). This is the word of the scripture (as it is neither the word of Yama nor of Naciketas).

This concludes the First Valli of the First Adhyāya of the Kaṭhopaniṣad

## II VALLI.

### I. j. 1.

anyacchreyo'nyad utaiva preyas
te ubhe nānārthe puruṣam sinītah tayoḥ śreya ādadānasya sādhu bhavati
hīyate'rthād ya u preyo vṛṇīte. ||1

(What is) good is different and verily (what is) pleasant is different; these two with different ends bind man. He who takes up the good among them does the right. But he who elects the pleasant verily falls away from the supreme end.

#### COMMENTARY:

Having thus tested the disciple (Naciketas) and ascertained his firmness in the desire for liberation, Yama, deeming him fit for instruction, praises the desire for liberation:

anyat 'sreyah: The way of liberation that is praiseworthy is different, the way of enjoyment that is pleasant is quite different

1. Sri Krishna Prem translates 'Sreyas' as 'better.'

te. The Good and the Pleasant

nanarthe: having ends distinct from each other

purusam: the man

sinītaḥ: bind. Make the man subject (vaśyatām) to themselves

tayoh: Among these two sreyah: the good, liberation

ādadānasya: to him who strives after sādhu bhavati: well-being happens.

ya u preyo vṛṇīte: But he who chooses the pleasant u: eva: alone, indicates emphasis (avadhārana)

arthat hiyate: falls down from the supreme end (puruṣ-arthat).

### I. ii. 2.

śreyaś ca preyaś ca manusyam etah tau samparītya vivinakti dhīrah | śreyo hi dhiro 'bhi preyaso vṛṇīte preyo mando yogakṣemād vṛṇīte || 2 ||

The good and the pleasant approach man. These the courageous (brave)<sup>1</sup> one contemplating discriminates. Verily the brave prefers the good to the pleasant. The dull-witted chooses the pleasant for the sake of worldly welfare.

#### COMMENTARY:

śreyaś ca preyaś ca: the good and the pleasant

manusyam etah: approach the man

tau: these two things, the good and the pleasant samparitya: discriminating, critically considering

vivinakti: divides (separates), as the swan (separates) milk and water

dhīraḥ: Brave,—one that is pleased with his intellect, one who is intelligent

<sup>1.</sup> It can also be the "wiseman" as the commentary indicates that aspect also.

preyasah abhi: preferable to the pleasant

śreyah hi: the good alone

vṛṇīte: chooses.

mandah: one of dull-wit

yogakṣemāt: for the sake of worldly welfare '(literally: earning welfare). Yoga means the increase of the body and kṣema, its protection.)

preyah: the pleasant vrnīte: chooses.

# I. ii. 3.

sa tvam priyān priyarūpāmsca kāmān abhidhyāyan Naciketo'tyasrākṣīḥ | naitām sṛṅkām vittamayīm avāpto yasyām majjantī bahavo manuṣyāḥ || 3 ||

You O Naciketas! who are such a one deeply considering, left the delightful enjoyments of delightful forms. You did not accept this path of riches in which many men are lost.

# COMMENTARY:

sa tvam: You of such nature

 $priy\bar{a}n$ : pleasant in themselves and (delightful) in respect of their form

kāmān; the desirable, women and others is the meaning abhidhyāyan: understanding as having the faults of being followed by pain and mixed with pain,

atvasrāksih: left, is the meaning

etam; this

vittamayim: of riches

srinkam: low path trodden by foolish men

na avāptah: have not taken up

yasyām etc.: in which many men are lost: the meaning is clear.

1. cf. Ananda K. Coomaraswami · Notes on Kathopanisad, New Indian Antiquary Vol I, p 85 holds yoga and kṣema are "two very different habits." "It is between Yoga and Kṣema that the sluggard makes his choice." cf T. S. V. 2. 12 · yoge 'nyāsām Prajānām manaḥ—Therefore it means Yogāc ca Kṣemāc ca. But see Gīta's usage "Yogakṣema"

### I. 1i 4

dūram ete viparīte visūcī
avidyā yā ca vidyeti jīiātā |
vidyābhīpsinam Naciketasam manye
na tvā kāmā baharo klupanta !! 4 !

These two are far apait and mutually contradictory: that which is known as ignorance and that which is knowledge. I think (you), O Naciketa, as one that seeks knowledge. Many enjoyments did not allure you.

#### COMMENTARY .

Avidyā That which is known as non-knowledge having the form of actions leading to enjoyment

 $y\bar{a}$  ca vidyeti  $j\bar{n}\bar{a}t\bar{a}$ , and that which is known as knowledge having the form of the awareness of truth

ete: Two

duram altogether

 $vis\bar{u}c\bar{i}$ . (are) having opposite directions  $vipar\bar{i}te$  contradictory to each other

vidyābhīpsinam Him that seeks knowledge In case the reading is vidyābhīpsitam (the meaning 18) one by whom knowledge is desired. The Past participle becomes the second member of the compound word, since it is included in Ahitāgni gana (Pānini, II, ii 37), or else it is a case of Vedic exception (vyatyaya).

 $k\bar{a}m\bar{a}h$ : enjoyments

bahavah: though many

tvā· you

na lolupanta. Did not detract from the path of the Good (śreyah) You are not subject to temptation: this is the meaning. lolupanta is an Imperfect from the root lip with the suffix yan according to Pānini (III. i. 23) But the omission of ya is a case of Vedic exception. Or else this is the Vedic form of Almanepadi derived from the root with the

suffix yan omitted; also the absence of at (is to be explained in the same way).

## I. ii 5.

avidyāyām antare vartamānāḥ
svayam dhīrāḥ paṇḍitammanyamānāḥ |
dandramyamānāḥ parīyanti mūḍhā
andhīnaiva nīyamānā yathāndhāḥ. || 5 ||

Being amidst ignorance, considering themselves as intelligent and learned, fools wander afflicted (with pains, such as old age, illness etc.) even as the blind led by the blind.

#### COMMENTARY:

Of the two paths referred to (in the previous mantra) " $Avidy\bar{a}$   $y\bar{a}$  ca vidyeti....." he (Death) denounces the path of desireful actions:

avidyāyām: Non-knowledge of the form of desireful actions

antare: in the midst of

vartamānāh: existing as in the dense darkness

svayam (eva); by themselves

dhīrāḥ panditammanyamānāḥ: considering themselves as inte ligent and proficient (learned) in the scriptures

 $dandramyam\bar{a}n\bar{a}h$ : suffering from pains caused by old age diseases and others

mūḍhāḥ: fools

pariyanti: wander. The rest is clear. But some give the meaning taking the reading "dandravyamānāh" (instead of "dandramyamānāḥ") as "those whose minds are melted by the fire of lust for objects."

I. ii. 6.

na sāmparāyah pratibhāti bālam

pramādyantam vittamohena mūḍham |
ayam loko nāsti para iti mānī

punah punar vasam āpadyate me || 6 ||

The seeking for the other world never happens to the immature, the inattentive and the deluded by desire for wealth. One who thinks that this world is and no other, again and again comes under my subjection.

### COMMENTARY.

sāmparāyah: seeking the means to the other world bālam to one who is incapathe of discrimination pramādyantam with inattentive mind

vitamohena mūdham. one whose mental activities are subject to desire for objects

na pratibhāti does not occur

ayam eva loko 'stı there is this world alone, no other world exists. One who thinks thus becomes subject to extreme toiture done by me. This is the meaning. That there is neither this world not the other world is the meaning given under the Vedānta Sūtra III 1 13 "In respect or others, there are ascent and descent after experiencing at the command of Death (samyamanam) because it is seen (in the scripture) that they go there 'by Vyāsārya' who adopts the reading "ayam loko nāsti para uta mānī" In that case 'to him' (tasya) is to be supplied So also the particle 'and' (ca).

mānī means the arrogant (durmānī) The explanation for the statement that this world does not exist for him, is to be gleaned from the fact of his excommunication from society by the orthodox (śisṭa). The world durmānī goes with the passage punah punar vasam āpadyate me—again and again comes under my subjection 2

- 1 This reading is not found in the text of Śutaprakāśikā Referring to the passage quoted in the Sri B 'ayam loko nāsti para iti mānī," the author of the Siuta P gives the intended meaning in these words "atra amutra ca sukham nāsti ityaithah"
- 2 Rangarāmānuja thinks that to have this meaning the text must read ayam loko nāsti para uta mānī. So he says that the author of the Sruta-prakāsikā followed this reading. It must be noted here that in all the editions of the  $Sr\bar{\imath}bh\bar{a}sya$  and the Sr P the reading of the mantra text is ayam loko nāsti na para iti mānī. The negative particle na before para is undoubtedly a scribal error

# I. 1i. 7.

śravanāyāpi bahubhir yo na labhyah śrnvanto'pi bahavo yan na vidyuh | āścaryo vaktā kuśalo'sya labdhā--ścaryo īñātā kuśalānuśistah | 7 |

Who is not attainable by men even for hearing, whom many though hearing about cannot know, of whom rate is an able expounder and rare is one that attains Him, and rare is one that knows Him, guided by well-trained (men).

#### COMMENTARY .

yah the well-known supreme Self bahubhih by many men śravanāyāpi for even being heard

na labhyah could not be attained, this is the meaning. The intention is that even the gain in hearing of about Him is itself the fruit of great and good deeds

smvanto'pi Though hearing etc The intention is, surely it is not easy for all those that hear to attain clear knowledge of Him

 $\bar{a}$  scaryo  $vakt\bar{a}$ . an able expounder and an able attainer of Him are rare. This is the meaning

 $\bar{a}scaryo\ j\bar{n}\bar{a}i\bar{a}$  A knower of Him) also taught by a proficient teacher (Guiu) is ince it is stated (in the  $Git\bar{a}$  VII 3) 'Among thousands of men a certain one strives after realization among those that have made attempts and realized a certain one knows Me as I am" This is the intention.

# I. 1i 8

na narenāvarena prokta esa suvijneyo hahudhā cintyamānah i ananyaprokte gatir ati a nāstyanīyān hyatarkyam anupramānāt | 8 |

This (supreme self) is not knowable easily when taught by a man of inferior order (since it is) con-

sidered in different ways (by disputants). There is no access to it when it is not taught by another, since it is more subtle than any thing of the subtle measure and is beyond reason.

# COMMENTARY:

avarena: by any of not superior order; by an ordinary person, by one the result of whose study of Vedānta is only scholarship

narena: by one who deems his body to be himself

eṣaḥ:- the (Supreme) ātman (self)

suvijneyo na: is not easily knowable

What is the reason?

bahudhā cintyamānaḥ: considered in different ways; vādibhiḥ: by disputants, is to be supplied

ananyaprokte: ananyena: by one who is not other than (who is one with) the soul that is taught, that is, whose sole subject of knowledge is the Supreme alone (ekantin) - who has the perception of his soul as Brahman.

prokte: when the soul is taught

gatih: what understanding there will be that understanding will not be there when it is taught by a person of inferior understanding. This is the meaning. Or else,

atra: here in the cycle of samsara

gatih: wheeling about  $n\bar{a}sti$ : there is not; this is the meaning. Or else

ananyaprokte: when it is not taught by another but known by oneself. atra gatir nāsti: there is no understanding.

When the reading is anyaprokte: taught by another, the meaning is that when it is taught by an inferior person there is no understanding of the (nature of the) Self. If it be said that by whomsoever it is taught it is possible (to know) for one well-versed in reasoning (ūhāpohaśālīnah), the answer is, anīyan etc., because the 'soul is more subtle than the subtle, therefore its nature is beyond reasoning.

### 1. Srībhāsya I. ii. 9.

fire (altar) Nāciketa was constructed by me with transitory things. I have therefore attained the eternal.

## COMMENTARY:

And again (Death) pleased says:

sevadhih: treasure. The lordship such as that of Kubera and others, i.e., which are similar to (what I have shown), which are results of actions, are transitory. This I know.

dhruvam tat: the truth of the Self that is eternal

adhruvaih: By actions that are means of (getting) transitory ends, or that are performed with transitory things. This is the meaning.

tatah: therefore

mayā: by me who know this

Nāciketah agnih: The fire-altar Nāciketah anityaih dravyaih: with transitory things

citah: was constructed with a view to acquire knowledge leading to the attainment of Brahman

Therefore

nityam: the knowledge which leads to the imperishable goal

prāptavān asmi: I have attained; this is the meaning.

For this reason there is no contradiction with the fact that the attainment of Brahman is brought about by knowledge  $(j\tilde{n}ana)$  alone.

## T. ii. 11.

kāmasyāptim jagataḥ pratiṣṭhām
krator ānantyam abhayasya pāram !
stemam mahad urugāyam pratiṣṭhām
dṛṣṭvā dhṛtyā dhīro Naciketo'tyasrākṣīḥ || 11 ||

Having perceived the attainment of desires by the world which is the result of action and the far shore of fearlessness, endless, full of great qualities, famous and eternal, O Naciketas! you, the intelligent, rejected the desirables with firmness.

#### COMMENTARY:

Death describes Naciketas' fitness for hearing (for being taught), which was mentioned in the previous mantra I. ii. 9d).

kratoh: of karma, action (sacrifice)

pratistham: the result

jagataḥ kāmasyāptim: the attainment by the world of its desires of the form of objects such as women, existing in all the worlds upto the abode of the fourfaced Brahman

dṛṣṭvā: having perceived (this)

Now he apeaks of the nature of Liberation (mokṣa).

ānantyam = avināsitvam: non-destructibility

abhayasya  $p\bar{a}ram = atyantan irbhayatvam$ : absolute freedom from fear<sup>1</sup>

stomam mahat: The group of great qualities such af freedom from sin, unfailing desires & etc.,

urugāyam²=urukīrtim: Fame and stability

Perceiving all these also as belonging to liberation, you have rejected the worldly desirables due to your keen discrimination. This is the meaning. Or else all these (adjectives) may be construed as belonging to the Supreme Self. Seeing the attainment of all desires in the nature of the Supreme Self itself which is of the form of liberation (mokṣa) and that It is the support of all the worlds and that It is itself of the form of infinite results of sacrifice (you have rejected the worldly desirables).

## I. ii. 12.

tam durdarśam gūdham anuþraviṣṭam guhāhitam gahvareṣṭham þurāṇam | adhyātmayogādhigamena devam matvā dhīro harṣaśokau jahāti || 12 ||

- 1. Ananda K Coomaraswami ibid. abhayam pāram abhayam titī satām pāram in III.—that is svargaloke (yatra) na bhayam kıncanāzti I. 12. cf. AV. X, 8, 44; T. V II. 9—Prs Up. 1. 10.
- 2, Cf. Ananda K. Coomaraswamı · stoma mahadyurugāyam—' The exceedingly praised far-going (stride or step) of Viṣṇu."

The brave (soul) knowing, through the realisation attained by meditation upon the Self, the God difficult of being perceived, hidden, entering (and) residing in the cave (heart), indwelling, (and) beginningless, abandons both pleasure and sorrow.

### COMMENTARY:

(Death) answers the third question with the following two mantras:—

durdarsam: incapable of being perceived as stated in "Who is not attainable by men even for hearing...(I. ii. 7a.)"

 $g\bar{u}dham$ : hidden by non-knowledge which is of the form of action that obscures

anupravisṭam: that has entered into all beings guhāhitam: residing in the cave of the heart purānam: beginningless (aucient)

adhyātmayogādhigamena: by means of adhyātmayoga, that is, concentration of the mind, having withdrawn it from objects, on one's self which is to be described (hereafter) in passages "An intelligent person should put his speech into his mind" (I. iii. 13) and "When these five sense-organs along with the mind are still" (I. iii. 10.) By means of that means, by means of the knowledge of the individual self.

devam: the Supreme Self. matvā: knowing; this is the intention. What is meant is that that knowledge of the individual soul is the means to the knowledge of the Supreme Self.

harşaśokau: both pleasure and grief incident upon the attainment and non-attainment of the desires of objects of sense<sup>1</sup>

# I. ii. 13.

etacchrutvā sampari gṛhya martyaḥ pravṛhya āharmyam anum etam āpya | sa modate modanīyam hi labdhvā vivrtam sadma Naciketasam manye. | 13 ||

1. cf. líša Up. comm. by Venkatanatha.

Having thus heard this, the man, pondering over, abandoning the body and others resulting from action, and attaining the subtle self, enjoys achieving the enjoyable. I think the abode has been open to Naciketas.

#### COMMENTARY:

etat: the truth of the Self

śrutvā having heard

samparigrhya: having pondered over. This is the meaning.

dharmyam: the result of action, body etc,

pravrhya: having separated; abandoning is the meaning

etam: this, one that is one's self

anum: subtle, beyond the reach of the eyes etc. on accoun of subtlity; the Supreme Self mentioned as "subtler and beyond reasoning" (I. ii. 8d).

āþya: having attained in a particular place

sah: he, the knower

modaniyam: enjoyable, viz. one's own nature with the eight qualities such as freedom from sin etc.

labdhvā: having got

modate: enjoys; becomes delighted, is the meaning.

Here (in this context) is to be remembered the meaning of the scriptural passage "The individual soul, departing from this (its) body and attaining the Supreme Light gets its own nature manifested. (Ch. U. VIII. 3. 4) There he moves about eating and playing and enjoying." (Ch. U. VIII. 12. 3).

Having thus replied to the question, Death praises Naciketas as one fit for liberation:

vivrtam sadma: I think the abode of the form of Brahman is open, fit for entry of Naciketas, is the meaning, since there is the scriptural passage: "This soul of his enters the Brahman abode" (Mu. U. III. 2. 4.)

1. Ananda K. Coomaraswami · ibid "I consider Naciketas an opened house" Prof. Rawson. "An open house I think is Naciketas". Prem. "For Naciketas, I think, the Dwelling is open."

If it be asked: In order to be in accord with (the passage) "Knowing and realising the soul born of Brahman" (I. i. 17c.), let the individual soul with the supreme Self as its Self be meant in the passage "adhyātmayogādhigamena matvā—realisation attained by meditation upon his Self" (I. ii. 12), and consequently, let the previous portion "Him that is unperceivable" (ibid) also refer to the individual soul. And further let the previous context "Who is not attainable by men even for hearing, whom many though hearing could not know... (I. ii 7) also refer to the nature of the purified individual soul. Thus it will follow that this will be in accordance with the Gītā passage:

"Some one sees this which is wonderful: Some other speaks of this which is wonderful: Vet some other hears of this which is wonderful:

And even after hearing nobody knows this." (B. G. II. 29) which applies to the purified soul alone.

(We reply) Not so. Though in the mantra Brahmajajna ... (I. i. 17c.) on account of this characteristic (lingam) of the individual soul of the form of having birth from Brahman which is mentioned at the beginning, we take the word 'deva' mentioned at the end as meaning one whose self is the Lord (God), there is no reason for taking the word 'deva' in the mantra "Tam durdarsam (I. ii. 12) as meaning one whose soul is God, since there is no mention of such a characteristic of the individual soul here. Holding this very view. Śri Rāmānuia has stated under the Sütra "Guhām pravisthauthe two that have entered the Cave" (I. ii, 11). "The entry into the 'Cave' (of the heart) by the Supreme Self is seen (mentioned in the Scripture) "tam durdarsam ..." (K U. I. ii. 12). In the same manner this mantra has been explained as referring to the Supreme Self by Vyāsārya. But the Supreme Self is indicated by the word gahvarestham as one whose body is the nature of the purified soul difficult to be known here mentioned as gahvara. But the difference is as follows: In the mantra "Brahma. jajnam..." (I. i. 17c.) the nature of the purified individual soul with the Supreme Self as its soul is meant, whereas in the mantia 'Tam durdarsam..." (I. ii. 12) the nature of the Supreme Self with the individual as its body is referred to. Thus there is no lack of identity in meaning.

# T. ii. i4

Now (Naciketas) asks, in order to get clear knowledge, for instruction regarding the Nature of that (Self) which has to be attained, mentioned as that which is distinct from the result of sacrificial works (dharma) in the passages: adhyāt mayogādhigamena devam marvā dhīro harṣaśokau jahāti (I. ii. 12cd.); etacchrutvā samparigrhya martyah pravṛhya dharmyam anum etam āpya sa modate modanīyam hi labdhvā .. (I. ii. 13abe); na hy adhruvaih prāpyate hi dhruvam tat (I. ii. 10b.); and the nature of the means (to the attainment of that) indicated in the same places by the word 'matvā' pondering over) as distinct from dharma (sacrificial works) and the attainer indicated as 'courageous' (dhīraḥ) in the passage 'dhīro harṣaśokau jahāti' (I ii. 12d.) (thus):—

anyatra dharmād anyatrādharmād anyatrāsmāt kṛtākṛtāt | anyatra bhūtācca bhavyācca yat tat þasyasi tad vada. || 14 ||

Tell me that which verily thou seest that which is other than the right (dharma) other than the non-right (adharma) other than this which is done and is not done and other than the past as well as the future.

# COMMENTARY:

anyatra dharmād etc.

If it be asked: When it is said in the Śrī Bhāṣya (I. iv 6) that "Naciketas) asked again (of Yama) for getting clear knowledge of the nature of the Godhead to be attained and mentioned as the object of meditation in (the passage) 'devam matvā" (I. ii. 12) and of the individual soul the attainer, mentioned as one who is to be known in (the passage) 'adhyātmayogādhigamena...(I. ii. 12), and of the meditation on Brahman mentioned in "matvā dhīro harṣaśokāu jahāti" (I. ii. 12), how could it be said in contradiction to it that, attainer is

indicated by the word 'dhīrah', we reply not say this. That which is mentioned as that which is to be known (meditated upon) in the passage 'matva' (I. ii. 12c) and which is signified by the word atman (self), is only that of the purified vature taught in the Prajāpati-Vidvā which is the object of meditation and which is to be attained. Therefore it (passage) also is one which instructs that which is to be attained. Since it is in reality non-different from the att ainer, the Bhasva words (aforesaid) " prāptuh pratyagātmanasca" are not in contradiction. Therefore the subsequent Bhasva passage "na jayate mriyate va vipaścit: to begin with (he) teaches the nature of the individual soul who is the attainer in the mantra "the intelligent is neither born nor dies" (I ii. 18) too is not in contradiction (with this). Verily it cannot be that the nature of the purified (soul) mentioned as the intelligent (vipascit) which is taught in the mantra "The knower is neither born nor dies" (K. U. I. ii 18), is of the form of the attainer, since that which is taught in the passages "The learned call as the enjoyer the soul together with its body. senses, and mind". "But the man who has intelligence for charioteer and mind as bridle attains the final end of the path. that Supreme abode of Visnu" (I. ii. 4 and 9) is one who is of the nature of the attainer. And so it is explained in the Śrī Bhāsya under the Sūtra "Because again of the qualification' (I. ii. 12.)

For the same reason in the Guha (Cave) passage (I iff. 1) which refers to the identity of the place of residence of both attainable and attainer, it is seen that reference is made (to the individual soul) as  $ch\bar{a}y\bar{a}$  which means the non-intelligent, but not as  $vipa\acute{s}cit$  (intelligent). The meaning therefore is the same as said above. This mantra has been explained by  $Vy\bar{a}s\bar{a}rya$  under the  $S\bar{u}tra$  (I. iv. 6) "Of the three".

dharmah: upāyah: the means

dharmād anyatra: different from the well-known means is the meaning

1. P:ajāpatī Vidyā is in the last part of Chāndogya Up. VIII. describing the instruction of Prajāpati to Indra and Virocana.

adharmah: other than dharma, means that which is to be attained

adharmād anyatra: the result which is different from the well-known results

asmāt: by this term is intended the practiser who is kept in mind. The same (person) is the attainer. He indeed it different from the well-known practiser-attainer (viz. he who performs yagña and attains svarga etc.,) since he is detached from any other ends when practising (yoga), and since at the attainment of the Ultimats End he is of the nature that manifests eight qualities (such ae freedom from sin etc.);

kṛṭākṛṭāt: done and not done: qualifies means (dharma) and others. The meaning is "which is different from means and others which are done and not done and which is different from dharma and others, past and future."

Having thus commented upon (this passage) in one way" (Vyāsārya) gives another explanation beginning with "Or else in consideration of the fact that in that case one 'different from' (in the 3rd line) becomes superfluous in as much as the three 'different froms' viz. (1) different from means done and not-done, and past and future: (2) different from results of the same kind and (3) different from this practiser of the same qualification, are sufficient. Now it will be stated: Or else "that which is different from dharma and adharma" is the question regarding the meditation  $(up\bar{a}san\bar{a})$ , since the meditation is different from the means of the form of merit and demenit (punyapāpa). By the passage "That which is different from that which is done and not-done" and from the past and future, what is enquired is the attainable (end), that is not conditioned by time (kālaparicchinna). The question of the attainer also is implicit in it, since the conscious attainer also is eternal and included in the attainable. It will be said (in the Śrī Bhāsva) that the nature of the attainer is also included in it. What is meant is that the words 'which' and 'that' refer to the triad (the means, attainer and attainable).

If it be said that even according to this view the acceptance of the statement as referring to the two propositions is strained,

because it appears that as the double usage of the word 'anyatra' appearing at the beginning is co-ordinate (samanadhikaranya), even so the subsequent double usage of the same is If there the particle 'and' (ca) was used twice and which is different from dharma and adharma and which is different from the three times (past, present and future)', then, the natural co-ordination of the word 'different from ' used four times subsequently could be ignored. Since therefore there is no reason for rejecting the co-ordination that appears to be in accordance with the method of expression (of this twice-used word anyatra at the beginning - prakrama rīti anusāri), let the portion 'different from dharma and different from adharma' be one referring to Brahman. the attainable. If it be asked (by any objector of the objector) since the question of the particular means of attainment is to be included here, on account of there being a reply in respect of the means of attainment in the mantra "This self is attainable neither by thinking nor by meditation nor by good deal of hearing (I. ii. 23), the co-ordination of the word 'anyatra' used four times (śabdayugadvayasya) must be rejected, in spite of the absence of the particle 'and' (ca); (we reply) No. Because in the reply to only teaching in respect of a particular quality of the attainable. that is, the attainability only through such knowledge as has become of the form of 'Love' (prīti-rūpāpanna), is seen in the passage "This self is not to be attained through thinking." (1. ii. 23), and a reply referring chiefly to the means is not seen. (Otherwise) since such a reply as "Nor one whose mind is not quiet could attain this through knowledge" (I. ii. 24). "But who is without knowledge, absent-minded and always impure does not attain that abode" (I. iii. 7) is seen, why should not the question 'anyatra dharma anyatra, refer to the opposite of the commonly known means.

If it be said that the saying that the means to the attainable is only the knowledge that has become of the nature of love, results in saying that the means must become of the nature of love, (we reply) so let it be. But this would not

lead to the acceptance that the question and the answer have reference chiefly to the means.

Nor can one accept either the question 'Which is Devadatta's house?', or the answer to it "That is Devadatta's house which has a garden full of many Campaka trees, and with conch, discus and lotus inscribed on the sides of the doorway", as chiefly referring to the garden or the sides of the doorway.

Now therefore that part of the passage "anyatra dharmād anyatrādharmād" must be (taken as) one referring only to Brahman, different from the result of dharma and adharma, with a view to make the four-times-used word 'anyatra', co-ordinate

Siddhanta: We reply: It is not seen that the statement "Do inform me of him whom you see to be other than Devadatta" said after the statement that this (man) is not born of Devadatta but of Yajñadatta, is a question that refers through secondary significance to one other, than Devadatta's son just as it is a question referring to Yajñadatta who is other than Devadatta. Even so (it is) proper to say that the question dharmad anvatra etc. which follows the instruction that (it is) not the result of action, refers to the means of the form of knowledge, that is different from dharma but not that the question refers through secondary significance of dharma. to Brahman which is different from the result of dharma. So also it is determined by co-ordination in the passage 'adharmad anyatra' that it refers to the means only. But in respect of the following twice-used word 'anyatra' which signifies that which is different from that which is conditioned by the threefold time it is reasonable to accept that it refers to the attainable alone, rejecting co-ordination because it is impossible that it refers to a means that is not conditioned by the threefold time. When it is said that 'Who (is) the black-tall red-short "there, is co-ordination between black and tall because of lack of contradiction. Likewise there is co-ordination

<sup>1.</sup> Lit, 'Step': Ananda K. Coomaraswami says that "A correlation of the three forms" with the "Three stildes" of Viṣṇu is maintained throughout our text and must\_always be borne in mind."

between red and short because of lack of mutual contradiction. But co-ordination is not seen between all the four (i. e., black, tall, red, short), in spite of the absence of the particle 'and' (ca). But the words form questions relating to two persons (one who is black and tall and the other who is red and short). Similarly here too since the particle 'and' (ca) is not used twice, no 'co-ordination is to be accepted. Or let there be co-ordination as you say. Even then, since according to the second interpretation of the question and reply the means also, like the attainer, is included in the question pertaining to the attainable, the question and reply pertaining to the means and attainer referred to in the Sūtra (I. iv. 6) "the reply and questions are in reference to three things alone" are appropriate and thus there is nothing wrong. Also therefore it is apparent that what is explained in the reply is only the attainable which is mentioned as 'badam' in the passage "That abode I shall teach you briefly" (I. ii. 15d).

This long discourse is enough. Let us now proceed with the commentary.

# T ii. 15.

Thus asked (by Naciketas), Death with a view to teach it in detail beginning with "Neither is (one) born nor dies", now to intensify the attention of the hearer introduces the brief exposition revealing the greatness of the Attainable thus:

sarve vedā yat padam āmananti tapāmsi sarvāni ca yad vadanti ; yadicchanto brahmacaryam caranti tat te padam samgrahena bravīmi || Omityetat || 15 ||

Which abode all the Vedas speak of, which abode all the  $\overline{A}$  ranyakas and Upanisads mention, desiring which (they) observe brahmacarya (celibacy), that abode I shall briefly teach. This is OM.

### COMMENTARY.

sarve vedāh...: The word 'pada' (abode) signifies the nature of the attainable as according to etymological derivation

'padyate' means 'is attained' (gamyate). "Which nature all the Vedas directly or indirectly (paramparayā) deal with, this is the meaning.

By this the following doubts or hypotheses are replied: Let this upanisad like the Prajāpati-Vidyā (Ch. U. VIII.) refer to the nature of the purified individual soul because (i) it is accepted by all that "Na jāyate mriyate vā vipaścit" and "Hanta cenmanyate hantum" both the mantras (I- ii. 18 and 19) refer to the nature of the purified individual soul, (ii) because the (two) mantras (I, ii. 20) "aņoranīyān mahato mahīyān..." well apply to the individual soul described in it as so subtle as to be capable of entering into all (things) and as omnipresent, by the Smrti passages "Know that Unperishing by which all this is pervaded" (Gitā II. 17) and "Actionless, unnameable merely pervading, Unequalled "(?), (iii) because in accordance with the Gita passage "It is unknowable because subtle it is far and near" (XIII. 15), the passage here "Sitting wanders afar and lying goes all round" (K. U. I. ii. 21), is also compatible with it, (iv) because the mantra (I. ii. 25) "To whom the Brahman and Kşatriya both become food..." is capable of referring to it in consonance with the (Upabrahmana) explanatory passage "The devourer and begetter" (Gitā XIII. 16), (v) because the mantra (I. iii, 9) "He attains the end of the way that Supreme Abode of Visnu" also can have reference to the nature of the purified soul as stated in the Smrti passages "The second is the transcendent abode of Him whose name is Vișnu. meditated upon by Yogins"; "You alone are the Lord. the cause of creation, destruction and existence, and which is the most Supreme Abode (and) nothing else." (vi) because according to the Smrti (Gitā VIII. 21) "Unmanitest, Imperishable", the mantra "That is the I ltimate Means, that is the Ultimate End" (K. U. I. iii. 11), also can refer to the purified soul, (vii) because according to the Smrti (Gītā XIII. 27) "The Supreme Lord residing equally in all beings", the Mantra "He Hidden in all beings" (I. iii. 12) can refer reasonably to the nature of the purified soul, (viii) because according to the Gita(XIII. 27), the mantra "The Lord of the past and future" (K. U. II. i. 5) signifies a meaning that can go with the nature of the purified soul since the individual soul alone is indicated as the subject-matter in the context in the mantra (II. i. 1) "The senses are extraverted..." through despising outward things, (ix) because even the negative statement 'There is nothing distinct in it here' can be reconciled with the same nature of the individual soul where there is a chance of making distinction, (x) because also the mantra "Just as the wind, the one has pervaded the world" (II. ii. 10) which recalls the Smrti text "The differences of the wind which blows uniformly caused by (its passing through) the different holes of the flute is named sadja etc, the same is the case with the difference of the Supreme Selt (when it enters the things" can refer possibly to the nature of the purified soul; (xi) because there is nothing incongrous in the mantra "Who makes manifold the One seed ". (Sv. U. V. 12) having reference to the purified soul since in the Gitā Bhāṣya under the passage It has its feet and hands everywhere" (Gita XIII. 13) it has been explained (by Śri Rāmānuja) that the purified soul that has attained Supreme Equality with Brahman (parama sāmya) is the agent of the actions done by hands and feet etc., every where, (xii) because the mantra "There the Sun does not shine" (K. U. II. ii 15) is compatible with the nature of the purified soul on the strength of passages of the Gita "The Sun does not illuminate" (XV. 6), and "That light of lights is mentioned as being beyond darkness" (XIII. 17); (xiii) because the mantra at the end (K. U. II. iii. 17) "One should discriminate Him from one's own body 'naturally can refer to the purified soul, and (xiv) because while the whole of this Upanisad could like the Prajapati-passages (Ch. U. VIII.) be taken as referring to the purified soul-alone, it is quite unnecessary to take the trouble of explaining it as referring to two attainables, namely,

Valient leading in Śāstra Dīpikā (Nilnaya Sagal ed. p. 125: talya mahātmanah; Ānandāśrama ed. gives the reading Paramesvarah

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>, Viṣṇu Purāṇa: Veṇurandhrādhibhedena bhedaḥ ṣadjādi samjūataḥ abhedavyāpino vāyōstathā' sau paramātmaṇaḥ.

the individual soul and the Supreme Self (these above doubts are replied). It may be seen that the statement "That abode I shall teach you briefly" is to the effect that what is dealt with in all the Vedas is going to be taught, and the nature of the purified soul cannot be that which is dealt with in the portions of the Vedas that deal with the nature of the Supreme Self, though the nature of the Supreme Self which is the Inner Ruler (antaryāmin) of the purified soul can be dealt with in the portions that deal with the nature of purified soul.

tapāmsi: This is explained by Vyāsārya as meaning later portions of the Veda which are chiefly concerned with penances

yad icchantah: desiring which

brahmacaryam: continence of the form of stay at the Teacher's residence, abstinence from sexual life, etc.

caranti: observe sangrahena: briefly

It may be noted that since this mantra which is chiefly a statement in respect of the teaching of the attainable, means by implication a praise of *Pranava* (Om), there is no incongruity when the Bhāṣya says 'after praising *Pranava*', and (when) the *Srutaprakāsikā* says "after praising as that which indicates the Brahman spoken of in the first three lines (of the mantra) beginning with 'All the Vedas' is the meaning.

What is that (word) which indicates that briefly? The reply is Om ityetat: Om that is. According to (the Gitā) "The mention of Brahman is traditionally spoken of as of three forms. Om Tat Sat". Pranava is the word that indicates Brahman. It may be noted that since the parts of Pranava akāra and makāra indicate the Supreme Self and individual soul respectively, there is instruction also with regard to the means and the attainer.

## I. ii. 16.

Now he (Yama) praises *Pranava* with the following two mantras:—

etaddhyevākṣaram Brahma etadhyevākṣaram param | etadevākṣaram jñātvā yo yadicchati tasya tat || 16 ||

This very syllable indeed is Brahman; This very syllable is indeed supreme; whoever, knowing this syllable indeed, whatever wants gets it.

#### COMMENTARY:

This very syllable is Brahman on account of its being the means of attainment of Brahman, since in accordance with the text "One should meditate on the Transcendent Person with this very name syllable Om" (Pr. U. V. 5), this is the object of meditation which leads to realisation of Brahman.

etadevākṣaram param: The best among those (words) fit to be muttered and fit to be meditated upon

etadevākṣaram jñātvā: He who practises this syllable through this practice whatever he desires (of the form) "Let this fruit be attained by me" he realises. This is the meaning.

### I. ii. 17:

etadālambanam šrestham etadālambanam param i etadālambanam jñātvā brahmaloke mahīyate || 17 ||

This is the best support; this is the highest support; knowing this support, one is glorified in the Brahmanworld.

## COMMENTARY:

This support means, of the form of OM is the best, better than meditation etc. is to be supplied.

For this reason Etadālambanam param: Meditation and others having this as their object are the best. This is the meaning. The meaning of the second half is clear.

## T. ii. 18:

na jäyate mriyate vä vipaścinnāyam kutaścinna babhūva kaścit | ajo nityaḥ śäśvato'yam purāṇo na hanyate hanyamāne śarīre || 18 ||

The knower is neither born nor dies: he comes from out of nothing nor was he ever born. This birthless, endless, everlasting ancient is not destroyed when the body is destroyed.

## COMMENTARY:

To begin with He (Death) teaches the nature of the individual soul with two mantras. In connection with this the following has been said by Vyāsārya; These two mantras deal with one subject matter. Since the second (mantra) is only an explanation of "is not destroyed when the body is destroyed", the following mantra (verse. 19) also refers only to individual soul, because in respect of the Supreme Self the world has no idea of his being the killer or the killed. Surely the Supreme Self transcends perception. How could there be any idea or being killed etc., in respect of Him? The idea of egoism such as the killer and killed as expressed in statements "I kill this", "This seeks to ki.1 me" in embodied souls, is only with reference to the individual soul.

If it be asked: the negation relating to killing is quite reasonable in respect of the supreme Self as there is the negation in "It does not get old through its body getting old", (We reply) True. The negation of change which was suggested by daharākāśa (subtle ether) dwelling in the body is reasonable. But here the common false notion is referred to and rejected. Surely there is no false notion on the part of any one of his being killed or the killer in respect of the Supreme Self. Therefore there is no place for either assertion or negation (of killer or killed). Also the mantra "Neither is one born nor dies" has the same meaning as that. Therefore

both the mantras refer to the individual soul. Now to the literal meaning:—

vipascit: Being fit to be omniscient. This (being) who is even now free from birth and death. This is the meaning.

Nāyam kutaścit: having no cause (utpādaka)

na babhūva kaścit: even in old times having no birth in the forms of man etc.,

He gives the reason for the statement "Neither is born nor dies"

ajah: having no birth. He then gives the reason for his. non-death

nitya: having no end. He next gives the reason for his coming out of Nothing as  $s\bar{a}svata$ : eternal. Then he gives the reason for his never having been born as  $Pur\bar{a}na$ : ancient. If it be asked: How could it (the individual soul) be deathless, since its death should necessarily follow on the destruction of its body, due to its dwelling in the body, He (Death) replies:

na hanyate: is not destroyed when his body is destroyed The meaning is clear.

I. ii. 19.

The same is explained further.

hanta cenmanyate hantum hata'scenmanyate hatam | ubhau tau na vijānito nāyam hanti na hanyate. || 19 ||

If the killer thinks that I shall kill him, and if the killed thinks that he is killed, both these do not know (the nature of the soul). (He) does not kill nor (is the other) killed.

#### COMMENTARY:

hanta cet: The meaning is if one taking the body for the soul thinks 'I shall kill this'

hatascenmanyate hatam: the meaning is if one whose body and limbs are cut off, deeming his body as soul, thinks within himself "I am mortally injured" ubhau tau na vijānītaḥ: Both of them do not know, 'The nature of the soul' is to be supplied.

nāyam hanti: He does not kill. 'The soul' is to be supplied.

na hanyate: Is not killed. 'The nature of the soul' is to be supplied.

It could not be said "How could there be any suggestion and negation of killing etc., in respect of the purified soul taught in the Vedānta, since it may be seen that he himself is the possessor of the body (kṣetra), and they (suggestion and negation) could be on account of this very fact (of embodiedness)."

A discussion is carried on in the Vedānta Śūtras (II. iii. 18.) touching these two mantras, The objector's view is that "inspite of the scriptural text "The wind, and other (antariksa)these are immortal" (Brh U. II. 3. 3.), which teaches the (immortality of) wind and atmosphere, because there is the scriptural passage, "The ether comes from out of the soul (and) the wind from out of the ether" (Tait. Up. II. 1.) indicating the origination of them, their origination is accepted, and because it must be accepted that all things are modifications of Brahman so as to explain (the passage) "from the knowledge of the One the knowledge of all occurs" (taught in the scripture). So also, in spite of there being texts describing individual souls as eternal, as there are texts "He created the individual souls on earth with water" (Tai. U. II) "The Lord of creatures (Prajāpati) created the creatures," which teach that individual souls are created, one has to accept creation even in respect of the individual souls, with a view to explain "the arising of knowledge of all from the knowledge of One."

Against this (objection) it has been established in the Vedānta Sūtra (II. iii. 10) "The soul is not created because of the Scripture (statement), and because of its endlessness, on account of scripture (statement)", that the soul does not originate, since the texts "The knower is neither born nor dies" (K. U. I, ii. 18). "The knower and the ignorant are

birthless." (Śvet. Up. I. ii.) negate origination. And therefore from the scriptures themselves its eternity is known. Nor should one doubt that therefore there will result contradiction to the passage (already quoted) from the texts which teach origination and make the assertion that 'all is known when that One is known', because though its nature is eternal yet it undergoes changes of state of the form of contraction and expansion of knowledge. And thus the text teaching its origination and the statement regarding all knowledge can well be in accord with each other and because the text negating its origination can be reconciled (with it) it as referring to its not having origination of the form of its very nature (substance) undergoing any change.

The difference is this much. There is undoubtedly change of the form of getting into different states in respect of all the three (categories), conscient, inconscient and the Ruler -but then the inconscients have origination of the form of substantial modification, while there is no such origination for the conscients. Their origination, however, is of the form of changes such as expansion and contraction in their characteristic of consciousness. For the Ruler, however, though there is change of state as the Ruler of such (modified) conscients and inconscients, the above mentioned two-fold changes (that happen in respect of inconscients and conscients) do not happen. Therefore the Supreme Self is described as the Eternal in a different sense (than what applies to the individual soul) as mentioned in the passage "Eternal of the eternals" (K. U. II. ii, 13). The meaning of the Sūtra has been explained."

If it be as asked whether the origination of the individual soul negatived by the text "He is neither born nor dies" is taught in the  $P\bar{a}ncar\bar{a}tra$  (passage) "From Vāsudeva the individual soul called Sankarṣaṇa is born", (we reply) it cannot be in respect of the jīva ((individual soul).

Similarly origination of the mind, which is an organ, from the individual soul, that is the agent, which is taught in

the passage "From Samkarsana is born the mind called Pradyumna", cannot happen, because the origination of an organ from the individual soul is contrary to the text which teaches that the mind originates from Brahman: "From this is born breath, mind and all organs" (Mun. U. ii. 3). This is the objector's view which is brought forward by the two Vedānta Sūtras: "Because of the impossibility of origination" and "Nor the organ from the Agent" (II. ii. 39 and 40), and the Siddhānta view is given by the two Śū ras "Or (it) being knowledge and destroyer (vijnānam ca tat ādi ca) it cannot be refuted" (II. ii. 41) and "Also because of refutation (of jīva-orīgination)" (II. ii, 42. "Or " means the setting aside of the Pūrvapakṣa view. Vijnānādi means in the Śūtra ' that which is knowledge and the Eater (destroyer.)'

If it be asked since the word 'ādi' ca being a form according to Lingānuśana sūtra "kyanto ghuh," is always masculine, how could this explanation be given? We reply this is not a ghu, but a form derived from the root'ada: to eat, with suffix meaning the necessary (āvaśyaka). Accordingly it can be seen that here is described (Brahman's) causality and its nature as destroyer of the world.

ādivijnānam: eater-intelligence means the Supreme Self.

The word 'jiva' mentioned in the passage "The individual soul called Samkarṣaṇa is born" means the Supreme Soul who presides over it. When this is so there can be no refutation of the anthority of the Śāstra (pāñcarātra).

The notion of birth as applied to God (Supreme Self) means the wearing of a body out of His own free will. Since in the same Pāncarātra the origination of the jiva is refuted (as in the passage) "He verily is beginningless and endless," there can be no statement contradictory to it. The passage "The individual soul called Samkarṣana is born" mentions only the wearing of the individual soul by Samkaraṣaṇa its

<sup>1.</sup> Kyanto ghuh the forms derived from Ghu: da or dha (to give or to bear or to nourish) adding with suffix ki (Pānini S. III. iii, 92) is masculine.

presiding deity, out of His Free Will, as the body. Consequently there is no lack of authoritativeness to Pañcaratia. This is the meaning of the two Śūtras.

If it be asked, like the Sāmkhya and Pāsupata and other adhikaranas should not this adhikarana also be taken as refuting the authority of the Pāncarātra, we reply that it is not compatible with facts. It is incredible that Badarayana who has written the Mahābhārata for expounding the Vedas and who has established in it at many places authority of the Pañcaratra, should refute the authority of the Pāncarātra in the Brahma Śūtras. (The statements in the Mahabharata are) (i) "This has been extracted like ghee from curd from the extensive Epic Mahābhā-1ata of a hundred thousand (verses) using His mind as a churning-rod." (ii) "Just as butter (is) extracted from curd. Brāhmaņa from bipeds, the Āraņyaka from the Vedas, and chyle from plants (this Sastra had been extracted)" (iii) "This is the great Upanisad equal to the four Vedas with views similar to Sāmkhya and Yoga, and is called Pāñcarātra", (iv) "This is beneficial, this is Brahman, this is good without a superior" (v) "Associated with Rr, Yajus and Sāman, as well as Atharvāngirasa, this very teaching will become the authority indeed "This alone is the instruction (vi) By Brāhmaņas, Kṣatriyas, Vaisyas and Sūdras, wearing signs, is (Madhava) to be adored, worshipped and served; who is sung by Samkarşana in accordance with Śāttvata injunction (Pāñcatātra). (vii) From this, Svayambhuva Manu is going to promulgate the Dharmas.

If it be asked. (i) since similarly in the passages such as "This is the complete truth of the learned Sāmkhyas, which has been taught by chief ascetics such as Kapila and other accomplished souls, where O best of men there appear no false ideas, where there are very many excellences, with absolute absence of faults", the absence of all faults such as wrong notion is mentioned in the Mahābhārata with regard to the School of Kapila, and (ii) since it is declared

that Nārāyana is the ultimate Object of Sāmkhya, Yoga Pāśupata and others in passages such as: "O Best among Kings, in all these sciences the Ultimate Object is the Lord Nārāyana according to Scripture and Reasoning;" and (iii) since it is stated in (the passage) "The intelligent authors of the śāstras speak of Him alone" that the authors of these schools (śāstras) also deal with Nārāyana; and (iv) since it is stated in (the passage) "The Sāmkhya Yoga, Pancaratra, the Vedas. Pasupata, these authorities on the Self should not be destroyed (with the help of reasons.)" that all these are authorities on the self, and (v) since according to the example of Pancaratra, other schools also are said to be authorities as (in the passage) "all are authorities as this excellent śāstra is", in that pāda (V. S. II. ii.) the authority of such scriptures (āgama) such as Sāmkhya, and Pāśupata is not refuted; (we reply) the absence of illusion and deception and others and the having of Nārāyana as the Ultimate Object on the part of the authors of (these) śāśtras are common Against those who however owing to insufficient study not knowing the heart of the propounders of these śāstras, and taking as true only their surface-features, come forward (with objections), the author of the Sūtras granting that the schools of Samkhya and others refer only to those surface-features made the refutation. But the Pañcaratra school even superficially appears to teach the Supreme Truth, the means and the goal, and since there appears nothing in contradiction with Vedas such as difference between material and efficient causes, it is wholly authoritative, and there is no room for doubting the contrary, in respect of any portion of it. This can be seen." This is what Vyāsārya has said (in the Śrutabrakāśika). Let us no w proceed.

# I. ii. 20.

Thus having clearly expounded the nature of the individual by the preceding two mantras, (now Death) teaches the nature of the Supreme Self who is the self of that (individual soul) (thus):

anor anīyān¹ mahato mahīyān
ātmasya jantor nihito guhāyām
tām akratuḥ paśyati vītaśoko
Dhātuḥ prasādān mahīmānam ātmanaḥ. 

20 

1

Subtler than the subtle, vaster than the vast, the Soul of this creature is put in the Cave (of the heart); Him the greatness of the individual soul the actionless sees, beieft of sorrow, through the grace of the Sustainer.

## COMMENTARY:

anoraniyān: More subtle than the conscient (soul) which is subtle when compared to all the unconscient things Subtler than that. That is, He is capable of entering into it,

mahalo mahiyan: Greater than the ether etc., that is. there is nothing not pervaded by it

asya jantoh: Of the individual soul spoken of by the earlier two mantras (defining jiva) as 'Neither born nor dies'

 $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ : One that enters and controls—this is the meaning.

It is clear therefore that he who is dealt with in this mantra "Subtler than the subtle" is different from the nature of the individual soul, mentioned in the two previous mantras. It should not be presumed that 'asya jantoh' "of this creature" need not be construed with "self", since it qualifies 'guhā' meaning the cave of the heart, which requires a possessor (sambandha(-i) sāpekṣene), because there is no harm in construing the words 'asya jantoh" along with something other than the word 'ātma'. though it is construed with that also, according to the maxim of 'crow's eye' (kākākṣi-nyāya), for in the passage "Cutting the branch at the bottom, he makes the upaveśa (a small stick used in the sacrifice)" (P.M.S. IV. ii. 8). The word mūlatah 'at the bottom taken as going with 'makes an upavesā' while it is taken also as going with

cutting, cutting the branch at the bottom, one makes upaveşa from the bottom". Besides even though the passage means that It (Brahman) resides in the heart-cave of the jiva (individual soul), there results the difference. Indeed there is no use in teaching that the jiva (the individual soul) is himself residing in his heart-cave.

If it be asked that the selfmentioned above as one that is placed in the jiva's cave may be the jiva himself, because 'asya jantoh' is to be accepted only as referring to its body which is made known by perception and other (sources of knowledge), due to the fact that individual soul who has been described as 'Neither boin nor dies' cannot be spoken of as a 'creature (jantu)' which means the created. It cannot be held that the subsequent contexts "Who other than myself is fit to know that God who is free from both pleasure and sorrow" (I. ii. 21b.) and "How He is, this who can know" (I. ii. 25b.), which describe the difficulty of knowing, cannot be compatible with the individual soul who always is known as 'I' and as one who possesses agency and enjoyerness etc .. because, though he is known by all the world as one who possesses agency etc.,...he could be such as to be difficult to be known as one who is of the nature of Brahman that is to be attained by the Freed, (we reply) No. Because the word 'iantu being a synonym for sentient (cetana) according to the Lexicon (of Amara Simha)-" prānī tu cetano janmī jantu-janya sarīranah "-can signify the individual soul, and the pronominal 'asya' can be taken to refer to the individual soul, spoken of in the previous context and so should not be taken to mean the body known through perception and other (sources of knowledge). And since as stated in the (passages) "This Self is in my inner heart, smaller than corn, barley or mustard or millet or their kernal, this self (is) in -my inner heart greater than the earth, greater than the sky, greater than Heaven, greater than these worlds" (Ch. U. III. 14. 3), the extreme subtlity and vastness, are qualities of the Supreme Self, there can be no doubt that what is described in this mantra "Subtler than the subtle..." is the Supreme Self.

If it be asked since in the Śrt Bhāṣya under the Sūtra "Not the individual soul because of incompatibility" (I. i, 17), the state of being intelligent mentioned in the mantra "With Brahman the intelligent" (Tait. U) is said to be the unique characteristic of Brahman, the mantra the "intelligent is neither born nor dies" may be construed as referring to the Supreme Self. Whilst it is so, there is no resort to the difficulty in explaining the question and answer "Different from dharma" (I. ii. 14) as referring to two attainables, and the mantra "neither born nor dies" as referring to the nature of the attainable individual soul and the present context "subtler than the subtle" as dealing with the Supreme Self; we reply No. Because it is necessary, to reject the primary meaning (mukhyārtha) of the word 'Intelligent', as otherwise the negativation of 'killing' etc., would be irrelevant, therefore the mantras the "intelligent neither is born nor dies..." and "If the killer thinks to kill..." on the one hand and the mantra in this context namely 'subtler than the subtle...' on the other hand, cannot refer to the same topic.

The rest will be clarified later on.

tam: such a Supreme Self

akratul: Actionless, remaining without performing any Kāmya action, action done for getting any result.

dhātuh: of the Supreme Self who supports

prasadat: due to the Grace

ātmanah mahīmānam: One that brings about greatness to the soul; that is the self who is the cause of manifesting the qualities such as omniscience etc., of the individual soul – that is the Supreme Self.

yadā paśyati: When one sees

vitasoka: bereft of sorrow. Then one becomes bereft of sorrow.

In the Dyubhvādyadhikarana (Śrī Bhāsya I, iii. 1) introducing the portion of the mantra "When one sees the Lord

distinct and pleased" (Śvet U iv 7), the Bhāsyakāra (Śrī Rāmanuja) makes the following comment: "When this (self) sees the Lord of all, as distinct from himself and pleased and also (sees) the Lord's greatness of the form of control over all existence, then he becomes bereft of sorrow" Following that the meaning here may also be "He who sees also the Supreme Self's greatness of the form of control over all existence, becomes bereft of sorrow"

Or else the construction may be: (he) becomes bereft of sorrow due to the Grace of the Supporter, since it may be seen there is the Smṛti-passage of. "Acyuta (He who falls not nor permits others falling) is pleased with him, when He is pleased there is banishment of sorrow".

When the reading (of the Upanisad text) is as follows: akratum pasyati dhātuh prasādāt mahīmānam īsam akratum means void of superiority and inferiority due to action

dhātuh · of the Lord.

### I. 11 21

Death shows that the truth of the Supreme Self on account of Its being fully transcendent is difficult of being grasped by one who is lacking the Grace of the Lord which is described (in the previous mantia) as the Grace of the Supporter.

āsīno dūram vrajati sayāno yāti sarvatah | kastam madāmadam devam madanyo jñātum arhati | 21

Sitting he goes afar, lying down he moves everywhere. Who except me can know Him the God free from pleasure and unpleasure.

## COMMENTARY:

 $\bar{a}sino$   $d\bar{u}ram.$  Sitting. What is meant here is that functions such as sitting and going afar which ordinarily to be appear contradictory elsewhere, can be present in Him through the individual souls whose Self He is <sup>1</sup>

1 cf Isa U 4 and 5.

has tam: Who Him who remains between (i.e. free from) the pairs of opposite qualities such as pleasure and unpleasure, who can know except a person like me who is favoured with the Grace of the Supreme Self. This is the meaning.

## I. ii. 22.

aśarīram śarīreṣvanavastheṣvavasthitam |
nahāntam vibhum ātmānam matvā dhīro na śocati. || 22 ||

Contemplating upon the Self, bodiless but always established in transitory bodies, possessing vast powers, the brave (intelligent) one does not grieve.

### COMMENTARY:

asarīram: Without a body brought about by actions, anavasthesu: transitory

avasthitam: being eternally established

mahāntam vibhum: possessing vast powers. Meditating upon the Self (as described above) the intelligent (man) does not grieve.

# I. ii. 23.

Death shows the means of attaining that (Supreme) Self:

nāyamātmā pravacanena labhyo namedhayā na bahunā śrutena ! yam evaisa vṛṇute tena labhyas tasyaisa ātmā vivṛṇute tanūm svām. 423 4

This Self is attainable neither by thinking nor by meditation nor by good deal of hearing. Whom He chooses by that very person is He attainable. To him this Self reveals His form.

#### COMMENTARY:

pravacanena...: Since here it is only reasonable to render the word pravacana as manana, thinking, and since there is no likelihood of pravacana which means teaching being considered as the cause (of attainment) and since this is explained

<sup>1.</sup> Ch. U. VI. 12.2; Mund. U. II 2,2,

by Vyāsārya (author of the Śrutaprakāśikā) in this manner alone, pravacana means thinking.

eṣaḥ: The Supreme Self yam: which practiser vrnute: chooses

tena labhyah: By the person sought by Him, is attainable. The state of being sought after by Him (the Lord), can only be in respect of a person who is His Beloved. To be His Beloved can happen only to one who loves Him (alone). Therefore the Love of God on the part of the practiser creates love of him on the part of God and thus it becomes the cause of the attainment of Him. This is the meaning.

tasya eşah: To him, to that practiser, the Supreme Self tanum: svarupam, His nature (form)

vivrun te: reveals. The meaning is (He) gives Himself. The same is the meaning when the reading is vrnute.

# I. ii 24.

Now Death teaches certain functions (dharmas) as subsidiaries to meditation that leads to the attainment of the Supreme Self:

nāvirato duścaritān nāśanto nāsamāhitaḥ | nāśāntamānaso vāpi prajñānenainam āpnuyāt. || 24 ||

No one who has not abstained from bad deeds, attains This through knowledge, nor he who is not free (from desire, anger and others) nor one who is not self recollected, nor one who has not controlled his mind.

# COMMENTARY:

duscaritat aviratah: One who has not withdrawn from seducing other's wives and stealing other's properties

<sup>1.</sup> Tanum Svām: Svarupam cf. RV. V. 72.4, Some writers like Anand K. Coomaraswami and Dr. S. K. Maitra write "It hardly appears that any doctrine of "Grace" is necessarily involved." But it appears to the writer here that it is inescapable.

aśāntah one whose passions of desire and anger have not subsided,

asamahitah. one whose mind is not attentive due to distractions by manifold activities

asāntamānasah one whose mind is not iestrained enam. The Supreme Self

prajnanena, through knowledge

nāpnuyāt. will not attain. This is the meaning.

It is quite proper to enjoin abstention from evil deeds, and others as the subsidiaries of the meditation, though all these are purusārtha (i c. there are already injunctions prescribing abstention from all these things, the transgression of which will result in sinfulness), just as there is prohibition (negative injunction) "One should not speak falsehood" which, though a puruṣārtha, is again prescribed in the context of the Darśapūiṇamāsa—sacrifices as a subsidiary to them.

Now therefore if one, transgressing this negative injunction, which is a puruṣārtha (that which when transgressed result in sînfulness to that person), wants to perform correctly the meditation on the Supreme Self, then to that one, the meditation will not bear fruit, since this subsidiary is not acted upon. This is the meaning.

## I. ii. 25.

yasya brahma ca ksatram ca ubhe bhāvata odanah | mrtyur yasyopasecanam ka itthā veda yatra sah || 25 ||

To Whom the brāhmaņa and ksatriya both become food, to Whom Death is curry spice, this, (Person) who knows as to how He is?

#### COMMENTARY.

of movables and immovables through secondary significance, the primary meaning being the two castes brāhmaṇa and kṣatriya

yasya odano bhavati . means by whom it is destroyable

yasya mṛtyur upasecanam: for whom Death is helper in eating of others while he (Death) himself is eaten

sah: He, the Suprems Self, that destroys all the movables and the immovables,

kah...yatra: in which manner He is, that is how He is, that manner who knows

ittham: (so as to be able to express it) it is this. This is the meaning

If it be asked what is there to necessitate taking the words 'brahma' and 'kṣatra' to mean the entire world consisting of the moving and unmoving we shall explain:—

When it is said that brāhmaṇa and kṣatriya are food, it is necessary that the word 'odana' (food) should through its secondary significance mean enjoyableness or destructibility, since the castes, brāhmana and kṣatriya, cannot be literally food to any body. There is not indeed any individual self or Supreme Self who is the eater of only the brāhmaṇas and kṣatriyas or destroyer of them alone.

If it be objected that this can be an injunction prescribing the destruction of brāhmaṇas and kṣatriyas for the sake of meditation, though He is the destroyer of all, just as in the passage "He is Lord of all these worlds which are beyond Heaven" Antarāditya vidyā" (Ch. Up. II.) only overlordship in respect of a particular world is being taught for the sake of meditation though the Supreme Self is the Lord of all the worlds. Not so, because, like that, this is not a context of meditation. Therefore it is proper that the mention of the brāhmaṇa and kṣatriya should refer by secondary meaning to the moving and the unmoving. This is said bythe author of the Vedānta Sūtras "The eater, because of the mention of moving and unmoving" (I. ii. 9).

If it be asked, even so, how is it that the word 'Food' is taken to signify secondarily destructibility, (since) even the attribution of secondary significance to a word must be based upon a particular quality and not on a general one? Indeed in the

79

sentence "This pupil is fire" by the word 'fire' the substance-ness is not denoted unlike the golden colour and other qualities (which are recalled to mind). For this reason in the Adhvaryu's command (praisa) (P M.S. III. 6) "Let the Hotr's cup come forward, also the Brahman's cup, the Udgātr's cup, the Yajamana's cup", where the word 'udgatrinam', because of the plural, is to be taken as referring to many, it is so accepted (in the Pürva Mimāmsā) through the secondary significance as referring only the group (of four) of Udgātr priests, and not as referring to the common characteristic of rtviks who are sixteen. Similarly, here also, though Brahma and Ksatra cannot be what are primarily signified by 'food'. yet it is only reasonable to take them through secondary significance as things edible or enjoyable and not as destructible. which is a remote meaning, and which will make the sentence refer to the Supreme Self, the destroyer of the mobile and immoble creatures.

We reply, even if the quality of destructibility is a general one (sādharanākārah), even then, it is only reasonable to accept it as being referred to through secondary significance, since it accords with the remainder of the passage "For whom Death is curry-spice".

If it be asked, since the word 'food' precedes 'curry-spice', according to the primary significance of that word (odana), the particular' characteristic of being enjoyable alone must be accepted as indicated by it through secondary significance and the latter term 'curry-spice' may be taken as meaning "that which does not obstruct". Therefore "He who enjoys brāhmaṇas and kṣatriyas and to whom Death is no obstructor (in this enjoyment)" is that which is dealt with in this mantra. And the enjoyer can ally be the individual soul; therefore let it be the subject-matter of this mantra.

- 1. All editions other than the Poona ed. omit the two lines at this point, without which the whole thing reads as a puzzle.
  - 2. yadyapı is only found in the Poona ed other editions have omitted it.

<sup>3.</sup> It should be asādhāraṇa in the text but in all the editions it is found as sādhāraṇa which is obviously a mistake. cf. earlier sentence.

The reply is: (If so) there will result the total rejection of the relation that is indicated between Death who is spoken of metaphorically as 'curry-spice' and brāhmaņa and kṣatriya, who are metaphorically spoken of as 'food', as between curd and rice. If it be said that (it means) 'to Whom brahmanas and kṣatriyas are enjoyable and to Whom Death is no obstructor' then indeed no relationship between Death on the one hand and the brahmanas and ksatriyas on the other hand, could be discerned. Therefore though the word 'curry-spice' is relatively a subsequent term in relation-to the word 'food' (in this passage), it must be taken to mean only the particular thing with helps eating other things whilst it is also eaten up (along with them) rejecting the general meaning (of being not an obstuctor). Consequently, according to the word 'curryspice', occuring later in the same sentence the word 'food' also should signify in a secondary sense destructibility alone. decided in the Attradhikarana (I. ii. 2) that it is only correct to accept a sense that is indicated by another word occuring in the same sentence in preference to what is particularly indicated by the consideration of the word in question alone, because there is economy of intellectual activity (buddhilaghavam) and because it harmonizes the other parts of the passage (in question). Enough of this discussion that sprouts like tender leaves on a branch (alam ballavitena).

> This concludes the Second Valli of the First Adhyāya of the Kathopanişad.

## THIRD VALLI.

I. iii. 1.1

rtam pibantau sukrtasya loke guhām pravistau parame parārdhye l chāyātapau <sup>2</sup>brahmavido vadanti pañcā gnayo ye ca trināciketāļ. || 1 ||

Knowers of Brahman who have five-fires, and who have studied the three anuvākas (beginning with ayam vā va yah pavate) speak of shade and sunshine, which drink Rta and which have entered the cave in the most supreme excellent place in the world of good deeds.

### COMMENTARY:

If the Brahman is difficult of knowing as was stated in the last mantra (I. ii. 25. d.) "Who knows this as it is?", one cannot understand where and how He is and so we cannot meditate on Hin. To Naciketas who thought thus, (Death) shows by two mantras that since the meditator and the meditated upon have entered the same cave (of the heart) and so the Supreme Self can be easily meditated upon, we can therefore meditate on Him.

rtam pibantau: rta: the inevitable result of action which is spoken of as truth (satya), pibantau: enjoying

sukṛtasya loke : existing in this very world which is
attainable through good deeds

parame: in the most supreme ether

parārdhe: means the ultimate number; fit for it is pardhyam. That means the excellent, existing in such a heartether  $(hrday\bar{a}k\bar{a}\dot{s}a)$ 

chāyātapau: indicate through secondary significance the ignorant and the intelligent. The idea in mentioning the individual soul as ignorant is this. There may be a doubt

<sup>1.</sup> cf. RV. X. 177. 1-2:

<sup>2.</sup> cf. K. U. VI. 5.

that if the meditator and the meditated upon dwell in the same cave and they are (as indeed they are) attainer and attainable, the attainable cannot be said to be existing in the body which is metaphorically spoken of as a chariot helping attainment of That (attainble Brahman), as the thing that is approached with the help of the chariot cannot indeed be in the chariot itself. This doubt need not be. Though the attainable Supreme Self is there (within the body-chariot), since on account of being enshrouded in the ignorance of the form of action, due to the will of the Supreme Self as stated in the Vedānta Sūtra (III. 11. 4) "Hidden on account of the Will of the Transcendent", the attainment of the form of the 'experience of Him is lacking. Therefore there is no incongruity in saying that the individual soul and the Supreme Self, attainer and the attainable, dwell in the same cave, which is within the body, denoted by the metaphor 'chanot'

pañcāgnayah Those whose mind is purified through service (woiship) of the five fires

trınāciketāh: This has been already explained.

brahmavido vadanti. Such knowers of Biahman speak of, is the meaning Since meiely those who have woishipped (served) the five fires and mastered the three Naciketa-anuvākas, have no ability to describe such a transcendent Self, these (pancāgni and trināciketa) are qualifications going with the knowers of Brahman.

That this mantia refers to the two, the individual soul and transcendent Self, is said in the Vedānta Sūtra "Those two that have entered the cave are souls' (I ii. 11). If it be asked '(1) since there cannot happen the state of being an enjoyer of action mentioned in this mantia, that is diinking Rta, by the Supreme Self who is free from (any) enjoyment of results of actions, (ii) since existence in a world attainable through good deeds and delimitation by a cave, are impossible for the

<sup>1</sup> A K, Coomaraswami holds that these 'two' iefers to Mitra and Varuna 'Mitra is the day, Varuna the night' (P B XXV 19-10), apara and Para Brahman, the immanent and Transcendent selves, God and Godhead,

transcendent Brahman which is omnipresent, (iii) since the descriptions (in this mantra) as shade and sunshine (chāyā and ātapa) that is non-luminous and luminous, are not consistent if referred to the individual soul and the Supreme Self; (iv) since it the reference is to the intellect (buddhi) and jīva (the individual soul), all these (different views) will harmonize, and (v) since indeed the use of the word piba itau with reference to the organs of enjoyment of fruits of actions can be explained by taking it as an agent through secondary significance, it is only proper to rold that this mantra refers to buddhi (intellect) and the jīva (individual soul)—

We reply — There is no room for the doubt raised by you since in the sūtra (I 11. 11) "Both entered the cave," the same question has been raised and answered in the following manner. "When there is (dual) number mentioned and one of them determined and the second requires determination it is only reasonable to decide it as belonging to the same genus (iāti) (as the former), since there is parsimony of thought, when the genus already known is adopted and the particular alone is to be determined. If, on the other hand, it is said to be a thing of a different genus the e is heaviness (gauravam) of thought, due to requiring two ideas, one of the genus and the other of the particular. Even in common usage in the statements such as "The second to cow is to be sought", it is seen to be similar Consequently the second to the individual soul who is definitely known through its characteristic of drinking Rta, is to be determined to be the Supreme Self alone who belongs to the same genus as the individual soul. being conscient.

Since (i) the Supreme Self being the causal agent can be spoken of as one of the two who drink, since (11) the inner organ (buddhi) is neither an independent agent nor a causal one, the word 'pibantau' (the two who drink) can in no way include it, since (iii) it is possible that the ounipresent Brahman does exist even in the world that is attained through good deeds, since (iv) the entering into the Cave also

happened to (the Brahman) who in this very context is mentioned as having entered into the Cave "Guhāhitam gahvaiestham" K. U. (I ii 12b), and since (v) the word "chāyātaþa" can indicate the a-little-knower and the All-knower, this mantra refers only to the individual soul and the Supreme Self.

Further the doubt that "because according to Paingirahasya Biāhmana (beginning with) the passage" 'Of these two the one eats the sweet Pippa (Mund. U. III i.) refers to the satira, the mantia Dva' suparna the two birds (Mund. U. III. 1) refers to the intellect and soul (and) because in the adhikarana containing the Sūtra (V. S. III iii 34) "This much for the sake of meditation", this mantra is said to bear the same meaning as the mantra "The two birds", this man'ra has also to refer to the intellect and soul, is cleared by the author of the Vedānta Sūtras himself by the Sūtia (I. ii 11) "The two that have entered the Cave are souls" Besides the entering into the Cave on the part of the individual souls, is stated to be due to the instrumentality or conditioning by intellect Its co-mention as entering the Cave with the intellect which itse'f has entered into the Cave is not valid. I hough in respect of gold that has become heavier due to its being taken along with its base (upasthambhaka), the statement "gold is heavier" is reasonable yet such statements as "The gold and the base are heavier", are not made For this reason according to the opponent's vie , the explanation given to this mantra in consonance with the Sūtia (Guhām pravistha!) (I 2 11) is not reasonable For though according to the scriptural aassage ' With the form of Jiva (soul) entering into" (Ch. U. VI 3 2', the Supreme Self enters with the form of the soul (jiva), yet, it does not enter (in His own nature), as Supreme Self. The mention of the Supreme Self and individual soul as the two that have entered the Cave cannot be correct. Indeed though one can say that Brahman is a samsārin , e one who is caught up in the chain of buths and deaths) meaning by that that the Brahman is caught up in samsara in his form as jiva, still one cannot say

that the two, individual soul and Brahman, undergo samsara, With regard to the two views accepted in accordance with the scriptural statements (i) "(It) makes through its manifestation (ābhāsa) the particular soul and the God" and itself becomes Māyā and avidyā' (Nrsımha pūrvatapanīya Up. 9) "Conditioned by the effect is this jua, and (ii) conditioned by the cause is God", that either avidya or the inner organ (antahkarana) is adjunct (upādhi) of the jīva, it is not correct (to hold) that avidya and the antahkarana (inner organ) are the reflection containing reflexive adjuncts (pratibimba-upādhitva). Because it is not correct to hold that the individual soul is either the reflexion in avidy, or in the inner organ, since the consciousness (cartanya) which is non-perceptual (acaksu,ā) cannot be reflected. And reflection means that which is grasped by one through the rays of light in the eyes deflected on account of obstruction by a transparent substance. Therefore only two views remain; that the jiva (individual soul) is that which is delimited by avidya or by antahkarana.1

Neither the scripture which describes the unconditioned Supreme Self as entering the Cave nor the Antaryāmi Brāhmana is in accord with regard to these (two views) since (the soul) in the heart cave is (indeed) delimited by avidyā or antaḥkarāna (inner organ). Enough of this discussion. To proceed with the Commentary.

#### I. iti. 2.

yah setur ijananam akşaram brahma yat param | abhayam titirsatam param Naciketam sakemahi | 2 |

1. A K. Coommaraswam writes Sukrtasya loke means 'righteous world, . The Empyrean Brahma-world is more truly 'non-made' (akrta uncreated) than well made (Sukrta), unless we understand by 'well made' 'Self made' (Svakrta) in accordance with Taī Up II.? He contends that Sankara's interpretation and incidentally Rangaramanuja's as Karma phala are impossible in this context with the paramaparārāha "The Parama Vyoman. cf. RV. X 129,7 cf. RV VII 164, 10 and Praśna Up I 11.

Know the self as the occupant of the chariot, the body as the chariot itself, know the intellect (buddhi) to be the charioteer and the mind (manas) as the reins.

# COMMENTARY:

ātmānam rathinam: Him who presides over the body know as the occupant of the chariot

sariram ...: Know this body itself is the chariot

buddhim: Since the activities of the body are dependent upon or due to determination called buddhi (intellect), the nature of being a charioteer (is attributed) to it. This is the idea.

pragrahah: rein (or bridle, raśanā)

# I. iii. 4.

indriyāni hayān āhur vişayāms t.şu gocarān | ātmendriyamanoyuktam bhoktety āhur manīşiņah ||

(The intelligent) speak of the senses as the horses, their objects as their fields: (and they) speak of the soul along with its body, senses and the mind, as the enjoyer  $(bhokt\bar{a})$ .

# COMMENTARY:

indriyani hayan ahuh: the intelligent speak of the senses as the horses; the meaning is clear.

vişayān teşu gocarān: teşu: in respect of the senses which are expressed by the metaphor of horses. gocarān: as the paths (roods: know the sense-objects of the sound etc. to be these. This is the meaning.

Now (Death) shows as a quite well-known fact that in the absence of its body, senses, mind and intellect which are metaphorically referred to as chariot, charioteer, horses and reins, there is no agency on the part of the inactive self who is metaphorically spoken of as the rider in the chariot in respect of actions, both mundane and scriptural, of the form of movement.

ātmendriya...: The word (ātman) refers to the body. The word manas refers through secondary significance also to the intellect, which is its effect, since in the previous mantra buddhi is mentioned as the charioteer.

bhoktā: One who is the agent as well as enjoyer (of experiences). The idea is that the pure self has neither agency nor enjoyment.

# I. iii. 5 and 6.

Now He (Death) speaks of the purpose of the metaphor of chariot etc. in respect of the body etc. in the following two mantras.

yas tv avijnānvān bhavaty ayuktena manasā sadā tasyendriyāny avasyāni dustāsvā iva sāratheh !! 5 !!

yas tu vijnānavān bhavati yuktena manasā sadā | tasyendriyāni vasyāni sadasvā iva sāratheh. || 6 ||

For him, who always remains ignorant with his mind unconcentrated, his senses become uncontrollable just as wild horses for the charioteer; but for him who becomes intelligent always with his mind concentrated, his senses become controllable, just as trained horses for the charioteer, (are controllable).

#### COMMENTARY:

In this world indeed to one who has got a good charioteer and reins, the horses become obedient. In the same manner only when the intellect and mind, metaphorically spoken of as charioteer and bridle are good (trained and disciplined), the senses, metaphorically spoken of as horses, become obedient and not otherwise.

#### 1. Vicious : Krishna Prem.

#### I. iii. 7 and 8.

With the following two mantras (Death) reveals the effects of subjugating or non-subjugating the senses mentioned as horses.

yas tv avijñāvān bhavaty
amanaskah sadāsucih |
na sa tat padam āpnoti
sa samsāram cādhigacchati | 7 ||
yas tu vijñānavān bhavati
samanaskah sadā sucih |
sa tu tat padam āpnoti
yasmād bhūyo na jāyate || 8 ||

He who remains ignorant, always absent-minded and impure, he does not attain that abode but gets more entangled in samsāra. But he who becomes intelligent and vigilant (in mind) and pure attains that abode, as he is not born again.

#### COMMENTARY:

amanaskah: he whose mind is unsubjugated etc.

asucih: impure for the same reason because of his constant inclination towards thinking evil This is the meaning.

samsāram ca adhigacchati: the meaning is that not only is there the failure to attain the desired abode but on the contrary it leads to the same dense jungle of samsāra.

I. iii. 9.

He (Death) concludes answering the question (viz. Which is that abode?)

vijnānasārathir yas tu
manaḥpragrahavān naraḥ |
so'dhvanaḥ pāram āpnoli
tad viṣṇoḥ paramam padam | 9 ||

But that man who has his intellect as charioteer and mind as bridle, he attains the supreme abode of Visnu, which is the goal of the path.

# COMMENTARY .

vijnana...: The meaning is that he who has a trained intellect and mind attains the nature of the Supreme Self which is the end of the path of samsara.

Now those among body and others, metaphorically spoken of as chariot and others for the sake of controlling, as to which are relatively more important than others in respect of controlling, are being mentioned in the following two mantras.

I. iii. 10 and 11.

indriyebhyah parā hy arthā
arthebhyas ca param manah |
manasas tu parā buddhir
buddher ātmā mahān parah || 10 ||
mahatah param avyaktam
avyaktāt puruṣah parah |
puraṣān na param kincit
sā kāṣṭhā sā parā gatih || 11 ||

The objects are more important than the organs, and more important indeed than the objects is the mind (manas), and more important than the mind is the buddhi (intellect), and more important than the buddhi is the Great soul.

More important than the Great (soul) is the unmanifest (body), more important than the unmanifest is the purusa (person). More important than the purusa there is nothing. It is the ultimate (means for the means). It is the final goal.

# COMMENTARY:

The meaning of these two mantras has been stated by Bhagavān Rāmānuja in his Bhāṣya under the Anumānīkādhikaraṇa (I. iv. 1). The text of the Śrī Bhāṣya is as follows:—

"It thereupon proceeds to declare which of the different things' enumerated and compared to a chariot, and so on, occupy a superior position to the others in so far, namely, as

<sup>1.</sup> Thibant's translation of the passage is given here. Thibant has stated here 'beings', it should be 'things'.

they are that which require to be controlled - more important than the senses are the objects', and so on. More important' than the senses - compared to the horses, - are the objects -, compared to roads - because even a man who generally controls his senses finds it difficult to master them in presence of their objects; more important than the objects is the mind-compared to the reins because when the mind inclines towards the objects even the non-proximity to the latter does not make much difference; imore important than the mind (manas) is the inte lect (buddhi) compared to the charioteer - because in the absence of decision (which is the characteristic quality of buddhi) the mind also has little power; more important than the intellect again is the (individual) self, for that self is the agent whom the intellect serves. And as all this is subject to the wishes of the self, the text characterises it as the Great (self). Superior to the self again is the body, compared to the chariot, for all activity whereby the individual self strives to bring about what is of advantage to itself depends on the body. And more important finally than the body is the highest Person, the inner Ruler and Self of all, the term and goal of the journey of the individual soul. for the activities of all the beings enumerated depend on the wishes of that highest Self. As the universal inner Ruler of that self brings about the meditation of the Devotee also; for the Sutra (II. iii. 41) expressly declares that the activity of the individual soul depends on the Supreme Person. He 2alone is the Ultimate means for accomplishing the meditation upon that which is to be made amenable (vasikarya) and that which is to be attained uitimately; hence the text says "More important than the Person there is nothing - It is the Ultimate means. It is the final goal".3

<sup>1.</sup> Wherever, in Thibaut's translation, 'Higher' occurs 'More important' has been substituted as Rangarāmānuja renders param as more important,

<sup>· 2.</sup> This sentence has been modified in this translation, as Thibant's is incorrect.

<sup>3.</sup> Our translation of the Katha. text is substituted in the place of Thibaut's.

Analogously scripture, in the Antaryāmi Brāhmaṇa, at first declares that the highest Self within witnesses and rules everything, and thereupon negatives the existence of and further ruling principle. There is no other seer but He'&c. Similarly in the Bhagavad Gītā: "The abode, the agent, the various senses, the different and manifold functions, and fifth the Divinity (i. e. the highest Person)" (XVIII. 14)¹ The Divinity meant here is the Highest Person (puruṣa) alone because of the Gitā-statement "I dwell within the heart of all; memory, perception, apoha (absence of consciousness)"... (XV. 15)²; and making Him amenable means complete surrender to Him, as stated in "The Lord dwells in the heart of all creatures, as if mounted on a machine (body), causing them to turn round and round by His Māyā. Surrender unto Him alone with all your being, Arjuna..." (Bh. G. XVIII. 61-2)³.

# I. iii. 12.

eşa sarveşu bhūteşu gūdho'tmā na prākāsate | dṛṣyate tv agrya vā buddhyā sūkṣ nayā sūkṣmadarsibhih | 12 ||

This person residing in all beings as their Selfdoes not shine being hidden (by His Māyā), but He) is perceived by those subtle seeing seers with their intellects one pointed and subtle.

#### COMMENTARY:

gūdhah: hidden; because of being hidden by the māya of triple qualities.

na prakāṣate: does not shine; (as he is) to those who have n t controlled both their inner and outer sense-organs.

 $agryay\bar{a}$ : being one-pointed, that is having no outer or inner activities.

 $s\bar{u}ksmadarsibhih$ : by those experienced in perceiving intuitively.

drayate: is seen; this is the meaning.

- 1. Ph. G. translation is ours. And the sentence is modified by us.
- 2. Bh. G. translation is ours. And the sentence is modified by us. 3. Bh. G. trans. is modified to suit Sii Rāmānuja's meaning.

#### I. iii. 13.

yacched vān manasi prājnas tad yacchejjnāna ātmani | jnānam ātmani mahati niyacchet tad yacchec chānta ātmani | 13 ||

The intelligent (man) must integrate his speech with mind, integrate the mind with the intellect in the soul, integrate the intellect with the soul that is great, (and) integrate the soul with the quiet self.

#### COMMENTARY:

Now He (Death) shows the manner of making one's inner and outer organs actionless, and manner of knowing the nature of the individual soul mentioned in the mantra (K. U. I. ii. 12) "through attaining the Yoga of one's inner self".

yacched: In respect of this mantra Sii Rāmānuja has stated as follows: "The following describes the manner of controlling the senses, metaphorically described as horses and vacched vanmanasi: (One) must integrate one's speech with one's mind, that is, place one's organs of speech etc., and the organs of sense in the mind. The objective case after the noun vak is omitted according to the (Paninian) rule supam suluk . (VII. i. 39). The locative case in manasi is lengthened according to Vedic exception. tad yacched jñana ātmani: tat: that mind one should integrate with the intellect. Jnana: here indicates intellect mentioned before. jnane atmani: these are two locatives which are not coordinate (vyadhikarana). The meaning is: with the intellect that is in the soul. Jnanam atmani mahati niyacchet: (one) should integrate (one's) intellect with the soul that is great and agent. Tad vacchet santa ātmani: That agent one should integrate with the Supreme Self, the indwelling Ruler of all. The neuter 'tat' is according to Vedic exception. That abode belonging to Visnu is to be attained by such an occupant of the chariot (the body). This is the meaning."

This (above passage in the Śri Bhāṣya) has been explained by the author of the Śrutaprakāśika (as follows). Integration of speech with mind means making (speech)

indifferent towards activities that are contrary to the mind. Integration of mind with intellect means making mind act in accord with the decisions of the intellect. Intellect is of the form of decision that the objects are renounceable (heya). The integration of that intellect with the soul means impelling the intellect towards the soul with a view to perceive it as something that has to be sought after. Quiescent means the state of being always opposed to the six waves of desire. Integration of the soul that is great (mahat) with the quiescent self means the consciousness of its being subservient to that (Supreme) Self".

Since the word  $\bar{a}tman$  is masculine, the word must be used as such, but it is used (as the  $Bh\bar{a}sya$  says) in the neuter according to Vedic exception.

If it be asked that the statement in the Bhasya-that the two locatives jnane atmani are not co-ordinate, (and that) the meaning is that (one) should integrate (the mind) with the intellect which is in the soul-is not correct, since the qualification 'which is in the soul' serves no purpose, there being no knowledge which is not in the soul. It cannot be stated that in case this much is said that one should integrate that with the intellect (that is, if in the mantra the word 'atmani' is omitted), there is a possibility of mistaking this jñāna for the nature of the soul (ātma-svarūþa or dharmi-bhūta-jñāna); therefore it is said (in the mantra) jaana atmani, intellect which is in the soul, because it (delusion) will get strouger by taking them i. e. inane and atmani as co-ordinate words. Verily the word atmani cannot exclude the acceptance mistakenly of jnana to be the soul. Nor can it be said that the meaning of the Bhasya " which is in the soul " is that which is in the soul in the relation of cognition and cognized (vişaya-vişayi-bhava-sambandha), that is jñana atmani means jñane that has the soul as its object; since thus it serves the purpose of distinguishing this from the substantive consciousness there is no fault of purposelessness ; because then the mantra-passage "jñānam ātmani mahati niyacchet" becomes superfluous, this meaning is already implicit. We reply: This

is what Ramanuja means. In the statement " tad yacched · jñāns ātmani" the locative 'ātmani' has the meaning of the cognized (visaya). And that knowledge of the soul i.e., with a soul as its object is of the form 'the soul is that which is to be sought after'. All others are to be renounced. And this means that this knowledge which is of the form of decision that the objects are to be renounced, is clear from the Śrutapia. kāsikā. The integration of the soul which is great, of such knowledge that is of the form of decision to seek after the soul and renounce all else that are other than that, means to direct the consciousness to turn towards the purpose of seeing the soul alone, which is the object to be sought after. This is also clear from the Śrataprakāśikā. Since thus both the passages have their respective purposes, there is no room for doubting that they are superfluous as maintained by you (the objector).

### I. iii. 14.

uttişihata jā grata prāpya varān nibodhata | kṣurasya dhārā nisitā duratyayā dur gam pathas tat kavayo vadanti || 14 ||

Rise up! Be awake! Approach superiors (and) learn! The knife edge is sharp and difficult to walk on. The learned speak of this path as difficult to attain.

#### COMMENTARY:

Having thus instructed the manner of attracting (Him), He (Death) now calls the attention of the well-equipped persons (adhikāri puruṣaḥ):—

uttistata: Rise Up: become inclined towards the knowledge of the Self

jāgrata: Be awake: bring about destruction of the sleep of ignorance

varān prāpya: approaching great teachers nibodhata: learn the truth of the Self. Or else

varān prapya: obtaining boons from the Godhead who has been well-meditated upon, or from those that know the

boons, such as those mentioned in the passage "You will correctly understand the real nature of God"

nibodhata: learn the nature of the Self that is to be known. The intention is that one should not be indifferent (to the knowledge of the Self)

kavayah: knowers

tat: the truth of the Self

durgam pathah: as the difficult path

vadanti: speak of. For what reason? for the reason the truth of the Self is

kşurasya dhārā: edge of a particular weapon

niśitā: sharp

duratyayā: difficult to walk upon.

What is meant here is that just as he who walks on a knife-edge has to lose his life if there is least inattention (on his part), even so at the time of knowing the nature of the Self if there is committed the blunder of inattention there happens loss of one's self.

# I. iii. 15.

aśabdam asparśam arūpam avyayam
tathārasan nityam agandhavac ca yat |
anādyanantam mahataḥ param dhruvam
nicāyya tan mṛtyumukhāt pramucyate || 15 |

Having perceived that (Self) which is eternally soundless, touchless, colourless, imperishable and tasteless, odourless, and beginningless and endless, and higher than the great (soul), fixed, one gets re'eased from the *mouth* of death.

# COMMENTARY:

Now He (Death) concludes here (with this mantra). The word 'eternally' goes with every one of the adjectives, viz. soundless, etc. For the same reason of being soundless etc. It is imperishable like time (kālavat). It means having no diminution of parts

mahatah: the soul. With the word mahatah reference is made to the individual mentioned in the previous mantra (KU. I. iii. 13.) ātmani mahati niyacchet.

dhruvam: Fixed (immutable)

nicāyya: Having perceived, i.e. having contacted (God) through the meditation of the form similar to perception

*mṛtyumukhāt*: from the mouth of Death, means from the terrible samsāra.

# I. iii. 16.

Nāciketam upākhyānam Mṛtyuproktam sanātanam ļ uktvā srutvā ca medhāvī brahmaloke mahīyate || 16 ||

Having spoken or heard this eternal story (vidyā) of Naciketas told by Death, the intelligent is glorified in the world of Brahman.

#### COMMENTARY:

To conclude: Nāciketam: This vidyā (upākhyānam) received by Naciketas

Mrtyproktam: taught by Death, that is, Death is only the teacher and not the author (of this  $vidv\bar{a}$ ). Therefore

sanātanam: eternal. The meaning is being of non-human origin, it is eternal because of uninterrupted transmission (of this instruction)

## I. iii. 17.

ya idam paramam guhyam śrāvayed brahmasamsadi | prayatah śrāddhakāle vā tad ānantyāya kalpate

tad anantyaya kalpate | 17 |

If one who 'purified makes this extreme esoteric heard in an assembly of Brāhmanas or at the time of Śrāddha (then) that is capable of granting infinite fruits.

## COMMENTARY:

brahmasamsadii: in the assembly of Brahmanas.

This concludes the Third Valli of the First Adhyaya of the Kathopanisad.

# SECOND SECTION:

IV VALLI.

II. i. 1.

parānci khāni vyatṛṇat svayambhūs tasmāt parān pasyati nāntarātman ļ kascid dhīraḥ pratyagātmānam aikṣad āvṛttacakṣur amṛtatvam icchan || 1 ||

The Self-born (independent lord) condemned the senses (to) extraversion: therefore they see (outward objects) and not the inner self: some intelligent man with his eyes turned inward seeking immortality sees the inward self.

#### COMMENTARY:

(Yama) seeing those that are indifferent to the nature of the Self in spite of the inspiring instruction 'Rise up and be awake...(I. iii 14.) expresses (his) grief (thus):

khāni: senses

parānci: means parān ancanti: which are extraverted, that is, those which reveal outer objects but not the self.

Then he gives the reason (for their extravertness):

svayambhūh: Self-born: independent Lord

vyatrnat: tortured (condemned): from root tr: to torture (himsa). Or else the meaning is (the Lord) has created the sense-organs which reveal objects, since roots have more than one meaning.

tasmāt: therefore

 $para\bar{n}$ : is the same as paracah (objective plural). The meaning is they see or grasp the outward objects and not the inner self. Or else " $Para\bar{n}$ : becoming extraverted (they) see the objects alone" is the meaning.

If the reading is parān pasyati: the singular refers to the world (in general).

Death says that though the nature (svabhāva) of the world is like this, there is some extraordinary person who inclines towards the inner self like one who is swimming upstream in a river.

kascit dhirah etc: The meaning is someone sees the self that is inward (pratyancam ātmānam). The Parasmaipada is Vedic usage. The same is the reason for the use of the Impersect tense instead of the Present tense.

cakşus: eye; refers to or stands for all the sense-organs. This (āvritacakṣus etc.) means one seeking after liberation with all his sense-organs withdrawn from their respective objects.

### II. i 2.

parācaḥ kāmān anuyanti bālās

te mṛtyor yanti vitatasya pāśam

atha dhīrā amṛtatvam viditvā

dhruvam adhruveṣv iha na prārthayante | 2 |

The immature follow the outward objects of desire. They get into the noose of the omnipotent Death. But the intelligent knowing the immortality, the everlasting, seek not (for anything) among the transitory (objects) here.

### COMMENTARY:

bālāḥ: those of small intelligence

parācaļ kāmān: outward objects of desire alone

anuvanti: know1

te mṛtyor...: They get bound in the wide sainsāra; or else the meaning is that they fall into the noose of mine (Death) whose authority is unquestioned everywhere

atha: the word 'atha' (then) means taking up a different aspect of the present topic

dhirāh: the intelligent

dhruvam amṛtatvam viditvā: knowing the everlasting immortality in the inner self alone

iha: here in this world of samsara

<sup>1.</sup> anujanti is rendered as avagacchanti by RR. But is no edition do we have the reading auugacchanti: follow, which is better than the commentator's reading.

adhruveşu: among the transitory (objects)

na prārthayante: hanker after nothing. What is meant is one who has known the truth of the inner self has to abandon everything else. It must be noted here 'hat since the I-ness (ahamtva) of all the individuals has reference to the Supreme Self and consequently the Supreme Self is denoted primarily by 'I' (aham) He (the Supreme Self) has the (quality of) Selfness (pratyaktva)

### II. i. 3.

yena rūpam rasam gandham šabdān sparšāmš ca maithunān | etenaiva vijānāti kim atra parišisyate! etad vai tat || 3 ||

With regard to this by which alone one perceives colours tastes, smells sounds and touch on account of contact between two what remains there? This verily is That.

## COMMENTARY:

maithunān: the particular pleasures brought about by union

yena etenaiva vijānāti: the meaning is by which this means alone one knows completely (ie without remainder). The idea is that the sense organs which reveal colour and others are able to do their functions only when permitted by Hum as in (the passage) "Him, the light of lights, the Gods adore" (Br Up. IV iv. 16.)

kim atra parisisyate: The idea is what is there that is not revealed by Him

etad vai tat: This is That. The supreme abode which was already mentioned as that which is the attainable is This alone that is, the nature of the Supreme Self which is described in this mantra.

# II. i. 4.

svapnān'am jāgaritāntam ca ubhau yenānupasyati | mahāntam vibhum ātmānam matvā dhīro na socati || 4 || By which (one) perceives both the worlds of dream and waking consciousness, meditating on the Self, (Him), great and infinite, the intelligent (one) does not grieve.

# COMMENTARY:

svapnāntam: (the state of dream): the meaning is by which the Supreme Self having the form of the senses, mind and others, men  $(lok\bar{a}h)$  perceive all the dream and waking worlds. Him has to be supplied before 'mahāntam'-the great. This has been already explained (under K.U. I. in 22.

## II. i. 5.

ya idam madhvadam veda ātmānam jīvamantikāt | išānam bhūtabhavyasya na t 1 to vijugupsate |

etad vai tat | 5 |

Him who knows this (individual soul) the eater of honey (results of actions) and the lord of the past and future near (1t), one should not despise. This is that.

#### COMMENTARY:

idam: this, the neuter usage is Vedic exception (It has to be taken as imam: this?

madhvadam: the eater of the results of actions mentioned in the passage rtam pibantau (KU. I. iii. 1. a)

jivam ātmānam: the individual soul as ;iva

an iketāt išānam bhūtabhavyasya: and the Loid of all conscient and inconscient at all the three times, that resides mear him (the jīva) as said in the passage "guhām praviṣṭau" (I. iii. 1. b)

yo veda: who knows

- 1 cf Iśava vyopanisad 6 d which is repeated here. Veńkatanatha has rendered it thus tato na vijugupsate brahmatmakatvenamudrstesu sarvesu svatmavibhūtinyāyāt kutaścid api na vijugupsate kvacid api nindām na karotīty arthah
  - 2 Reading given in Katha. text · Aurobindo (trans) is :mam.

na tato vijugupsate: him even though a doer of bad actions one should not despise The word jugupsā is stated to mean despise under the Sūtra (Pānini III. i. 50.) "which enjoins the employment of the suffix 'san' after the three roots gup, tij and kit". The Ablative case tatuh is in accordance with the Vārtika under II. iii. 88.

stad vai tat: the meaning is as explained before.

## II. i. 6.

yah pürvam tapaso jätam adbhyah pürvam ajäyata | guhām pravisya tisthantam yo bhütebhir vyapasyata |

etad vai tati 6 1

Who was born first from waters, that Brahman first born out of will (tapas) residing after entering into cave (of the heart) with the elemenss, Him who sees. This is indeed That.

# COMMENTARY:

yah: who

adbhyah: from waters; as stated in Manu "First He created waters alone. In them he cast his seed. That became the golden egg brilliant like the Sun. Brahmā the grandfathen of all the worlds himself, was born from It". This adbhyah is in the Ablative case (apādāna: Pānini I. iv. 24.)

pūrvam: before individual creation (or particular creation, vyasti)

yah ajāyata: who was born

tam : Him

tapasah pūrvam jātam: first born out of sheer will alone as stated in the scriptural text (Tait. Nārā. 19.) "That divinity greater than all the worlds, Rudra, the chaser out of the diseases of samsāra, the unlimited omniscient (maharşi) saw Brahmā, the first among the Gods, while being born before the creation of anything else."

guhām pravisya tişthantam: having entered the cave of the heart and established (himself) there bhūtebhih: with the elements, that is, having body, senseorgans and inner organs etc.—such a Biahman, the fourfaced

vyapasyata. He saw with the benediction "This must be the creator of the world".

etad vai tat This indeed is That: (this) has already been explained.

## IV. 7.

yā prāņena sambhavaty Aditir devatāmayī | guhām pravišya tiṣṭhantī yā bhūtebhir vyajāyata | etad vai tat | 7 |

Which Aditi (eater) remains with breath possessing many sense-organs (devatāmayī) remaining in the cave after entering into it: (and) which (Aditi) is born with elements. This indeed is that.

#### COMMENTARY:

This mantra has been commented upon by Śri Rāmānuja under the Vedānta Sūtra (I. ii. 11) "The two have entered the Cave". To quote the Bhāṣya: "Aditi means jīva (the etymology being) he who eats (atti) the fruits of actions. Prānena sambhavali: remains with the breath, āevatāmayī: having enjoyments dependent upon the sense-organs. Guhām pravišya tisṭhantī: residing in the hole in the lotus of the heart; bhūtebhih vyajāyata: having contact with elements earth etc., is born with the manifold form of gods and others."

etad vai tat: This indeed is that, that is, tat: That. This means that this is one which has That as its self. It may be noted that since in this very context in the passage (KU. I. i. 17.) "the word devam was explained as meaning that which has the Supreme Self as its self, since in the Gita passage elucidating this scriptural passage (XIII. 2.) kṣetrajña etc.: know me also as the knower of the body" the word mām has been explained by Śri Rāmānuja himself to mean madātmakam: that which has me as its self, and since just as the word indicating the inseparable quality is capable of denoting the substance, even so the word indicating a substance having

inseparable attributes also is well known as capable of denoting (signifying) its quality, therefore the explanation of the word 'tat' (that) as meaning that which has that as its self is appropriate.

# II. i. 8.

aranyor nihito jätavedä garbha iva subhrto garbhinībhih 1 dive diva īdyo jägrvadbhir havişmadbhir manuşyebhir agnih 1 etad vai tat 1 8 11

Fire, called Jātavedas, is placed in the two aranis adorable day by day by devoted men with oblatious, kept carefully like fetus in the womb by pregnant women. This indeed is That.

# COMMENTARY:

aranyoh : Fire that is in the two aranis

garbha iva...: Like the fetus carefully kept (protected) by pregnant woman, with food and drink. This goes with the preceding nihita; is placed.

dive dive : day by day

jagrvadbhih: by the wakeful that is not inattentive havismadbhih: such as offer oblations like ghee etc.

idyah: fit to be praised by such Riviks

agnih: Fire, one who takes (praisers) to the forefront. This is to be construed as going with (placed in the aranis). etad vai: This nature of Agni indeed

tat: is that which has Brahman mentioned before as its Self.

# II, i. 9.

yatas codeti sūryo'stam yatra ca gacchati | tam devāh sarve arpitās tad u nātyeti kascana |

etad vai tat | 9 |

From which rises the Sun and where he sets; in Him all the gods are set. That nobody can transgress. This indeed is That.

#### COMMENTARY:

yatah...: From which Brahman the Sun rises and in which he merges

tam devāh ... The meaning is that all the gods are established in that Self.

tad u nātyeti kaścana: tat: That Brahman, the Self of all, nobody transgresses, since it is like (one sown) shadow that cannot be jumped over. This is the idea.

U; eva: emphasise the point.

etad vai tat: this has been already explained.

### II. i. 10.

yad eveha tad amutra yad amutra tad anv iha | mṛtyoh sa mṛtyum ā pnoti ya iha nāneva pasyati | 10 | |

This same indeed which is here is youder. The same that is youder is here. From death to death goes he who sees here as if there is any difference.

#### COMMENTARY:

If it be doubted that since it is not possible for the Supreme Self to be the Self of all for the self is that which is experienced as possessing 'I-ness' that is 'I', and that self is experienced as absent from other places (in such statements as "I am here alone",) how can such a self be the self of all things at all places and at all times? The reply is as follows:

yad eva: which truth of the Supreme Self

iha: here in this world is experienced as 'I' and therefore is the Self

tad eva: that very same

amutra: is the self of all those that exist in the other worlds. Consequently there is no difference in self. This is the meaning. To elucidate further: the question here can be considered in two ways: (i) whether the experience that I am here alone which has been stated as opposed to the Supreme Self being the self of all things at all places and times, is that of those who know the truth of the Supreme Self or (ii) that of

those who do not (know): Not the first (view), since there cannot be such an experience on their part as 'I am here alone'. On the other hand, their experience is of that Being as in all things as stated in the passage "I was the Manu and the Sun". Nor the second view, for the experience of the non-knowers of the truth being limited to them, the individual souls alone, their experiences having reference to their being absent at other places cannot contradict the Supreme Self being the self of all things, He being not grapsed by them.

mṛtyoḥ...: iha: In this supreme Self

nāneva: as if there is difference

yah pasyati : who sees

sah: He

mrtyum āpnoti: goes from samsāra to samsāra This is the meaning.

# II. i. 11.

manasairedam āptavyam neha nānāsti kimcana | mṛtyoh sa mṛtyum gacchati ya iha nāneva pasyati || 11 ||

This is to be attained by the mind alone. There is no difference whatever here. From death to death he goes who sees here as if there is difference.

# COMMENTARY:

If it be asked how is this truth of the Supreme Self that is the self of all, attainable by us, He (Death) replies:

idam: The nature of the Self

manasaiva: graspable by the purified mind alone. This is the meaning. The same thing already mentioned he repeats for the sake of emphasis. ya iha etc.: the meaning is clear.;

# II. i. 12.

angusthamatrah puruso madhya atmani tisthati | sisano bhūtabhavyasya na tato vijugupsate | etad vai tat || 12 The Person of the size of the thumb, the Lord of the past and the future, resides in the middle of the body. He therefore does not despise. This indeed is That.

#### COMMENTARY:

isano bhutabhavyasya: the Lord of all the conscient and the inconscient existing at the three times

madhya ātmani: in the middle portion of the meditator's body

angusthamātrah tisthati: resides having the size of the thumb.

na tato vijugupsate; tataḥ: Therefore, for the same reason that He is the Lord of the past and the future, due to extreme kindness benevolence (vātsalya) na vijugupṣate: He takes all the defects that pertain to the body as enjoyable things.

Objection (1) If it be asked whether (it is not) the individual soul alone that is described in this mantra because he is described as having the size of the thumb in the Sruti texts such as "lord of the Breath, wanders about (bound) by his actions;" "having the size of the thumb and with brilliant form similar to the Sun" (Svet, Up. V. 7, 8) and Smrti texts (such as) " Death pulled out forcibly the man of the size of the thumb" (MhB. Vana 281. 16); it cannot be said that the Lordship over all the past and the future cannot go with him (the individual soul), since in accordance with the characteristic first mentioned the said overlordship mentioned at the end can be explained (to be) relatively (so); we reply Not (so) Because in the Adhikarana beginning with the Sūtra (Vedānta) "Sabdad eva pramital-On account of the word (Îśana) itself, the measured" (I. 3. 21), raising the same objection (pūrvapakṣa) it has been established that since the measure 'thumb' due to delimitation by the heart can happen to the Supreme Self also, and since such a measure, is mentioned also in connection with the Supreme Self in the Taittiriya passage "The person is of the size of the thumb and resting on the thumb

(heart) (of that size)" (Tait. Nārāyanīya 53) and in the Śvetāśvataropaniṣad" The person of the size of the thumb, the inner self, is always residing in the hearts of the people" (III. 13), and since the unlimited lordship over the past and the future is the unique characteristic of Brahman alone, this mantra refers only to the Supreme Self.

Objection (2) But what some here say is "The measure of the thumb is the characteristic of the individual soul alone; however, the first half of this mantra simply restates the (nature of the) individual soul, and the third quarter informs that he is himself the Supreme Self." This is not correct, since in that case the next Sutra (I. iii. 25) "In relation (to the human heart since he resides) in the heart, this is so since human beings are qualified (for the meditation)" the purpose of which is to show that the measure of the thumb can apply to the Supreme, will become incongruous.

Objection (3) If it be asked "One may doubt that in this mantra there is no mention of jiva being the Brahman, since there is no reason to postulate the measure of the thumb to the individual soul who is known as having the measure of "the point of the awl  $(\bar{a}r\bar{a}gra)$ , to clear which doubt this  $S\bar{u}$ tra has come into existence to prove its thumb-size", we reply that this explanation is a strained one.

Objection (4) If it be asked "Since on account of the lexicographical passage" "Īśvaráś śarva Īśānaḥ." the word Īśāna is established as signifying a particular god, and since the author of the Śrutaprakāsikā, who has commented upon the Śrī Bhāṣya passage under the same sūtra Śabdād eva pramitaḥ" "On account of the word īśāno bhūtabhavyasya: verily the Lordship over all!the past and the future cannot belong to the individual who is subject to karma" as follows "Since by the word (śabda) itself (in the Sūtra I iii. 24) Īśāna is referred to, the conclusion arrived at here is not due to any characteristic (linga), but due to the word applying to the Lord Himself. This is the significance of the particle 'eva' (itself)," accepts the word Īśāna as Śiuti (one of the six

pramānas of Jaimini such as śruti, linga etc.,), the same sūtra (i. e. word Īśāna) excludes Nārāyaṇa and the individual soul, and so this mantra has reference to Rudia alone. (We reply) Not so. When a word that has both Yoga and Rūdhi (etymologico-nominal) significance, has a word which qualifies that which is indicated by the Yoga-significance of the former (yoga-rūdhi-word), the nominal ignificance is not entertained, as seen in the examples such as the passage

padmāni yasyāgrasaroruhāṇi prabhodhayaty ūrdhvamukair mayūkhaiḥ |

[The Lotuses growing in the lakes on the top of which (Himalayas) (the Sun) makes blossom forth with his rays that shoot upwards] (Kumārasambhava). Here in this passage it is seen that on account of the use of the word 'agra' (top) which qualifies the saras (lake) indicated by the first member of the compound saroruha, the nominal significance of the word saroruha is rejected. Otherwise the word padmāni need not be used. Therefore the word Īśāna is not a Śruti (of Jaimini). Only on account of lack of naturalness (in the interpretation) the author of the Śrutaprakāsikā himself has resorted to an alternative way of explanation beginning with "Or else", in accordance with the natural trend of the Śrī Bhāsya. This discussion is enough. To proceed.

etad vai tat: This indeed is That; this has been already explained,

### II. i. 13.

anguşthamātrah puruşo jyotirivādhūmakah | išāno bhūtabhavyasya sa evādya sa u śvah | etad vai tat || 13 ||

The person of the size of the thumb like the light without smoke, the lord of the past and the future, He (is) alone today and He himself tomorrow. This indeed is that.

#### COMMENTARY:

jyotih ...: Light. The meaning is He is shining like fire with dry fuel.

sa era: He himself. the group of things of today and the group of things of tomorrow, the group of things that exist in the three times, all these have Him as Self. This is the meaning.

etad vai tat: This indeed is That. (the meaning) as hefore.

### II. i. 14.

yathodakam durge vṛṣṭam parvateṣu vidhāvati | evam dharmān pṛthak paśyams tān evānuvidhāvati | 14 ||

As the water rained on the top of the mountain flows on all sides of the hills, even so one who sees dharmas differently runs after them alone.

#### COMMENTARY:

Just as the rain water showered on the top of the mountain flows on the adjacent hillocks falling down in cascades, being scattered, so also, one who perceives the states of being (dharmān) of the inner ruler of gods and of men, which belong to the Supreme Self, as those that pertain to different substrata, falls into the abyss of samsāra after the manner of the fall of mountain-streams. This is the meaning.

#### II. i. 15.

yathodakam śuddhe śuddham āsiktam tādrg eva bhavati | evam muner vijānata ātmā bhavati Gautama || 15 ||

Just as pure water poured into pure water remains the same, even so becomes the soul of the intelligent meditators, O Gautama!

#### COMMENTARY:

He (Death) speaks of the result of knowing all as having One Self.

yathodakam...: Just as pure water mixed with pure water remains like that alone, that is in no way different, even so

vijānatah munch: Of the intelligent one that practises meditation

 $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ : the soul becoming pure on accout of the knowledge of the Supreme Self

bhavati: becomes similar to the Pure Supreme Self. This is the meaning.

Gautama! O Gautama! He (Death) addresses him (Naciketas) as O Gautama, out of gladness, indicating the greatness of the Attainable.

This concludes the First Valli of the Second Adhyāya of the

Kathopanisad.

## FIFTH VALLI.

II. ii. 1.

puram ekādaśadvāram ajasyāvakracetasaḥ | anuṣṭhāya na śocati vimuktaś ca vimucyate || etad vai tat || 1 ||

There is the City with eleven gates of the undevious-minded, unboin: One discriminating this does not grieve (He) being free gets freed. This indeed is That.

#### COMMENTARY:

puram: There is the city called the body with eleven gates for going out, which are of the form of eleven organs.

ajasya: of the soul that suffers no change of the kinds of birth etc.

avakracetasah: having his mind uncrooked, that is, straight-minded, that is, capable of discrimination.

Just as the city is distinct from its owner, so also the body becomes distinctly known from its self. The idea is that for the undiscriminating person the body itself is the soul. (That is he suffers from dehātmabhrama)

anuşthāya: knowing distinctly

na socati; does not grieve. The meaning is he is free from grief, desire etc. which are related to the body

vinuktas ca vinucyate: Being free one gets freed. Getting free from sorrows, desires. hatreds etc. which are of the body and others (ādhyātmakādi), while living according to the maxim enunciated in the Vedānta Sūtra. "Then exhausting the others (merit and demerit) through experience one attains union" (IV. i, ), at the end or lapse of prārabda karmal attaining the river Viraja, through the path of the Arcis etc., one becomes freed from all contact with matter (prakṛti). This is the meaning.

etat vai tat: This indeed is That. The nature of the freed described in the mantra is one that has the Supreme Self as its Self. This is the meaning.

Once again He (Death) emphasizes the Selfness of Brahman of all.

# II. ii, 2

²hamsaḥ śuciṣad vasur antariksasad dhctā
vediṣad atithīr duroṇaṣat |

nṛṣad varasad ṛtasad vyomasad abjā gojā ṛtajā adrijā ṛtam bṛhat || 2 ||

The Sun, the brilliant, the wind in the atmosphere, the fire on the altar, the guest in the house, the dweller in man, and dweller in those above them, resident in the world of truth, dweller in the celestial sky, there water-born, earth-born, sacrifice-born, mountain-born,—these are the great Truth.

# COMMENTARY:

hamsah: The Sun

1. karma that has begun to bear fruit is prārabda karma.

This Mantra is known by the following names Durohanā rk, Hamsavatī, and Angirasapavitra:

<sup>2.</sup> This is a most used Mantra belonging as it does to all Vedas and sakhas. Closs references to this Mantra are given according to the VEDIC CONCORDANCE. Bloomfield. RV. IV. 10 5, Vaj. Sam X 24, XII. 14; Tait. Sam: I. 8. 15 2 IV. 2. 1. 5, Mait. S. II. 6. 12, II. 71. 14; III 2. 1: III. 16. 1; IV 4. 6, IV. 57. 3; Kath. S. 15. 8, 16. 8 Ait B. 4. 12. 5; Sat. B. 5. 4. 3 22. 6. 7. 3. 11; Tait Ar. 10 10 2. 10, 50. 1, Mah. Nar. Up, 9, 3 17. 8. etc.

13.1:

śucisat: śuchan; in the Summer, sidati: There is. In other words, the brilliant.

Vasuh: The wind: vasayati: makes one live

antarikṣasa1: antarikṣe sīdati: That which is in the atmosphere

hotā vedisat: The Hotr-priest or the Fire who is in the altar.

atithir duronasat: the guest that has come to the house,

nṛṣat: One that resides in men as their self-

varasat: One that resides similarly in those above men, that is the Gods,

rtasat: One that resides in the World of Truth (Satyaloka of Brahman).

vyomasat: Vyoma means the celestial sky. The individual soul that is there also

 $abj\bar{a}h$ : water-born  $goj\bar{a}h$ : earth-born

 $rtaj\bar{a}h$ : sacrifice-born, i.e., the Svarga and other worlds brought into being by actions. Or else, born of the air which is mentioned here as rta on account of its long-lastingness.

adrijāh: mountain-boru

All these are rtam Brhat, that is, are of the nature of the Brahman which is unlimited Truth. This is the meaning.

II. ii. 3.

ūrdhvam prāṇam unnayaty apānam pratyagasyati | madhye vāmanam āsīnam visve devā upāsate | 3 ||

(Brahman) uplifts the *prāṇa* and presses down the apāna. The Viśvedevas meditate upon (that) Dwarf sitting in the middle.

#### COMMENTARY:

The Supreme Self resting in the heart of all lifts the prāṇa-breath upwards and throws the apāna-breath downwards.

madhye asinam: sitting in the middle of the heart-lotus

vāmanam: The adotable and worshippable. Or else the meaning is one who has the small size on account of limitation by the heart-lotus.

tam: Him

Visve devāh: All those of the harmonious nature (sattvaguna)

upasate: Meditate upon. This is the meaning.

# II. ii. 4.

asya visramsamānasya šarīrasthasya dehinaḥ | dehād vimucyamānasya kim atra parišiṣyate, etad vai tat || 4 ||

For this embodied (meditator) whether he is in a good body or enfeebled body or is departing from it, what remains here? This indeed is That.

#### COMMENTARY.

(Death) says that for the meditator who thus meditates upon the Supreme Self there is only that much delay as the fall of the body as stated in the Scriptural text "For him there is only so much delay as the departure from the body" (Ch. U.) VI. 14.2), and that there is nothing more to be done.

asya dehinah: For the meditator

sarīrasthasya: whether he is established in the body that is strong, that is, strong-bodied, whether he is in this state

visramsamānasya: or else when he is enfeebled (in 'body) or dehād vimucyamānasya: or whether he is departing from the body

kim atra parisisyate: What is there that remains? The idea is he has done his duty (kṛtakṛtya), there is nothing more to be done (by him)

etad vai tat: This indeed is That; (this has been) explained previously. (that is, the individual soul described here has the Supreme Self as its self).

### II. ii. 5.

na prāņena nāpānena martyor jīvati kascana | itareņa tu jīvanti yasminn etāv upāsritau || 5 ||

No man whosoever lives by prana or by apana: but all live by something other on which these two depend.

#### COMMENTARY:

(Death) here speaks of His greatness in being the cause of the breathing of all creatures;

na prānena...

Who is that another by whom they live? The reply is yasmin etau upāśritau: On whom these two depend, that on which the very functionings (jīvanam) of prāna and apāna depend on that very same depend the lives of all else. This is the idea. The rest of the mantra is clear.

#### II. ii. 6.

(Death) says I shall again teach you the Brahman, the most secret and eternal.

hanta ta idam pravaksyāmi guhyam brahma sanātanam i yathā ca maranam prāpya ātmā bhavati Gau'ama || 6 ||

O Gautama! surely I shall teach you now the secret eternal Brahman and what the soul becomes after departure.

### COMMENTARY:

hanta: exclamation indicating wonder.

O Gautama ātmā etc.: The soul after departure, that is after liberation

yathā bhavati: of what nature it becomes

tatha: of that nature

punar api: Once again, to you seeking liberation uninfluenced by desires and others (and therefore) fit for the instruction, I shall teach, this is the meaning

#### II. ii. 7.

. (Death) explains now as to what is meant by 'hanta te' in the previous mantra which has reference to a particular fit person:

yonim anye prapadyante sariratvāya dehinah | sthānum anye 'nusamyanti yathākarma yathāsrutam | 7 |

Some souls enter wombs for getting bodies, (and) others take up the form of the unmoving, in accordance with karma and in accordance with knowledge.

### COMMENTARY:

anye: those unlike you who are indifferent towards learning, the truth about the Supreme Self

śariratvāya: in order to take up bodies

yonim: womb of brāhmaņas etc.

prapadyante : enter

anye: others

sthānum: the state of being unmoving (trees etc.)

anusam yanti : attain :

yathākarma yathāśrutam: in accordance with the actions and sacrifices and meditations performed by each, since there are the passages "Those of good conduct" (Ch. Up. V. 10. 7) "Him follow knowledge and action" (Bṛh. Up. IV. iv. 2). This is the idea.

# II, ii. 8.

He (Death) now takes up the question on hand after calling the attention of the disciple (Naciketas) by creating interest (in it):

ya eşa supteş i jāgarti
kāmam kāmam puruşo nirmimānah |
tad eva śukram tad brahma
tad evamṛtam ucyate |
tasmin lokāh śritāh sarve
tadu nātyeti kaścana || etad vai tat || 8 ||

That person who is awake whilst others are asleep creating through his willing and willing, that very same (being) effulgent is that Brahman. That same alone is spoken of as immortal. Therein rest all the worlds. That indeed none oversteps. This indeed is That.

### COMMENTARY:

supleșu: Whilst the individual souls are asleep

·  $k\bar{a}mam\ k\bar{a}mam$ : This is a form with the suffix namul. It means willing and willing (again and again or successively, but this word does not mean desired objects such as sons etc.) mentioned in the  $sarv\bar{a}n\ k\bar{a}m\bar{a}n\ (K.U.\ II,\ 23.\ etc)$  This meaning is clearly (seen) in the  $Sr\bar{\imath}\ Bh\bar{a}sya$  and the  $\acute{S}rutaprak\bar{a}sika$  under the adhikarana "Sandhye (III. iii. 1)

tad eva: That very same which is the person creating according to His personal desire willing and willing,

\$ukram : effulgent, revealing (objects)

tad eva: That itself, that is, not dependent upon anything else

tad eva amrtam: that itself is the Immortal

He is spoken of as Immortal. The rest (of the mantra) is clear. It may be noted that though those that are eternally free (nityamuktāh) are also immortal, yet because they are not independently so (that is, their immortality is dependent upon the Divine Grace as it is), the emphasis tad eva amrtam that alone is immortal is not incorrect. This enables the rejection of the view that the freed souls and the Divine Lord are identical, because of the exclusion of any other immortal, since the word amrtam here means only the Unconditioned Immortal Person.

# II. ii. 9.

(Death) once again teaches that the One Self is the 'I' of all beings with a view to emphasise that fact, since it is difficult to comprehend.

Agnir yathaiko bhuvanam pravisto
rūpam rūpam pratirūpo babhūva \
ekas tathā sarvabhūtāntarātmā
rūpam rūpam pratirūpo bahis ca || 9 ||

Just as the one fire having entered the world has become such whose form is present in every form,

even so the one inner self of all beings has its presence in every form and outside.

# COMMENTARY:

Agnih: Just as the one element fire on account of its presence in everything due to triplication, having entered the world with its cosmos

 $r\bar{u}pam r\bar{u}pam$ : in every form, that is, in all material things. Duplication means  $v\bar{v}ps\bar{a}$  (pervasion in all that belong to that class or genus)

pratirūpaḥ: one with its form engraved in each. It may be noted that since on account of the element fire being mixed with all the material forms it is one with its form present every where, he is pratirūpa (in every form).

Similarly being One alone, the Supreme Self is such that His form as antaryāmin is present in every form.

bahis ca: He pervades them outside too. This is the meaning.

# II. ii. 10.

Death gives another instance:

Vāyur yathaiko bhuvanam parvisto
rūpam rūpam pratirūpo babhūva |
ekastathā sarvabhūtāntarātmā
rūpam rūpam pratirūpo bahis ca || 10 ||

Just as the one air having entered the world has become such whose form is present in every form, even so, the one inner self of all beings has its presence in every form and outside.

## COMMENTARY:

The meaning is same as that of the previous mantra.

# II. ii. 11.

He (Death) then teaches by means of an example that though there is no difference between the Supreme Self and the individual soul as soul, still the defects (of the individual souls) do not touch Him.

Sūryo yathā sarvalokasya cakṣur na lipyate cākṣuṣair bāhyadoṣaiḥ | ekas tathā sarvabhūtāntarātmā na lipyate lokaduḥkhena bāhyaḥ || 11 ||

Just as the sun is the eye of all the world but is not smeared by the eye-defects which are outside, even so the one luner Self of all beings is not smeared by the griefs of the world, He being outside them.

## COMMINTARY:

Sūryo yathā...: Just as the Sun though within the eye as its divinity, according to the Scriptural passages "This (Sun) with his rays is established in this (eye)" "The Sun becoming the eye entered the eye-ball", is not touched by the impurities that have come out (of it), even so the Supreme Self though residing in all souls, is not touched by the defects that are in them since He is beyond everything other than Himself, on account of His unconditioned-unique Nature of being free from all sins etc.

#### II. ii. 12.

eko vašī sarvabhūtāntarātmā ekam bījam¹ bahudhā yaḥ karoti \ tam ālmastham ye'nupasyanti dhīrās tesām sukham sāsvatam netaresām || 12 ||

That One controller, the Inner Self of all beings, who makes one seed manifold, Him residing in the soul, those intelligent ones who see, to them there is eternal bliss (felicity), to none others.

#### COMMENTARY:

ekah: One who has neither an equal nor superior

vasī: vasah: will: He who has it is Vasin or else it means one who has the universe at His command as stated in the passage "The world remains at His will." Or else it means that He is at the command of His devotees as stated

1. Some editions of the text have rupam instead of bijam.

in the (Rāmāyaṇa Bālakñṇḍa) passage "We, the two servants, O best of Seers, are here".

ekam bijam: The (one) seed of the form of the ultimate Unmanifest (tamas) which is undistinguished, being one with Him as stated in the passage "The Darkness becomes one with the Divine". (Pr. Up. IV. 1.) "All that becomes one with the mind, the transcendent."

bahudhā yah karoti: He who makes it into the forms of the manifold matter such as Mahat and other (categories)

tam: Him

ātmastham: the inner ruler as stated in the passage "Who residing in the Self" (Sud. Up. ).

ye pasyanti . Who see

teṣām...: To them there is liberation. This is the meaning.

II. ii. 13.

nityo 'nityānām cetanas cetanānām
eko bahūnām yo vidadhāti kāmān |
tam ātmastham ye'nupasyanti dhīrās
teṣām śāmtiḥ śāśvatī netareṣām || 13 ||

Eternal of the eternals, conscient of the conscients. one of the many, who accomplishes the desires, Him dwelling in the soul, which intelligent ones see, to them there is everlasting peace; to none others.

## COMMENTARY:

(The Supreme Self) being eternal, conscient and one alone, grants with facility the desired objects to the many, eternal conscients.

The rest is clear.

#### II. ii. 14.

Spoken to thus (by Death), the disciple (Naciketas) asks: tad ctad iti manyante'nirdesyam paramam sukham | katham nu tad vijānīyām kim u bhāti vibhāti vā | 14 ||

<sup>1.</sup> Here also most texts of other schools have it as Nityo'nityanam, the Eternal in the many transient. (cf Anandasrama ed.)

(The knowers) think the supreme bliss as fit to be pointed out as "this is that". How can I know that? Does it shine? Does it shine luminously too?

#### COMMENTARY:

paramain sukhain tat: That transcendent Brahman, the Supreme Self of the nature of Supreme Bliss

etad iti: perceptible like myrobalan fruit on the palm of the hand

manyante: those with accomplished-Yoga, like you, think. That is persons, like you, are able to perceive

katham: How con I who is incapable of perceiving can know the Brahman void of colour etc.

Does it shine having rays of light? even then does it shine indistinctly due to mixture of some other light (such as that of the Sun or of other luminaties)?

#### II. ii. 15.

na tatra Sūryo bhāti na Candratārakaih nemā vidyuto bhānti kuto'yam agniļi i tain eva bhāntam anubhāti sarvaih tasya bhāsā sarvam idain vibhāti || 15 ||

There the Sun shines not nor the moon and stars nor do these lightnings shine. How (then) can the fire? Him shining only, all else shine after, with His light all these shine.

#### COMMENTARY:

(Yama) replies that for the sake of having an object for the yogins there is a form of the Supreme Lord, beneficent, on His divine, auspicious, as known from the scriptural authorites such as "Having the colour of the Sun He is beyond darknes"; "To Him whose form is ever the same"; and the Supreme Self with that body shines distinctly with His luminosity transcending all.

This mantra has been explained under the Vedanta Sūtra (I. iii. 41.) "Because of seeing light" with the following commentary: There is seen the light of Him that is measured with the size of thumb, the light which eclipses all other lights and is the cause of all other lights and helps (them to shine.)" And this Commentary (Bhāsya) has been elucidated by Vvāsārya (author of the Śrutaprakāśikā) (thus): "The first half of the mantra is stated thus: "That hides (eclipses) the other lights. The meaning of the first quarter of the second half (of the mantra) is stated (that) it is that which is the cause of the other lights. anubhanam shining after: by this is indicated the cause-effect relation (between Him and other lights). The idea is that the unfailing antecedent-consequent relation is indeed the cause-effect relation. The meaning of the fourth quarter (of the mantra) is stated to be "helps others to shine." This (meaning) is supported by the passage "Having whose light the Sun shines" (II?).1 In the same work (Śrutabrakāśikā) there is seen another interpretation which is as follows: The first half means that if and when His effulgent Light is perceived all other luminaries get eclipsed; the third quarter means that He is the efficient cause, that is, when the luminaries come into existence He helps the material-causal substances of those luminaries. The fourth quarter means that He helps the luminaties even after they have come into existence by giving them through His contact (indwellingness) the power to perform their functions as the rays of the moon (candra) help the rays of the eye.

Others say that the third quarter means that the illusory world has no separate appearance (bhānam) from that of the Brahman which is (its) substrate. But this is not correct, because though the active suffix (śatr) meaning agent in the word (bhāntam) can somehow be explained inspite of there being no difference (between action and agent), as in the statement "The knowledge of the disciple shines", yet the

<sup>1.</sup> The word others here refers to the Mayav adins.

word anubhāti" (shines after) is wrong, for when Yajūadatta stands having no action of going apart from the action of Devadatta, we have not seen any one making the statement that Yajūadatta follows Devadatta who goes.<sup>1</sup>

If it be said that we have seen the statement that the iron burns after the fire (there), No. The statement is not accepted as a correct one, if it is intended to convey that idea by one who already knows that the iron has no separate agency of the action 'burning'.

If it be said: that the meaning accepted by you that 'if and when His Light is perceived the luminaries get eclipsed', is not appropriate, since the liberated ones who have got the perception of His Light, yet perceive other luminaries, and therefore in their cases there is no eclipsing which means the non-perception due to commingling of identical natures, (we reply) this question has reference only to bond souls (baddhas).

If it be said that it cannot be the case, since there is no perception ( $s\bar{a}ks\bar{a}tk\bar{a}ra$ ) of Him by bond souls, (we reply) No. Arjuna and others had the vision of Him and they were bond souls. Or else (it means) when the Brahman is considered, other luminaries such as the Sun do not shine, just as in comparison with Kālidāsa, lesser ones are bad poets or no poets at all. The meaning of the first half (of the mantra) (thus) is "That Brahman, therefore has the Form of the Supreme Light". The statement "That hides the other lights" also means the same.

This same idea is re-enforced by the second half (of the mantra) which shows that the coming into existence and the capacity to perform their functions by the other luminaries require the help of the Supreme Self. Thus it can be seen that there is no inconsistency here.

<sup>1.</sup> The Telugu and Tamil Grantha printed texts are very corrupt here. The Poona ed. gives the following text which is followed here:

Na hi Devadattagamane kriyāvyatiriktagamanakriyāśūnye tişthati Yajñadatte gacchantam.....

KATHOPANISAD

The Bhāsya passage is as follows: "The pippal tree called Samsāra which the scriptures describe as having its 100ts above and branches below and eternal." The scriptural passages are "This eternal pippal tree has its roots above and branches below (K. U. II. iii 1.) and "He who knows exactly the tree with its roots above and branches below (Tait. Ār. I. II. 5.) The state of being with its roots above is on account of beginning with the fourfaced Brahman who is above the seven worlds, being the first Cause, and its having branches below is on account of ending with earth-dwelling men, cattle, beasts, worms, insects, birds and trees. Now he shows that Brahman is different from that. This mantra has already been explained. (K. U. II. ii. 8.)

### II. iii. 2.

yad idam kiñca jagat sarvam
prāna ejati nihsrtam |
mahad bhayam vajram udyatam
ya etad vidur amṛtās te bhavanti || 2 ||

All this world whatsoever existing in the breath and emanating from it trembles with extreme fear as if from the uplifted thunder-bolt. Those know this become immortal.

#### COMMENTARY:

Yad idam...udyatam: This part of the mantra has been commented upon by Śrī Rāmānuja under the Vedānta Sūtra 'Kampanāt' (I. iii. 40). Introducing this mantra he has said: "There is mention in the Śruti of trembling due to great fear of Him of the entire world, that is of all creatures residing in the person of the size of the thumb, who is here mentioned as Breath (Prāna), having emanated from Him. The meaning is that the entire world trembles with great fear as if there is the uplifted Vajra with the feeling as to what would happen if His command is disobeyed. Mahad, bhayam, vajram, udyatam these Nominatives have the sense of Ablatives, since the meaning is the same as bhayād asyāgnis tapati (K. U. II. iii. 3).'

This Bhāsya is elucidated in the Śrutaprakāśika thus: "The word 'existing' is supplied on account of the Locative case 'brane', in order to reply to the question "Where from it (iagat) has emanated?" The author of the Bhasya (Śri Rāmānuja) mentions that He Himself because of the context. He Himself is the source... 1 Ejanam is explained as kampana. i. e. trembling, for the root is ejr: kampane: to tremble. Trembling here means the performance of one's own actions for fear of evil effects...On account of fear caused by the Supreme Person, as if by the uplifted Vaira-weapon, the whole world trembles. This is the meaning Here, in this mantra. it may be noted that the four words Mahad, Bhayam, Vajram, Udvatam in the Nominative case have the sense of Ablatives. The first two words in the Nominative case having Ablative sense indicate fear, the latter two words indicate the Brahman. called Breath, the cause of fear.

But some explain this mantra also as follows:—Bhayam means etymologically that of which one is afraid. That is that which causes fear. Like the uplifted highly fearful Vajra, the Supreme Self, herein called Breath, makes everything tremble. The verb ejati has here the causal sense.

Yas tad...: The meaning is clear, It may be noted according to the maxim enunciated in the adhikarana with the Sūtra. For the same reason Breath - ata eva prāna" (I. i. 24) the word prāna refers to Supreme Brahman alone. On this point there is no controversy (between the several schools).

## II. iii. 3.

bhayād asyāgnis tapati bhayāt tapati Sūryaḥ | bhayād Indras ca Vāyus ca Mṛtyur dhāvati pañcamaḥ || 3 ||

For fear of Him fire burns, for fear of Him the Sun heats, for fear of Him Indra, Vāyu, and Death the fifth, run.

<sup>1.</sup> The lacuna in the quotations is Rangaiamanuja's.

#### COMMENTARY:

dhāvati: the root dhavu: to go, when referring to Indra and other (gods) indicates their respective functions. The rest of the mantra is clear.

#### II. iii. 4.

· iha ced asakad boddhum prāk sarīrasya visrasah tatah sargeşu lokeşu sarīratvāya kalpate 4

If one before the body gets loosened here is not able to know (Him), then he becomes liable to take body in the created worlds.

#### COMMENTARY:

śarīrasya visrasah prāk: before the falling apart of the body. visrasah: visramsanāt. iha loke: in this world

boddhum: to know Brahman

asakat cet: asaknuvams cet: if unable: the change of conjugation is a case of Vedic exception

tatah: for that reason

. sargesu lokesu: in the created worlds

\$arīratvāya kalpate · become subject to dissolution of the form of birth, old age, and death etc. : this is the meaning.

The idea there is that one should attempt to know the Self before the fall of one's body (i. e. one's death).

## II. iii. 5.

That the Self is difficult to know (Death) says:

yathā darśe tathātmani
yathā svapne tathā pitrloke |
yathāpsu parīva dadrśe tathā gandharvaloke chāyātapayor iva brahmaloke || 5 ||

As on the newmoon day so in the body (ātmani): as in the dream so in the world of the fathers: as in the waters as if appearing on all sides so in the world of the Gandharvas: as between the shade and sunshine so in the world of Brahman.

#### COMMENTARY:

yathā darse: the meaning is "just as on the new-moon day there being no moon-shine the appearance (pratibhāsa) (of things) is not clear, so in this world with negard to the Self". Or else the meaning is: yathādarse: just as the thing seen in the mirror is not seen as (it is) when seen directly, free from any modifications such as facing in the opposite direction, so is the cognition of the Self here (in this world).

Now he (Death) says that the same is the case in the other world: yathā svapne: just as the experiences in dream are, unlike the experiences in the waking state, incapable of being reviewed, so as to be free from all doubt in respect of them even so, is it (the experience of the Self) in the world of the fathers. This is the meaning.

yathapsu..: just as the thing under water is not clearly perceptible, as the things (outside water), even so

paridadṛśaiva: looks as if perceived. The meaning is that it is not seen all round. That is even in the world of Gandharvas the appearance is superficial.

chāyātapa...: Just as in the admixture of shade and sunshine, the appearance is not such as would be in the unmixed sunshine, so also in the world of Brahman (the fourfaced), the appearance is not perfect. The idea is that therefore the truth of That (Supreme) Self is difficult to know. Or else, the idea is that though in the world of Brahman there is perfect discrimination between the self and the non-self, just as between shade and sunshine, still the Truth of the Supreme Self is not attainable for those that live here.

## II. iii. 6

indriyānām pṛthagbhāvam udayāstamayau ca yat | pṛthagutpadyamānānām matvā dhīro na śocati || 6 ||

The intelligent man knowing that distinctness, origination and dissolution are of the sense organs which are separate and come into existence does not grieve.

#### COMMENTARY:

indriyānām: of the sense organs which are separate and come into existence The sense organs stand for the body and others also.

udayāstamayau ca yat: yat is an indeclinable, meaning yān: which origination and destruction are there, and which distinctness of the form of mutual difference, all these, the intelligent person knowing these as belonging to the sense organs, does not grieve. This means that one who knowing that mutual difference, origination and dissolution do not happen to the soul which is of the form of consciousness, (does not grieve).

Now Death describes with the following two mantras the very surrender of the soul already mentioned, since even with regard to knowing the truth of the individual soul as distinct from its body the only means is the surrender to the Divine Lord.<sup>1</sup>

### II. iii. 7. and 8.

indriyebhyah param mano manasah sattvam uttamam | satvādadhi mahān ātmā mahato' vyaktam uttamam | 7 || avyaktāt tu parah puruso vyāpako'linga eva ca | yam jñātvā mucyate jantur amṛtatvañ ca gacchati | 8 ||

Superior to the sense organs is the mind, superior to the mind is the intelligence, superior to the intelligence even is the great? soul, superior to that great is the unmanifest.

Superior to the numanifest is the person, the pervader, and verily uninferrable, which knowing, the creature gets liberated and attains immortality.

#### COMMENTARY:

indrivebhyah: stands here for objects also since this has to be in accordance with a previous mantra (K. U. I. iii. 10). Verily the objects are greater than the sense-organs and

greater than the objects is the mind". The word 'sattva' (in the text) means intellect, since it was stated before that "greater than the mind is intellect" (ibid).

alingah: Unknowable. Superiority is intended in respect of making Him to condescend (to listen to our prayers). To make Him condescend means to surrender (to Him) alone,

The rest is clear.

### II. iii. 9.

na samdrše tisthati rūpam asya na caksusā pasyati kascanainam | hṛdā manīsā manasābhiklpto ya etad vidur amṛtās te bhavanti || 9 ||

His form is not for perception, no one else sees him with his eyes He is attained by mind through devotion, steadfastness. Those who know Him they become immortal.

### COMMENTARY:

asya rūpam: His form. or else it means His body. The meaning is that being omnipervading He does not stand as an object of perception. Or else there is (for Him) no perceptible colour such as blue etc. For this very reason (it is next said)

na cahṣuṣā paśyati: with the eye no one sees Him. The meaning is clear.

hṛdā maniṣā.: This part (of the mantra) has been explained by Vyāsārya under the Sarvatra prasiddhi adhikarana (of the Śrī Bhāṣya) (I. ii. l.) as follows: By the word hṛdā is signified devotion; by Manīṣā: steadfastness. In the Mahābhārata (? ) taking the first half as it is here, the following is read as the second half:

bhaktyā ca dhṛtyā ca samāhitātmā jñānasvarūpam paripasyatīha.

"Through devotion and steadfastness one with one's mind concentrated, here perceives that of the form of know-ledge."

abhiklptah: graspable, attainable. The following is in the Vedārthasaingraha "The meaning (of the above quoted Bhāsya passage) is that, one with one's mind concentrated through steadfastness sees the Supreme Person with devotion." 'Sees' means attains, since it has to be in accord with the Gītā passage "Through one-pointed devotion is capable.." (XI. 54).

ya enam viduh: the meaning is clear.

### II. iii. 10.

yadā pañcāvatisthante jñānāni manasā saha | buddhis ca na vicestati tām āhuḥ paramām gatim || 10 ||

When the five sense-organs with mind are static and the intellect does not move, that (state) they say is the Supreme movement.

#### COMMENTARY:

jñānāni: organs, according to derivation from jnā to know, with the suffix lyut (ana): meaning instrument. This has been so explained by Vyāsārya (Śrutaprakāśikā) in the Sapta-gaty-adhikarana (II iv. ). The mind itself with the function of determination is indicated by the word 'buddhi'. So is it in the Śrī Bhāṣya. "The mind itself is mentioned by the words 'buddhi' 'ahaikāra' and citta, due to its different functions, such as determination, egoity and reflection." It is clear there itself that the "paramagatim" mentioned here means movement towards liberation abandoning movements within the body.

### II. iii. 11.

tām yoşam iti manyante sthirām indriyadhāraņām | apramattas tadā bhavati yogo hi prabhavāpyayau || 11 ||

The state of steady concentration of the senseorgans they deem as Yoga. Then one should be vigilant, since Yoga is the means to life-ends (namely) attainment, and removal (of evil).

#### COMMENTARY:

tām: That (state) mentioned in the previous mantra

indrivadhāranām: the supreme movement of the nature of concentration of outer and inner organs

yogam: iti manyante: (They) deem as Yoga: Vyāsārya says that the meaning of paramagati is Yoga.

aprama tah tadā bha ati: Then that is when the organs are notionless, there happens the state of vigilance of the min.

Of what use is this vigilence of the mind? (To this enquiry) He (Death) replies: Yogo hi prabhavāpyayau: Yoga is indeed origination and cessation. The idea is that since Yoga is in constant peril, vigilance is necessary.

Or else, the idea is that one should be vigilant in respect of Yoga since it is the means of all life ends of the form of attainment of desired things and removal of all undesirable things.

## 14. iii. 12.

naiva vācā na manasii prāptum šakyo na caksusā | asiāti bruvato'nyatra latham tad upalabhyate || 12 ||

That is capable of attainment neither by speech nor by mind nor by the eye. How can that be realized except from one who teaches that it is?

### COMMENTARY:

naiva vācā: the meaning is clear The following discussion is found in the Prāna vāda of the Vedānta Sūtras) (Il iv. 8) 'Saptagater visesatvāc ca: the organs are only seven since only seven are mentioned in the scriptures as going to the other world." There are seven worlds in which the seven organs lying in the cave (placed in their respective places) move" (Mund. U. II 1. 3), and since only seven organs are enumerated when referring to the Yoga state in the Mautra (K. U. II iii. 10) "When the five sense-organs with mind are static and the intellect..." Against this prima facie view the siddhāntā is as follows: "But while living there are hands and others, therefore not o" (V. S. II. iv. 6), when there is the body, since hands and others are also useful in respect of taking up (things) and other activities hands and others

also are organs. Therefore it is not so. Because of the sruti and smrti texts. "There are ten organs in a person and the ātman is the eleventh." (Brh. U. III. ix. 4) where the word 'ātman' means the 'mind'; "The organs are ten and one: the eleventh here is the mind" (Gitā. XIII. 5). Statements of lesser number have reference to particular uses: and statements of larger number are due to differences in mental functions. This state (stated in the first half of the mantra) is explained.

astiti...; except from the statement that 'It is,' this is the meaning. The idea is that it is attainable only from the Upanisad.

# II. iii. 13.

astīty evopalabdhavyas tattvabhāvena cobhayoḥ | astītyevopalabdhasya tattvabhāvaḥ prasīdati | 13 ||

It is known through the statement that "it is" as well as through the mind: when one has known through these two that "it is," the mind becomes clear (lucid calm).

### COMMENTARY:

tattvabhāvena: the etymology is tattve bhāvayati: helps knowing the truth: tattvabhāvah: inner organ. By this also the Supreme Self is to be known as 'It is.' What is meant is, after knowing Him as 'It is' by the Vedānta passages It is to be contemplated and meditated upon as 'It is' with the mind also.

ubhayoh: of the two means, that is, by the two means of knowing the statement above and by the mind

astīti eva upalabdhavyah: Of one who has known that It is: the use of the past participle in the word 'upalabdha' is similar to that in 'bhukta' in the statement 'bhuktā brāhmanāh: brāhmanas have eaten' (that is its meaning is active and not passive)

tattvåbhavah prasidati: the mind becomes clear, that is, free from old faults.

## II. iii. 14.

yadā sarve pramucyante kāmā ye'sya hṛdi śritāh | atha martyo'mṛto bhavaty atra brahma samaśnute | 14 ||

When all the desires that are in the heart of this (soul) are removed, then the mortal becomes immortal and enjoys Brahman here alone.

### COMMENTARY:

kāmāh: desires for bad objects that are in the heart yadā pramucyante: when they get removed, then atha: immediately,

martyah: this meditator (upāsakah)

amrto bhavati: attains immortality. The meaning is that he "becomes one whose past and future sins get removed and do not touch him respectively."

atra brahma samasnute: this means here itself, at the time of meditation he enjoys Brahman.

The following is the Śri Bhāsya under the Sūtra "And the same (is the departure) upto the beginning of the movement, and the immortality (is that which happens) before the burning up of the body" (IV. ii. 7). The meaning is anuposya means not burning the contact with the body of sense-organs and others. Which immortality is of the form of freedom from and destruction of the future and past sins, that same is mentioned in the scriptural passage beginning with "Yadā sarve pramucyante..." (K. U. II. iii. 14)

In respect of the (statement) atra brahma samasnute: here (he) enjoys the Brahman; the idea is that this has reference to that experience of Brahman which happens at the time of meditation.

Repeating that which was already said for the purpose of emphasis, He (Death) concludes that what is to be taught is only this much:—

# II. iii. 15.

, yadā sarve prabhidyante hrdayasyeha granthayah | atha martyo mrto' bhavaty etävad anukäsanam || 15 ||

When all the knots of the heart are here broken, then man becomes immortal. This much is the teaching.

#### COMMENTARY:

granthayah: likes and dislikes and others which are not easily untieable like knots

yadā prabhidyante: that is when they are removed

etāvad anusāsanam: that which is to be taught so as to be practised by the meditator is this much alone. What is to be stated namely which is the going out (of the body) through the nādi in the crown of head and passing through (the path of the) arcis and others is not of the meditator, but of the word of God, pleased with his meditation. This is the idea.

Now Death speaks of the final Liberation which is the second already referred to in (K.U. II. ii.) "Vimukta's ca..."

II, iii. 16

satam caikā ca hṛdayasya nādyas
tāsām mūrdhānam abhiniḥsṛtaikā |
tayordhvam āyann amṛtatvam eti
viṣvain yā utkramane bhavanti | 16 ||

Hundred and one are the  $n\bar{a}dis$  of the heart. Of these one is stretched to the crown (of the head): through that one  $(n\bar{a}di)$  one going upward gets immortality. The others  $(n\bar{a}dis)$  are such as help going towards all sides,

### COMMENTARY:

satam ca...: there are hundred and one important nadis of the heart, among them the one Brahmanadi called Suşumna goes up towards the crown of the head. Through that nadi

ūrdhvam gacchan. going to the world of Brahman

amṛtatvam eti: means attains liberation of the form of the manifestation of his own nature following the attainment ot Brahman together with the particular place

anyāh: the other nādis

vișvan utkramane bhavanti: are useful for going out towards the path of the diversified samsāra. But Vyāsārya (in the Śrutaprakāśikā) interprets this as follows: 'Other nadis are scattered all round (the body). These are useful for those who seek not liberation to get out (of the body) (at the time of death)" (IV. ii. 7). This passage is considered by Bhagavan Badarayana in the Utkrantipada (IV. ii ). explain (the prima facie view is this:) "The restriction that the going out happens to the knower through the head-nadi. other than the hundred, and to a non-knower through the others is not proper", since the nādis are very many and are too subtle to be distinctly seen, and so one cannot select. It is quite proper to hold that the statement tayordhvam ayannamrtatvam eti vişvaini ya utkramane bhavanti- through that one (nadi) one going upward gets immortality. The other (nādis) are such as help going towards all sides" (K.U II iii. 16cd.) merely refers to the chance-going out. Against the brima fac e view the reply is given in the following Sūtra (IV. ii. 16) "The place of the individual soul that is the heart becomes illuminated just in front of it, having the passage revealed by it, favoured by the Grace of the Harda (the Supreme Dweller in the Heart), on account of the capacity of the Knowledge (on the part of the soul), and of the continuation of remembrance of the path which is a subsidiary of it through the one other than the Hundred." And the meaning of this (sūtra) is as follows:

tadokah: the place of the individual soul that is, the heart.

agra jvalanam: in front of which there is illumination

tatprakāśitadvārah: one becomes such that to whom the passage (of exit) is revealed by it, since there is the scriptural passage. "The top of the heart is illuminated; by that illumination the soul goes out either through the eye or the crown of the head or other parts of the body." (Brh. U. IV. iv. 3.)

This much is common to both the knower and the non-knower But the knower gets up only through the head-nādi,

different from the hundred (other nād's). It is not that that  $n\bar{a}di$  is not distinguishable by the knower, since the knower is favoured by the Supreme Person resident in his heart, being pleased with his knowledge (upāsana) which is extremely blissful to him, and which is an adoration of the Supreme Self, and on account of the continuous recollection of the Path highly pleasant to him, as the subsidiary to the Knowledge. Therefore he knows that nādi and so his going out through it, is quite appropriate.

Now to proceed: -

### II. iii. 17.

anguşihamütrah puruşo'natarātmā
sadā janānām hṛdaye sanniviṣṭaḥ'!
tam svāc charīrāt pravṛhen
muñjād iveṣikām dhairyeṇa !
tam vidyācchukram amṛtam
tam vidyācchukram amṛtam iti | 17 ;

The person of the size of the thumb, the inner Self is always established in the heart of men. Him, one should pull out with courage from one's own body as the stalk from the muñja grass. Him one should know as the brilliant immortal. Him one should know as the brilliant immortal.

### COMMENTARY:

angusthamatrah: the meaning is clear.

tam svāc charīrāt: just as in the statement "Devadatta is distinct from his own body" the pronominal word 'sva' (his own) refers to a thing belonging to Devadatta indicated by the comentioned word (Devadatta). Even like that the word 'sva' (in the present mantra tam svāc charīrāt) refers to that which belongs to the inner Self mentioned previously. Consequently the meaning is as follows: Him the inner Self of all men, one should pull out (that is, know distinctly) from the individual soul, mentioned here as men, as that which is His body. That is after the manner mentioned in the scriptural text 'When one sees the Lord as different from oneself, and pleased,"

(Sv. Up. IV. 7) one should know (Him) as distinct on account of His being the supporter, controller and master (\$e\$i)

muñjāt: from the muñja-grass.

iṣikām iva: like the stalk in its midst.

dhairyena: (with courage) with skill in knowledge. This (word) goes with what preceded (i.e pravrhet: should pull out).

tam vidyāt...: (This has been) already explained. Repetition indicates the conclusion of the teaching.

### II. iii. 18.

Now concludes the subject-matter of the Story (of Naci-ketas):

Mṛtyuproktām Naciketo'tha labdhvā
vidyām etām yogavidhim ca kṛtsnam |
brahmaprāpto virajo'bhūd vimṛtyur
anyo'pyevam yo vid adhyātmam eva || 18 ||

Then Naciketas having attained this knowledge taught by Death together with the method of Yoga completely attained brahman became free from rajas (desire) and from death. Any other who knows (this) esoteric doctrine will become also such an one.

### COMMENTARY:

mṛtyu ...: Naciketas having attained the knowledge of the Self taught by Death and also the method of Yoga mentioned in the passage "yadā pañca.." (K. U. II. iii. 10) after the manner mentioned in the scriptural passage, "Attaining the transcendent Light one becomes manifest by His own nature" (Ch. Up VIII. 3 4), attaining Brahman became one in whom His eight qualities got manifested.

yo vid: The kno wledge relating to the Self whoever else knows, he also becomes like Naciketas. This is the meaning.

Om sa ha nāvavatu sa ha nau bhunaktu. saha vīryam karavāvahai. tejasvi nāv adhītam astu mā vidviṣāvahai. Om sāntih ṣāntih ṣāntih.

May He protect us. May He protect us together. Let us bring about the power together. Let our learning be luminous. Let us not hate (one another). Om Śāntiḥ, Śāntiḥ, Śāntiḥ.

### COMMENTARY:

Now the  $s\bar{a}nti$  (peace chaut) is recited in order to abolish on the part of the taught and the teacher.

sa ha nau avatu sa ha: The Supreme Self revealed by Knowledge. ha indicates (His) well-knownness.

nau: Us, the disciple and the teacher

avatu: May protect revealing His form

saha nau bhunaktu: May (He) protect us together through increasing knowledge. Or else May He protect us so that we may be together without parting.

saha viryam karavāvahai: May we bring about the power of knowledge by imparting it in accordance with rules. The idea is knowledge becomes ineffective when the rules are not observed.

tejasvi nau.: This means may what we have now learnt be very luminous (effective)

mā vidvisāvahai: May there be no hatred: which means let there be no hatred between us due to learning and teaching in any unsanctioned way, as stated in the Smṛti text: "One who teaches not in accordance with rules (dharma) and one who questions in contravention of rules, one of them dies or develops animosity."

Śāntih...: The triple repetition is for the purpose of doing away with all sins of commission and omission in thought, word and deed.

Thus concludes the Sixth Valli in the Second Chapter of the Kathopanisad.

### Commentator's conclusion:

That this Upanisad has reference only to God is determined by Bhagavān Bādarāyaņa in three Adhikaraņas of the Samanvayādhyāya.

## ADHIKARANA I

In the passage "yasya brahma ca kṣatram ca..." (K. U. I. ii. 25) "To whom the Brāhmaṇa and Kṣatriya both become food; to whom Death is curry spice; this who knows how He is?" the person indicated by the pronoun in the possessive 'yasya' is an eater or enjoyer, since Brāhmaṇa and Kṣatriya are spoken of on account of their being spoken of metaphorically as 'rice,' are to be taken as eatables or enjoyables. Now who is that eater (bhoktā)? The prima facie view is that He is the individual soul alone, since the Supreme Self cannot be the eater. Aṣainst this the Siddhānta view is expressed in the four Sūtras: (I). Attā carācaragrahaṇāt: (II) Prakaraṇāc ca: (III) Guhām praviṣṭāvātmānau hi taddarśaṇāt: (IV) Viśeṣaṇāc ca: (Vedānta Sūtras: I. ii. 9, i0, 11, 12.)

The meanings of these are as follows.

- I. The eater indicated in respect of rice mentioned in the passage "the two are rice" is the Supreme Self alone since in the mantra (K. U. I. ii. 25) it is said that He is the killer (destroyer) of all the mobile and immobile which are indicated by the words Brahma and Ksatra which through secondary significance signify all the mobile and the immobile, and now that the word 'odana', rice secondarily signifies the destroyable, has been shown when commenting upon this mantra, and may be seen there itself.
- II. And also because (this mantra) is in the context of Brahman which begins with 'The Great Omnipresent Soul..." (K. U. I. ii. 29).
- III. If it be said that since in the succeeding mantra, "Drinking rta. (I. iii. 1) only the two that are capable of enjoying fruits of actions are mentioned and the Supreme Self cannot have anything to do with that (enjoyment of the fruit of actions) either as Agent like the individual soul nor as

instrument like the antahharana (inner organ), the eater is not in the context dealing with the Supreme Self, the reply is \* guhām pravistau (I. ii. 12) the two that have entered the cave are only the two, the individual soul and the Supreme Self". because the two alone are mentioned as having entered the cave. In the passage "The brave (soul) knowing through the realisation attained by meditation upon this self, that God difficult of perception, hidden, entering, residing in the Cave, indwelling, beginningless, abandons both pleasure and sorrow." entering of the Supreme Self into the Cave is mentioned. And also the entering into the heart cave by the individual soul is mentioned in the passage "Yā prānena sambharati... That Aditi (eater) which remains with breath possessing many sense-organs remaining in the cave after entering into it is born with elements." (K. U. II. 1. 7.)" Since thus both of them are seen to have entered into the Cave and these two can be referred to as the 'two drinking rta' (I. iii. 1) according to the maxim of 'Chatri' (one who has the umbrella)1 no break in the context pertaining to the Supreme Self can be doubted on account of the mantra "rtam pibantau.. " (I. iii. 1).

IV. (Visesanāc ca:) Since in the context in the passage "Brahmajajñam Knowing the soul born of Brahman and conscient as the worthy Lord, one attains through peace of ever." (K U. I. i. 17cd.) the individual soul and the Supreme Self are specified as the meditator and the meditatable, the purpose of the mantra "rtam pibantau.." (I iii I) is only to describe them in order to facilitate meditation as those resting in one and the same place. So the mantra is that which only deals with individual soul and the Supreme Self. It is concluded therefore that the Mantra "Brahma ca ksatram ca..." (K. U. II. 25) has reference only to the Supreme Self.

f. In the statement chatrino gacchanti made in respect of a group of fersons going together. 2 few of whom are carrying umbrellas, the word chatrinah refers to the entire group including those that have not got them cf. Purvamimāmsā sūtras L iv.

## ADHIKARANA II

(Vedānta Sūtras I. iii. 6.)

Again in the mantra "Angusthamātra.....the person of the size of the thumb, the Lord of the past and the future, resides in the middle of the body" (K. U. II. i. 12), the prima facie view is that he who is mentioned as of being the size of the thumb is the individual soul alone, since the measure of the thumb is well-known only in respect of the individual soul in the following śruti and smṛti passages:

"The master of breath  $(pr\bar{a}na)$  wanders about with his actions" (Svet U. V. 7): "One of the size of the thumb and having a form like that of the Sun" (Svet. U. V. 8); and "Death pulled out with force the person of the size of the thumb" (Mh. B. Vanaparva). This is replied to by the Siddhanta given in the four following Sūtias.

- 1. Śabaād eva pramitah: V. S. I. iii. 24;
- II. Hrdyapekşayā tu manuşayādkikāratvāt: " I. iii. 25;
- III. Kampanāt: ., I. iii. 39;
- IV. Jvotirdaršanāt: "I. iii. 40;

The meaning of these is as follows:

- I. One that is measured by the size of the thumb is the Supreme Self on account of the term itself, that is the term İśāna, which indicates lordship in the passage "Īśāno bhūta-bhavyasya—Lord of the Past and the Future." (K. U. II. i. 13)
- II. If it be asked how then the delimitation to the size of the thumb can go with the Supreme Self (Bādarāyaṇa) replies that since the Supreme Self is in the heart which is of this size, on that account, the Supreme Self can be of that size. It cannot be doubted that the Supreme Self residing in the hearts of the horse and the ass and other (animals) which have no thumbs, and consequently that the Supreme Self cannot be measured by their thumbs, how the Supreme Self within them can have that size for, the scriptures that enjoin meditation are only in respect of the human beings because of their fitness for meditating; and the have thumbs. There is

thus nothing to prevent postulation of this size on account of the delimitation of the Supreme Self residing in the heart due to its size, which is of the size of the thumb

III. Kampanāt (I. iii. 39). Since the scripture "Yad idam kiñca jagat sarvam prāna ejati......All this world whatever existing in the breath and emanating from it trembles, extreme fear as if from the uplifted Vajra.." (K. U. II. iii. 2), mentions this one having the size of the thumb as being the cause of the fear which makes all creatures to tremble and this quality is known from scripture "Afraid of Him the wind blows" (Tait Upaniṣad) and others, as belonging to the Supreme Self, the person of the size of the thumb is the Supreme Self.

IV. Jyotirdarśanāt (I. iii. 43): Since in respect of him of the size of the thumb, there is mentioned in the passage "Na tatra Sūryo bhāti......There the Sun shines not nor the Moon and stars nor do these lightnings shine." how (then) can the fire?", a light eclipsing all luminaries, and the possession of such a light has been stated by the Atharvana Upaniṣad as belonging to Brahman, this one of the size of the thumb is the Supreme Self. This is the meaning.

# ADHIKARAŅA III

Since in the passages "Indriyebhyah.....Superior to the senses (I. iii. 10)," the Sānkhyan method (of enumeration of categories) is recollected and since a Person other than the twenty-five is negatived, here (in this mantra I. iii. 10) by the word 'Avyakta' is indicated only the primeval Matter accepted by the Sānkhyas which has not got the Brahman as its soul. This prima facie view is expressed by the first part of the sūtra (V. S I iv. 1) "ānumānikam apyekeṣām iti cet—If it be said that in some (recensions) also the primeval Matter is (also stated as the original cause) "—and the reply is given by the later part of the same Sūtra "Śarīra.... and the following seven Sūtras: They mean as follows:

I. Since the word 'avyakta' can indicate the body which is metaphorically spoken of as the chariot in the

- passage "...sariram ratham eva tu...(K. U. I. iii. 13), which among the individual soul, body, intellect, mind, organs and objects metaphorically spoken of as the occupant of the chariot etc, in the passage beginning with "Ātmānam rāthinam viddhi... (I. iii 3); accordingly the word avyakta means the body alone.
- II. If it be asked how the body that is manifest can be referred to as avyakta (unmanifest), the reply is Sūkṣmain tu tadarhatvāt (I. iv 2.)—the subtle (matter) on account of certain states, the body." Therefore by the world avyakta which means the cause, is meant through secondary significance the gross body itself. If it be asked that if it can have the primary significance, why should there be a secondary significance, (and) it should have the primary significance, it says "It is relevant" to accept the secondary significance, namely the gross body, for the word avyakta, since the gross body is fit for the activities and its mention alone is required here for the purpose of controlling.
- III. If it be asked if the unmanifest subtle Matter is accepted, why should there be rejection of the Matter propounded in the Kapila's system? (Badarayana) replies: Tadadhinatvāt arthatvāt "(I. iv. 3)—In our system there is always purpose, since it is controlled and governed by the Supreme Self", but according to the Sānkhyan view this is not accepted and so (Matter) is purposeless.
- IV. If Matter accepted by Sānkhya is that which is intent here, it must have been mentioned here as fit to be known; since by the Sānkhyas who state that liberation arises out of the knowledge of the Unmanifest and the Manifest and the conscient being, all these are accepted as things that must be known. But it is not stated as that which must be known (here). Therefore what is established in the Sānkhya is not to be accepted here.
- V. Vadatiti cen na prajno hi prakaranat (V. S. I. iv. 5). "If it be stated that the Scripture immediately after the previous mentions it as a thing to be known "Aśabdam...Having

perceived that (Self) which is soundless, touchless, colourless, imperishable eternally and tasteless, odourless and beginningless and endless and higher than the great (soul) fixed, one gets released from the jaws of death" (K. U. I.iii, 15) Not so, since, on account of the coutext of Omniscient Supreme Self "He attains the Supreme abode Visnu which is the goal of the path" (K U. I. iii 9,), He alone is mentioned in this mantra as the one to be known and not the unmanifest accepted by the Sāńkhyans.

VI. "Trayānām eva caivam upanyāsah praśnāc ca" (V.S. I. iv. 6)— 'In this context there is mention only of the three' the means and the goal and the attainer and, "also the question", is seen only in relation to these three as in the passage "Anyatra......Tell me that which verily you see that which is other than the right ether than the non-right..." (K. U. I. ii. 14) and not in respect of the Unmanifest and others.

VII. "Mahatvāc ca" (V. S. I. iv. 7). Just as in the passage "By the word Mahān is not taken as meaning "the mahat category called buddhi (K. U. I. iii. 10.) accepted in the Sānkhya system due to the co ordination (sāmānādhikaranya) with the ātman (self), even so it is that the Unmanifest also cannot be taken to be the category accepted in the Kapila system, since it is mentioned as higher than the individual Soul.

Thus by these three Adhikaranas it is determined that the whole of this Upanisad has reference to the Supreme Self.